

Peize Liu  
*St. Peter's College*  
*University of Oxford*

**Problem Sheet 1**  
**B4.2: Functional Analysis II**

Fantastic work! These solutions  
are almost perfect and were a pleasure to mark

27 January, 2020

### Question 1

Let  $(X, \|\cdot\|)$  be a real norm vector space satisfying the parallelogram law:

$$\|x + y\|^2 + \|x - y\|^2 = 2\|x\|^2 + 2\|y\|^2 \text{ for all } x, y \in X$$

Define

$$f(x, y) = \frac{1}{4} (\|x + y\|^2 - \|x - y\|^2) \text{ for } x, y \in X$$

Show that

- (a)  $f(x, y) = f(y, x)$
- (b)  $f(x + z, y) = f(x, y) + f(z, y)$ .
- (c)  $f(\alpha x, y) = \alpha f(x, y)$  for all  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ .


Conclude that  $f(x, y)$  defines an inner product on  $X$ .

*Proof.* (a) For  $x, y \in X$ :


$$f(x, y) = \frac{1}{4} (\|x + y\|^2 - \|x - y\|^2) = \frac{1}{4} (\|y + x\|^2 - (-1)^2 \|y - x\|^2) = f(y, x) \quad \checkmark$$

(b) For  $x, y, z \in X$ :

$$\begin{aligned} 4(f(x + z, y) - f(x, y) - f(z, y)) &= \|x + z + y\|^2 - \|x + z - y\|^2 - \|x + y\|^2 + \|x - y\|^2 - \|z + y\|^2 + \|z - y\|^2 \\ &= \|x + z + y\|^2 - \|x + z - y\|^2 + \frac{1}{2} (\|x + z - 2y\|^2 + \|x - z\|^2) - \frac{1}{2} (\|x + z + 2y\|^2 + \|x - z\|^2) \\ &= \|x + z + y\|^2 - \|x + z - y\|^2 + \frac{1}{2} (\|x + z - 2y\|^2 - \|x + z + 2y\|^2) \\ &= (\|x + z + y\|^2 + \|y\|^2) - (\|x + z - y\|^2 + \|y\|^2) + \frac{1}{2} (\|x + z - 2y\|^2 - \|x + z + 2y\|^2) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} (\|x + z + 2y\|^2 + \|x + z\|^2) - \frac{1}{2} (\|x + z\|^2 + \|x + z - 2y\|^2) + \frac{1}{2} (\|x + z - 2y\|^2 - \|x + z + 2y\|^2) \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $f(x + z, y) = f(x, y) + f(z, y)$ . 

(c) We proceed the proof in the order  $\mathbb{Z}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ .

- For  $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ ,  $f(\alpha x, y) = \alpha f(x, y)$  follows from (b) and induction on  $\alpha$ . 
- Note that

$$f(-x, y) = \frac{1}{4} (\| -x + y \|^2 - \| -x - y \|^2) = \frac{1}{4} (\|x - y\|^2 - \|x + y\|^2) = -f(x, y)$$

Hence for  $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}$ , if  $\alpha < 0$ , then

$$f(\alpha x, y) = -f(-\alpha x, y) = -(-\alpha) f(x, y) = \alpha f(x, y) \quad \checkmark$$

If  $\alpha = 0$ , then

$$f(\alpha x, y) = f(0, y) = f(0 + 0, y) = f(0, y) + f(0, y) \implies f(0, y) = 0 = 0 f(x, y)$$

- For  $\alpha \in \mathbb{Q}$ , there exists  $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $\alpha = m/n$ . Note that

$$f(x, y) = f\left(n \cdot \frac{1}{n} x, y\right) = n f\left(\frac{1}{n} x, y\right) \implies f\left(\frac{1}{n} x, y\right) = \frac{1}{n} f(x, y) \quad \checkmark$$

Therefore

$$f(\alpha x, y) = f\left(\frac{m}{n} x, y\right) = m f\left(\frac{1}{n} x, y\right) = \frac{m}{n} f(x, y) = \alpha f(x, y) \quad \checkmark$$

- For  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ , there exists a sequence  $\{q_n\} \subseteq \mathbb{Q}$  such that  $q_n \rightarrow \alpha$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . We claim that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|q_n x + y\| = \|\alpha x + y\|$ .

By triangular inequality,

$$\|q_n x + y\| - \|\alpha x + y\| \leq \|q_n x - \alpha x\| \leq |q_n - \alpha| \|x\| \rightarrow 0$$

as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , which proves the claim. Similarly we also have  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|q_n x - y\| = \|\alpha x - y\|$ . Finally,

$$f(\alpha x, y) = \frac{1}{4} (\|\alpha x + y\|^2 - \|\alpha x - y\|^2) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{4} (\|q_n x + y\|^2 - \|q_n x - y\|^2) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(q_n x, y) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} q_n f(x, y) = \alpha f(x, y) \quad \square$$

(b) and (c) implies the linearity in the first slot. Combining (a) we also obtain the linearity in the second slot: For  $x, y, z \in X$  and  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$ ,

$$f(x, \alpha y + \beta z) = f(\alpha y + \beta z, x) = \alpha f(y, x) + \beta f(z, x) = \alpha f(x, y) + \beta f(x, z)$$

From the definition of norm we also know that  $f$  is positive definite. Hence  $f$  defines a inner product on  $X$ .  $\checkmark$

## Question 2

Let  $A^2(\mathbb{D})$  be the Bergman space of functions which are holomorphic and square integrable on the unit disk  $\mathbb{D} \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ . Let  $f \in A^2(\mathbb{D})$ ,  $0 < s < 1$  and  $|z| < s$ . Cauchy's integral formula gives

$$r f(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} f(z + r e^{i\theta}) r d\theta$$

for any  $0 < r < 1 - s$

(a) Integrating the above formula in  $0 < r < 1 - s$ , show that

$$f(z) = \frac{1}{\pi(1-s)^2} \langle f, \chi_{D(z, 1-s)} \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{D})}$$

where  $D(z, 1-s)$  is the disk of radius  $1-s$  with the centre  $z$ .

(b) Deduce that

$$|f(z)| \leq \frac{\|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{D})}}{\sqrt{\pi}(1-s)}$$

(c) Deduce that if  $f_n$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $A^2(\mathbb{D})$  then  $f_n$  converges uniformly on compact subsets of  $\mathbb{D}$ .

(d) Deduce that  $A^2(\mathbb{D})$  is closed in  $L^2(\mathbb{D})$ .

*Proof.* (a) Integrating the equation above:

$$\int_0^{1-s} r f(z) dr = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{1-s} \int_0^{2\pi} f(z + r e^{i\theta}) r d\theta dr$$

The left hand side becomes  $\frac{1}{2} \pi (1-s)^2 f(z)$ . Since  $f \in L^2(\mathbb{D})$ , and  $\mathbb{D}$  has finite Lebesgue measure, we have  $f \in L^1(\mathbb{D})$ . By Fubini's Theorem,

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{1-s} \int_0^{2\pi} f(z + r e^{i\theta}) r d\theta dr = \frac{1}{2\pi} \iint_{D(z, 1-s)} f(z) dA = \frac{1}{2\pi} \iint_{\mathbb{D}} f(z) \mathbf{1}_{D(z, 1-s)} dA = \langle f, \mathbf{1}_{D(z, 1-s)} \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{D})}$$

Hence

$$f(z) = \frac{1}{\pi(1-s)^2} \langle f, \mathbf{1}_{D(z, 1-s)} \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{D})} \quad \checkmark$$

(b) By Cauchy-Schwarz inequality,

$$\left| \langle f, \mathbf{1}_{D(z, 1-s)} \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{D})} \right| \leq \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{D})} \|\mathbf{1}_{D(z, 1-s)}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{D})} = \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{D})} \sqrt{m(D(z, 1-s))} = \sqrt{\pi}(1-s) \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{D})} \quad \checkmark$$

Hence

$$|f(z)| = \frac{1}{\pi(1-s)^2} \left| \langle f, \mathbf{1}_{D(z, 1-s)} \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{D})} \right| \leq \frac{\|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{D})}}{\sqrt{\pi}(1-s)} \quad \checkmark$$

(c) Suppose that  $\{f_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $A^2(\mathbb{D})$ . Let  $K \subseteq \mathbb{D}$  be a compact subset. Choose  $s \in (0, 1)$  such that  $K \subseteq D(0, s)$ .  $\checkmark$

Note that for  $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ , by part (b) we have

$$\|f_n - f_m\|_{L^\infty(K)} \leq \|f_n - f_m\|_{L^\infty(D(0,s))} = \sup_{z \in D(0,s)} |f_n(z) - f_m(z)| \leq \frac{\|f_n - f_m\|_{L^2(\mathbb{D})}}{\sqrt{\pi}(1-s)}$$

Then  $\{f_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence in the Banach space  $(C^0(K), \|\cdot\|_{\sup})$ . Hence  $\{f_n\}$  converges in  $(C^0(K), \|\cdot\|_{\sup})$ . In other words,  $\{f_n\}$  converges uniformly in  $K$ .

- (d) Suppose that  $\{f_n\}$  is a sequence in  $A^2(\mathbb{D})$  such that  $f_n \rightarrow f$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  for some  $f \in L^2(\mathbb{D})$ . Then  $\{f_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence. By part (c)  $f_n$  converges normally on  $\mathbb{D}$ . By Weierstrass' Theorem in complex analysis we know that the uniform limit  $f$  is holomorphic.  $f$  is also square integrable. Hence  $f \in A^2(\mathbb{D})$ . We deduce that  $A^2(\mathbb{D})$  is closed in  $L^2(\mathbb{D})$ .  $\square$

### Question 3

Let  $K$  be a non-empty convex set of a real Hilbert space  $X$ . Suppose that  $x \in X$  and  $y \in K$ . Prove that the following are equivalent:

- (1)  $\|x - y\| \leq \|x - z\|$  for all  $z \in K$
- (2)  $\langle x - y, z - y \rangle \leq 0$  for all  $z \in K$

*Proof.*

(1)  $\implies$  (2): Fix  $z \in K$ . Since  $K$  is convex,  $tz + (1-t)y \in K$  for  $t \in [0, 1]$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} \|x - y\| &\leq \|x - (tz + (1-t)y)\| \\ \implies \|x - y\|^2 &\leq \|(x - y) + t(y - z)\|^2 = \|x - y\|^2 + t^2 \|y - z\|^2 - 2t \langle x - y, z - y \rangle \\ \implies t^2 \|y - z\|^2 - 2t \langle x - y, z - y \rangle &\geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

Let  $f(t) := t^2 \|y - z\|^2 - 2t \langle x - y, z - y \rangle$ . Then  $f(t) \geq 0$  for  $t \in [0, 1]$ . Note that  $f(0) = 0$ . Hence we must have

$$f'(0) = -2 \langle x - y, z - y \rangle \geq 0$$

which implies that  $\langle x - y, z - y \rangle \leq 0$  as required.

(2)  $\implies$  (1): For all  $z \in K$ ,

$$\|x - z\|^2 = \|(x - y) + (y - z)\|^2 = \|x - y\|^2 + \|y - z\|^2 - 2 \langle x - y, z - y \rangle \geq \|x - y\|^2$$

because  $\|y - z\| \geq 0$  and  $\langle x - y, y - z \rangle \leq 0$ . Hence  $\|x - y\| \leq \|x - z\|$  for all  $z \in K$ .  $\square$

### Question 4

Let  $Y$  be a subspace of a Hilbert space  $X$  over  $\mathbb{C}$  and  $\ell : Y \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be a bounded linear functional on  $Y$ .

- (a) Using the Riesz representation theorem, show that there is a *unique* extension of  $\ell$  to a bounded linear functional  $\tilde{\ell}$  on  $X$  with  $\|\tilde{\ell}\|_{X^*} = \|\ell\|_{Y^*}$ .
- (b) By examining the behavior of  $\tilde{\ell}$  on the orthogonal complement of  $Y$ , reprove (a) without using the Riesz representation theorem.

*Proof.* (a) By Riesz Representation Theorem, there exists  $x_\ell \in Y$  such that for any  $y \in Y$ ,  $\ell(y) = \langle y, x_\ell \rangle$  and  $\|x_\ell\|_X = \|\ell\|_{Y^*}$ . We claim that  $\tilde{\ell} : x \mapsto \langle x, x_\ell \rangle$  is a bounded linear functional on  $X$  such that  $\|\tilde{\ell}\|_{X^*} = \|x_\ell\|_X$ .

- By Cauchy-Schwarz inequality,

$$\|\tilde{\ell}\|_{X^*} = \sup_{x \in X \setminus \{0\}} \frac{|\tilde{\ell}(x)|}{\|x\|_X} = \sup_{x \in X \setminus \{0\}} \frac{|\langle x, x_\ell \rangle|}{\|x\|_X} \leq \sup_{x \in X \setminus \{0\}} \frac{\|x\|_X \|x_\ell\|_X}{\|x\|_X} = \|x_\ell\|_X$$

- $\|x_\ell\|_X^2 = \langle x_\ell, x_\ell \rangle = \tilde{\ell}(x_\ell) \leq \|\tilde{\ell}\|_{X^*} \|x_\ell\|_X$ . Hence  $\|x_\ell\|_X \leq \|\tilde{\ell}\|_{X^*}$ .

We deduce that  $\|\tilde{\ell}\|_{X^*} = \|x_\ell\|_X = \|\ell\|_{Y^*}$ .

Note that  $Y$  is not assumed closed and so may not be a Hilbert Space.

First extend  $\ell$  to  $\bar{Y}$ .



To check that  $\tilde{\ell}$  is unique, let  $\ell' : X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be a bounded linear functional such that  $\ell'|_Y = \ell$  and  $\|\ell'\|_{X^*} = \|\ell\|_{Y^*}$ . Then by Riesz Representation Theorem there exists  $x'_\ell \in X$  such that  $\ell'(x) = \langle x, x'_\ell \rangle$  for any  $x \in X$  and  $\|x'_\ell\|_X = \|\ell'\|_{X^*}$ . ✓

For  $y \in Y$ ,

$$0 = \ell(y) - \ell(y) = \tilde{\ell}(y) - \ell'(y) = \langle y, x_\ell - x'_\ell \rangle$$

Hence  $x_\ell - x'_\ell \in Y^\perp$ . Also note that  $x_\ell \in Y$ . By Pythagoras' Theorem,

$$\|x'_\ell\|_X^2 = \|x_\ell + (x'_\ell - x_\ell)\|_X^2 = \|x_\ell\|_X^2 + \|x_\ell - x'_\ell\|_X^2 \implies \|x_\ell - x'_\ell\|_X = 0$$
 ✓

because  $\|x_\ell\|_X = \|x'_\ell\|_X$ . We deduce that  $x_\ell = x'_\ell$  and hence  $\ell' = \tilde{\ell}$ .

- (b) First, since  $Y$  is dense in  $\bar{Y}$ ,  $\ell$  has a unique continuous extension on  $\bar{Y}$ . So without loss of generality we assume that  $Y$  is closed. By Projection Theorem, we have  $X = Y \oplus Y^\perp$ . We therefore can define  $\tilde{\ell}$  as follows. For  $x \in X$ ,  $x = y + y^\perp$  for unique  $y \in Y$  and  $y^\perp \in Y^\perp$ . Let  $\tilde{\ell}(x) = \tilde{\ell}(y + y^\perp) := \ell(y)$ . In this way, we have

$$\|\tilde{\ell}\|_{X^*} = \sup_{x \in X \setminus \{0\}} \frac{|\tilde{\ell}(x)|}{\|x\|_X} = \sup_{x \in X \setminus \{0\}} \frac{|\ell \circ P_Y(x)|}{\|x\|_X} \leq \sup_{x \in X \setminus \{0\}} \frac{|\ell \circ P_Y(x)|}{\|P_Y(x)\|_X} = \sup_{y \in Y \setminus \{0\}} \frac{|\ell(y)|}{\|y\|_X} = \|\ell\|_{Y^*}$$
 ✓

where  $P_Y : X \rightarrow Y$  is the projection operator onto  $Y$ , and

$$\|\ell\|_{Y^*} = \sup_{y \in Y \setminus \{0\}} \frac{|\ell(y)|}{\|y\|_X} = \sup_{y \in Y \setminus \{0\}} \frac{|\tilde{\ell}(y)|}{\|y\|_X} \leq \sup_{y \in X \setminus \{0\}} \frac{|\tilde{\ell}(x)|}{\|x\|_X} = \|\tilde{\ell}\|_{X^*}$$
 ✓

Hence  $\|\ell\|_{Y^*} = \|\tilde{\ell}\|_{X^*}$ .

To check that  $\tilde{\ell}$  is unique, let  $\ell' : X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be a bounded linear functional such that  $\ell'|_Y = \ell$  and  $\|\ell'\|_{X^*} = \|\ell\|_{Y^*}$ . (I did not finish this part.) To be discussed in class. Show that necessarily  $\ell'(Y^\perp) = \{0\}$ . □

### Question 5

For each of the cases below, determine -- in any order -- (i) the orthogonal complement of  $Y$  in  $X$ , (ii) if  $Y$  is dense in  $X$ , and (iii) if  $Y$  is closed in  $X$ . Here all spaces are over the real.

(a)  $X = L^2(-1, 1)$ ,  $Y = \left\{ f \in X : \int_{-1}^1 f(x) dx = 0 \right\}$ .

(b)  $X = \ell^2$ ,  $Y = \{(a_n) \in X : a_2 = a_4 = \dots = 0\}$ .

(c)  $X = L^2(0, 1)$ ,  $Y = C[0, 1]$ .

In (a) and (b) you may find it useful to rewrite the identities defining the space  $Y$  as an orthogonal relation e.g.  $a_2 = 0$  means  $\langle a, e_2 \rangle = 0$ .

*Proof.* (a)  $Y = \left\{ f \in X : \int_{-1}^1 f(x) dx = 0 \right\} = \{f \in X : \langle f, \mathbf{1}_{(-1,1)} \rangle = 0\} = \text{span}\{\mathbf{1}_{(-1,1)}\}^\perp$  ✓

It is clear that  $\text{span}\{\mathbf{1}_{(-1,1)}\}$  is a closed subset of  $X$ . By Corollary 1.2.6 we have  $Y^\perp = \text{span}\{\mathbf{1}_{(-1,1)}\}^{\perp\perp} = \text{span}\{\mathbf{1}_{(-1,1)}\}$ . By Proposition 1.2.3.(i),  $Y$  is also closed in  $X$ . Since  $Y \neq X$ ,  $Y$  is not dense in  $X$ . ✓

$Y$  is not dense in  $X$ . Consider  $g = \mathbf{1}_{(-1,1)} \in Y^\perp$ . For any sequence  $\{f_n\} \in Y$ , by Pythagoras' Theorem,

$$\|f_n - g\|_2^2 = \|f_n\|_2^2 + \|g\|_2^2 \geq \|g\|_2^2 = \int_{-1}^1 dx = 2$$

It is impossible that  $\|f_n - g\|_2 \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . So  $g \notin \bar{Y}$ . ✓

(b)  $Y = \{(a_n) \in X : a_2 = a_4 = \dots = 0\} = \{(a_n) \in X : \forall k \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \langle \{a_n\}, e_{2k} \rangle = 0\} = \text{span}\{e_{2k} : k \in \mathbb{Z}_+\}^\perp$  ✓

By Proposition 1.2.3.(i),  $Y$  is closed in  $X$ . Since  $Y \neq X$ ,  $Y$  is not dense in  $X$ . ✓

By Proposition 1.2.3 and Corollary 1.2.6,

$$Y^\perp = \text{span}\{e_{2k} : k \in \mathbb{Z}_+\}^{\perp\perp} = \overline{\text{span}\{e_{2k} : k \in \mathbb{Z}_+\}}^{\perp\perp} = \overline{\text{span}\{e_{2k} : k \in \mathbb{Z}_+\}} = \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k e_{2k} : \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k^2 < \infty \right\}$$

- (c) We claim that  $Y$  is dense in  $X$ . It follows from the (much stronger) fact that  $C_c^\infty(\Omega)$  is dense in  $L^p(\Omega)$ , where  $1 \leq p < \infty$ , for any open subset  $\Omega \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , which is Lemma 2.9 in B4.3 Distribution Theory. ✓

Since  $\overline{Y} = X \neq Y$ ,  $Y$  is not closed in  $X$ . Finally,

$$Y^\perp = \overline{Y}^\perp = X^\perp = \{0\} \quad \checkmark$$

□

### Question 6

Let  $Y$  be the set of all  $g \in L^2(-\pi, \pi)$  such that  $g(t-\pi) = g(t)$  for almost all  $t \in (0, \pi)$ . Show that  $Y$  is a closed subspace of  $L^2(-\pi, \pi)$  and identify  $Y^\perp$ . Assume that  $f \in L^2(-\pi, \pi)$  and supposed  $f = g + g^\perp$  where  $g \in Y$  and  $g^\perp \in Y^\perp$ . Find  $g$  and  $g^\perp$ .

Calculate

$$d := \inf \{ \|h - g\|_{L^2(-\pi, \pi)} : g \in Y \}$$

where  $h(t) = t$  and specify the element  $g$  at which the infimum is attained.

*Proof.* First, it is clear that  $Y$  is a linear subspace of  $L^2(-\pi, \pi)$ . To show closedness, suppose that  $\{g_n\}$  is a sequence in  $Y$  such that  $g_n \rightarrow g$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  for some  $g \in L^2(-\pi, \pi)$ . For each  $g_n$ , let

$$A_n := \{t \in (0, \pi) : g_n(t-\pi) \neq g_n(t)\}$$

Each  $A_n$  is null. Hence  $\bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} A_n$  is also null. For  $t \in (0, \pi) \setminus \bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} A_n$ ,  $g_n(t-\pi) = g_n(t)$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Hence  $g(t-\pi) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} g_n(t-\pi) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} g_n(t) = g(t)$ . It follows that  $g \in Y$ . We deduce that  $Y$  is closed.

Suppose that  $h \in Y^\perp$ . For any  $g \in Y$ , we have

$$0 = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} g(x)h(x) dx = \int_0^{\pi} g(x)h(x) + g(x-\pi)h(x-\pi) dx = \int_0^{\pi} g(x)(h(x) + h(x-\pi)) dx$$

The integral is zero for any  $g \in L^2(0, \pi)$ . By the Fundamental Lemma of the Calculus of Variation (Lemma 3.18 in B4.3 Distribution Theory), we deduce that  $h(x-\pi) + h(x) = 0$  almost everywhere on  $(0, \pi)$ . That is,

$$Y^\perp = \{h \in L^2(-\pi, \pi) : h(x-\pi) = -h(x) \text{ a.e. on } (0, \pi)\} \quad \checkmark$$

For  $f \in L^2(-\pi, \pi)$ , we have

$$\forall x \in (0, \pi) \quad f(x) = g(x) + g^\perp(x), \quad f(x-\pi) = g(x-\pi) + g^\perp(x-\pi) = g(x) - g^\perp(x)$$

Hence we can set

$$g(x) = \frac{f(x) + f(x-\pi)}{2} \mathbf{1}_{(0, \pi)} + \frac{f(x) + f(x+\pi)}{2} \mathbf{1}_{(-\pi, 0)}, \quad g^\perp(x) = \frac{f(x) - f(x-\pi)}{2} \mathbf{1}_{(0, \pi)} + \frac{f(x) - f(x+\pi)}{2} \mathbf{1}_{(-\pi, 0)}$$

where  $g \in Y$  and  $g^\perp \in Y^\perp$ .

Let  $P_Y : X \rightarrow Y$  be the projection operator onto  $Y$ . By Pythagoras' Theorem, for  $f \in X$  and  $g \in Y$ ,

$$\|f - g\|^2 = \|f - P_Y(f)\|^2 + \|P_Y(f) - g\|^2 \leq \|f - P_Y(f)\|^2$$

Hence  $\inf_{g \in Y} \|f - g\|$  is obtained if and only if  $g = P_Y(f)$ . ✓

For  $h(t) = t$ , we have

$$P_Y(h)(t) = \frac{h(x) + h(x-\pi)}{2} \mathbf{1}_{(0, \pi)} + \frac{h(x) + h(x+\pi)}{2} \mathbf{1}_{(-\pi, 0)} = \left(x - \frac{\pi}{2}\right) \mathbf{1}_{(0, \pi)} + \left(x + \frac{\pi}{2}\right) \mathbf{1}_{(-\pi, 0)} \quad \checkmark$$

and

$$(h - P_Y(h))(t) = \frac{h(x) - h(x-\pi)}{2} \mathbf{1}_{(0, \pi)} + \frac{h(x) - h(x+\pi)}{2} \mathbf{1}_{(-\pi, 0)} = \frac{\pi}{2} \mathbf{1}_{(0, \pi)} - \frac{\pi}{2} \mathbf{1}_{(-\pi, 0)} \quad \checkmark$$

Use the general fact that  $L^2$  convergent sequences have an a.e. convergent subsequence.

How do you know that the pointwise limit exists?

Look up Typewriter Function.

The minimal distance from  $h$  to  $Y$  is given by

$$d = \|h - P_Y(h)\|_2 = \sqrt{\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \left(\frac{\pi}{2}\right)^2 dx} = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} \pi^{3/2}$$



□