

Peize Liu  
*St. Peter's College*  
*University of Oxford*

**Problem Sheet 1**  
**C2.6: Introduction to Schemes**

1 February, 2022

### Question 1

- For ring  $R$ , and radical ideals  $\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{b} \subseteq R$ , prove that  $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq \mathfrak{b} \iff \mathbb{V}(\mathfrak{a}) \supset \mathbb{V}(\mathfrak{b})$ .
- Show that the presheaf of constant real functions is not a sheaf on  $X$  when  $X = 2$  points with the discrete topology.
- Show that the sheafification of the presheaf of constant functions is the sheaf of locally constant functions.  
(Optional: What happens in the case  $X = \mathbb{Q}$ ?)
- Show that a scheme  $X$  is irreducible  $\iff$  every non-empty open subset is dense.
- Show that  $R$  is Noetherian  $\implies$  every subset of  $\text{Spec } R$  is quasi-compact.

*Proof.* i) The direction " $\implies$ " is trivial. For the " $\impliedby$ " direction, suppose that  $\mathbb{V}(\mathfrak{b}) \subseteq \mathbb{V}(\mathfrak{a})$  and  $\mathfrak{a} \not\subseteq \mathfrak{b}$ . Then we pick  $r \in \mathfrak{a}$  such that  $r \notin \mathfrak{b}$ . Then  $r \neq 0 \in R/\mathfrak{b}$ . Since  $\mathfrak{b}$  is a radical ideal, the nilradical  $\text{Nil}(R/\mathfrak{b}) = \{0\}$ . So there exists  $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec } R$  such that  $\mathfrak{b} \subseteq \mathfrak{p}$  and  $r \notin \mathfrak{p}$ . The condition  $\mathbb{V}(\mathfrak{b}) \subseteq \mathbb{V}(\mathfrak{a})$  implies that  $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq \mathfrak{p}$ . So  $r \in \mathfrak{a}$  implies that  $r \in \mathfrak{p}$ . We obtain a contradiction. Therefore we have  $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq \mathfrak{b}$ .

ii) Let  $F : \text{Top}X \rightarrow \text{Ab}$  be the presheaf of constant functions on  $X$ . Let  $s_1 \in F(\{1\})$  and  $s_2 \in F(\{2\})$  be the real-valued functions such that  $s_1(1) = 1 \neq 2 = s_2(2)$ . Then  $\{s_1, s_2\}$  agrees on the overlap  $\{1\} \cap \{2\} = \emptyset$ . But if there exists  $s \in F(X) = F(\{1\} \cup \{2\})$  such that  $s|_1 = s_1$  and  $s|_2 = s_2$ , then  $s$  is not constant on  $\{1, 2\}$ . So  $F$  is not a sheaf.

iii) Let  $F : \text{Top}X \rightarrow \text{Ab}$  be the presheaf of constant functions on  $X$ . Let  $F^+$  be the sheafification of  $F$ . Let  $U$  be open in  $X$  and  $s : U \rightarrow \bigsqcup_{x \in U} F_x$  in  $F^+(U)$ . By definition, for any  $x \in U$ , there exist  $V \subseteq U$  with  $x \in V$  and  $t \in F(V)$  such that  $s(y) = t_y \in F_y$  for all  $y \in V$ . Since  $t \in F(V)$  is a constant function,  $t : V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is given by  $t(y) = c_V$  for some  $c_V \in \mathbb{R}$ . Hence  $s(y) = t_y = c_V$  for  $y \in V$ . That is,  $s$  is constant on  $V$ , which is an open neighbourhood of  $x$ . That proves that  $s$  is a locally constant function. We deduce that  $F^+$  is the sheaf of locally constant functions.

iv) The statement is true for any topological space  $X$ .

If  $X$  is reducible, then  $X = X_1 \cup X_2$  for closed sets  $X_1, X_2 \subsetneq X$ . Then  $X \setminus X_1$  is a non-empty open set of  $X$ . The closure

$$\overline{X \setminus X_1} \subseteq X_2 \subsetneq X$$

since  $X_2$  is closed. So  $X \setminus X_1$  is not dense in  $X$ . Conversely, suppose that  $X$  has a non-empty non-dense open subset  $U$ . Then  $\overline{U} \neq X$ . We have  $X = \overline{U} \cup (X \setminus \overline{U})$ . So  $X$  is reducible.

v) Let  $\{\mathfrak{p}_i\}_{i \in I} \subseteq \text{Spec } R$ . We claim that there exists  $i_1, \dots, i_n \in I$  such that

$$\sum_{i \in I} \mathfrak{p}_i = \sum_{k=1}^n \mathfrak{p}_{i_k}$$

Since  $R$  is Noetherian,  $\sum_{i \in I} \mathfrak{p}_i$  is finitely generated. Let  $r_1, \dots, r_n \in R$  be the generators. For each  $r_k$ , since  $r_k \in \sum_{i \in I} \mathfrak{p}_i$ , we have  $r_k = \sum_{j=1}^{\ell_k} s_j$ , where  $s_j \in \mathfrak{p}_j$  for some  $j \in I$ . Therefore, by relabeling, we may assume that  $r_1, \dots, r_n$  generates  $\sum_{i \in I} \mathfrak{p}_i$ , where each  $r_k \in \mathfrak{p}_{i_k}$ . This proves our claim.

Let  $A \subseteq \text{Spec } R$ . We shall prove that  $A$  is compact<sup>1</sup>. Suppose that  $\{U_i\}_{i \in I}$  is an open cover of  $A$ . Let  $\mathbb{V}(\mathfrak{p}_i) := \text{Spec } R \setminus U_i$ . Then  $\bigcap_{i \in I} \mathbb{V}(\mathfrak{p}_i) \cap A = \emptyset$ . But

$$\bigcap_{i \in I} \mathbb{V}(\mathfrak{p}_i) = \mathbb{V}\left(\sum_{i \in I} \mathfrak{p}_i\right) = \mathbb{V}\left(\sum_{k=1}^n \mathfrak{p}_{i_k}\right) = \bigcap_{k=1}^n \mathbb{V}(\mathfrak{p}_{i_k})$$

So  $\bigcap_{k=1}^n \mathbb{V}(\mathfrak{p}_{i_k}) \cap A = \emptyset$ . In other words,  $\{U_{i_1}, \dots, U_{i_n}\}$  is a finite subcover. Hence  $A$  is compact.  $\square$

<sup>1</sup>I shall use compact for quasi-compact as these words mean the same thing (Hausdorff property is not a part of the definition of compactness.)

yes but sometimes in geometry over  $\mathbb{C}$  people don't specify how they're thinking about a given space: algebraic vs analytic. Using quasi-compact helps.

### Question 2

Let  $(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$  be a scheme. For  $s \in \mathcal{O}_X(U)$ , show that  $s_x = 0 \in \mathcal{O}_{X,x}$  for all  $x$  implies that  $s = 0$ . Prove that  $X$  is reduced  $\iff$  all stalks  $\mathcal{O}_{X,x}$  are reduced.

*Proof.* For each  $x \in U$ , since  $s_x = 0 \in \mathcal{O}_{X,x}$ , there exists open  $W \subseteq U$  with  $x \in W$  such that  $s|_W = 0|_W = 0$ . The local-to-global condition of sheaf  $\mathcal{O}_X$  suggests that  $s = 0$ . ✓

Suppose that  $X$  is reduced. Then for each open set  $U$ ,  $\mathcal{O}_X(U)$  is a reduced ring. The stalk at  $x \in X$  is the ring

$$\mathcal{O}_{X,x} = \varinjlim_{U \ni x} \mathcal{O}_X(U)$$

To prove that  $\mathcal{O}_{X,x}$  is reduced, we simply need to prove that the direct limit of a directed system of reduced rings is reduced. This follows from Exercise 2.22 of *Atiyah & MacDonald*. Let us write out the details.

For  $U \ni x$ , let  $\varphi_U : \mathcal{O}_X(U) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X,x}$  be the natural map. Suppose that  $\alpha \in \text{Nil}(\mathcal{O}_{X,x})$ . Then there exists open  $U \subseteq X$  and  $\beta \in \mathcal{O}_X(U)$  such that  $\alpha = \varphi_U(\beta)$ . If  $\alpha^n = 0$ , then  $\varphi_U(\beta^n) = 0$ . Hence there exists open  $V \subseteq U$  such that the restriction map  $\rho_{UV} : \mathcal{O}_X(U) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(V)$  satisfies that  $\rho_{UV}(\beta^n) = \rho_{UV}(\beta)^n = 0$ . Since  $\text{Nil}(\mathcal{O}_X(V)) = \{0\}$ , we have  $\rho_{UV}(\beta) = 0$ . But this implies that  $\alpha = \varphi_U(\beta) = \varphi_V \circ \rho_{UV}(\beta) = 0$ . Hence  $\text{Nil}(\mathcal{O}_{X,x}) = \{0\}$ .  $\mathcal{O}_{X,x}$  is reduced as claim. ✓

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{O}_X(U) & \xrightarrow{\rho_{UV}} & \mathcal{O}_X(V) \\ \searrow \varphi_U & & \swarrow \varphi_V \\ & \mathcal{O}_{X,x} & \end{array}$$

Conversely, suppose that all stalks of  $\mathcal{O}_X$  are reduced. Let  $U \subseteq X$  be an open set, and let  $s \in \text{Nil}(\mathcal{O}_X(U))$ . Then  $s^n = 0$  for some  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Under the natural map we have  $s_x^n = \varphi_{U,x}(s^n) = 0$  for all  $x \in U$ . Since  $\mathcal{O}_{X,x}$  is reduced, we have  $s_x = 0$  for all  $x \in U$ . By the first part we have  $s = 0$ . Hence  $\mathcal{O}_X(U)$  is reduced. We conclude that the scheme  $X$  is reduced. ✓ □

### Question 3

Let  $X = \text{Spec } R$ . Prove that

- $X$  is irreducible  $\iff R$  has a unique minimal prime  $\mathfrak{p}$  (*hint: nilradical*)  $\iff X$  has a unique generic point  $\mathfrak{p}$ .
- $X$  is reduced and irreducible  $\iff R$  is an integral domain (*you may assume as known that localisation preserves the "reduced" property*)

*Proof.* i) We prove the first equivalence. Let  $\text{Nil}(R)$  be the nilradical of  $R$ . By definition it is contained in every prime ideal of  $R$ . Then  $R$  has a unique minimal prime ideal if and only if  $\text{Nil}(R)$  is prime.

Suppose that  $\text{Nil}(R)$  is not prime. Then there exist  $r, s \notin \text{Nil}(R)$  such that  $rs \in \text{Nil}(R)$ . Then  $\langle rs \rangle \subseteq \text{Nil}(R)$ , and

$$\text{Spec } R = \mathbb{V}(\langle rs \rangle) = \mathbb{V}(\langle r \rangle \langle s \rangle) = \mathbb{V}(r) \cup \mathbb{V}(s)$$

Since  $\mathbb{V}(r)$  and  $\mathbb{V}(s)$  are proper closed subsets of  $\text{Spec } R$ ,  $\text{Spec } R$  is reducible. ✓

Conversely, suppose that  $\text{Spec } R$  is reducible. Then there exist  $\mathfrak{p}_1, \mathfrak{p}_2 \in \text{Spec } R$  such that  $X = \mathbb{V}(\mathfrak{p}_1) \cup \mathbb{V}(\mathfrak{p}_2)$  and  $\mathbb{V}(\mathfrak{p}_1), \mathbb{V}(\mathfrak{p}_2) \neq X$ . So there exist  $r_1 \in \mathfrak{p}_1$  and  $r_2 \in \mathfrak{p}_2$  such that  $r_1, r_2 \notin \text{Nil}(R)$ . But note that

$$X = \mathbb{V}(\mathfrak{p}_1) \cup \mathbb{V}(\mathfrak{p}_2) = \mathbb{V}(\mathfrak{p}_1 \cap \mathfrak{p}_2) \implies \mathfrak{p}_1 \cap \mathfrak{p}_2 = \text{Nil}(R)$$

So  $r_1 r_2 \in \mathfrak{p}_1 \cap \mathfrak{p}_2 = \text{Nil}(R)$ . Hence  $\text{Nil}(R)$  is not prime. ✓

The second equivalence is tautological:

$$\begin{aligned}
 X \text{ has a unique generic point } p &\iff \mathbb{V}(p) = X \\
 &\iff \forall q \in \text{Spec } R: p \subseteq q \\
 &\iff R \text{ has a unique minimal prime ideal } p
 \end{aligned}$$

ii) First we note that reducedness is a local property. That is,  $R$  is reduced if and only if  $R_p$  is reduced for each  $p \in \text{Spec } R$ . Let us prove this as a revision of commutative algebra.

*Special case of exercise 2* Suppose that  $R$  is not reduced. Let  $x \in \text{Nil}(R) \setminus \{0\}$ . Consider the annihilator  $\text{Ann}_R(x) := \{y \in R: xy = 0\}$  which is an ideal of  $R$ . Since  $1 \notin \text{Ann}_R(x)$ , there exists a maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{m}$  such that  $\text{Ann}_R(x) \subseteq \mathfrak{m}$ . Let  $\varphi: R \rightarrow R_{\mathfrak{m}}$  be the natural map sending  $r$  to  $r/1$ . Suppose that  $\varphi(x) = 0$ . Then there exists  $y \notin \mathfrak{m}$  such that  $xy = 0$ , which is impossible by our construction. Hence  $\varphi(x) \neq 0$ . Since  $x$  is nilpotent,  $x^n = 0$  for some  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Hence  $\varphi(x)^n = 0$  and  $\varphi(x) \in \text{Nil}(R_{\mathfrak{m}}) \setminus \{0\}$ . The localisation  $R_{\mathfrak{m}}$  is not reduced.

Conversely, suppose that  $R$  is reduced. For each  $p \in \text{Spec } R$ , let  $\varphi: R \rightarrow R_p$  be the natural map. By Corollary 3.3 of Atiyah & MacDonald,  $\text{Nil}(R_p) = \varphi(\text{Nil}(R)) = \varphi(\{0\}) = \{0\}$ . Hence  $R_p$  is reduced for all  $p \in \text{Spec } R$ .

Return to the question. From Question 2 we have

$$X \text{ is reduced} \iff \mathcal{O}_{X,p} \text{ is reduced for all } p \in X \iff R_p \text{ is reduced for all } p \in \text{Spec } R \iff R \text{ is reduced}$$

Now we note from part (i) that  $X$  is reduced and irreducible if and only if  $\text{Nil}(R) = \{0\}$  and it is prime. But since  $R \cong R/\{0\}$ , then  $R$  is an integral domain if and only if  $\{0\}$  is a prime ideal. We thus finish the proof.  $\square$

#### Question 4

Let  $(X, \mathcal{O})$  be a scheme.

i) If  $R$  is a local ring, show that

$$\text{Mor}(\text{Spec } R, X) \xrightarrow{1:1} \bigsqcup_{x \in X} \text{Hom}_{\text{local rings}}(\mathcal{O}_x, R)$$

Hint: for  $\varphi: m \mapsto x$  show that  $x \in \overline{\varphi(p)}$  for any  $p$ .

ii) If  $K$  is a field, show that  $\text{Mor}(\text{Spec } K, X)$  and

$$\text{Mor}(\text{Spec } K, X) \xrightarrow{1:1} \bigsqcup_{x \in X} \{\text{field extensions } \kappa(x) \hookrightarrow K\}$$

( $\kappa(x) := \mathcal{O}_x/\mathfrak{m}_x$ , where  $\mathfrak{m}_x$  is the unique maximal ideal of  $\mathcal{O}_x$ .)

iii) The **Zariski tangent space** at  $x$  is defined as  $T_x = (\mathfrak{m}_x/\mathfrak{m}_x^2)^*$  (dual over the field  $\kappa(x) = \mathcal{O}_x/\mathfrak{m}_x$ ). Let  $X$  be a scheme over a field  $k$ , meaning that we are given a morphism  $X \rightarrow \text{Spec } k$ . Convince yourself that this means that locally  $X$  is the Spec of a  $k$ -algebra, not just the Spec of a ring. Show that

$$\text{Mor}(\text{Spec}(k[\epsilon]/\epsilon^2), X) \xrightarrow{1:1} \bigsqcup_{\substack{x \in X: \kappa(x) \cong k \\ \text{as } k\text{-algebras}}} T_x$$

(On the LHS, we mean morphisms of schemes over  $k$ , so they commute with maps to  $\text{Spec } k$ , and maps of sheaves are  $k$ -algebra homomorphisms.)

Remark. If locally  $X$  is the Spec of finitely generated  $k$ -algebras, and  $k$  is algebraically closed, then  $\kappa(x) \cong k$  at closed points  $x \in X$ .

Comment on what happens for  $X = \text{Spec}(k[x]/x^2)$ . (Compare Sec. 0.2 of Notes.)

*Proof.* i) Recall that a morphism of schemes from  $\text{Spec } R$  to  $X$  is  $(f, f^\#)$ , where  $f : \text{Spec } R \rightarrow X$  is continuous, and  $f^\# : \mathcal{O} \rightarrow f_* \mathcal{O}_{\text{Spec } R}$  is a morphism of sheaves of rings, and for each  $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec } R$ , the map  $f^\#_{\mathfrak{p}} : \mathcal{O}_{f(\mathfrak{p})} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\text{Spec } R, \mathfrak{p}} = R_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is a homomorphism of local rings. ✓

Let  $\mathfrak{m}$  be the maximal ideal of  $R$ . First we note that the natural map  $\varphi : R \rightarrow R_{\mathfrak{m}}$  is a local ring isomorphism, because  $R \setminus \mathfrak{m}$  is the set of units of  $R$ . ✓ If  $(f, f^\#)$  is a scheme morphism from  $\text{Spec } R$  to  $X$ , then at  $f(\mathfrak{m})$ , we have the local ring homomorphism  $f^\#_{\mathfrak{m}} : \mathcal{O}_{f(\mathfrak{m})} \rightarrow R_{\mathfrak{m}} \cong R$ . Hence  $(f, f^\#)$  determines  $(f(\mathfrak{m}), f^\#_{\mathfrak{m}}) \in \bigsqcup_{x \in X} \text{Hom}_{\text{local rings}}(\mathcal{O}_x, R)$ . We denote the map  $(f, f^\#) \mapsto ((f(\mathfrak{m}), f^\#_{\mathfrak{m}}))$  by  $\mathcal{F}$ . ✓

On the other hand, we fix  $x \in X$  and let  $\rho : \mathcal{O}_x \rightarrow R$  be a local ring homomorphism. Let  $U$  be an open neighbourhood of  $x$ . Since  $(X, \mathcal{O})$  is a scheme,  $(U, \mathcal{O}|_U) \cong (S, \text{Spec } S)$  as locally ringed spaces for some ring  $S$ . On the stalk of  $x$  we have the local ring isomorphism  $\sigma_x^\# : S_{\mathfrak{q}} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_x$  where  $\mathfrak{q} \in \text{Spec } S$ . Consider the composition ring homomorphism  $\psi : S \xrightarrow{\varphi} S_{\mathfrak{q}} \xrightarrow{\sigma_x^\#} \mathcal{O}_x \xrightarrow{\rho} R$ . Then  $\psi$  induces a morphism of locally ringed spaces  $(\tilde{f}, \tilde{f}^\#)$  from  $\text{Spec } R$  to  $U \subseteq X$ . We denote the map  $(x, \rho) \mapsto (\tilde{f}, \tilde{f}^\#)$  by  $\mathcal{G}$ . ✓

It remains to show that  $\mathcal{F} \circ \mathcal{G} = \text{id}$  and  $\mathcal{G} \circ \mathcal{F} = \text{id}$ .

Let  $(\tilde{f}(\mathfrak{m}), \tilde{f}^\#_{\mathfrak{m}}) = \mathcal{F} \circ \mathcal{G}(x, \rho)$ . We shall show that  $\tilde{f}(\mathfrak{m}) = x$  and  $\tilde{f}^\#_{\mathfrak{m}} = \rho$ . Note that the homeomorphism  $\sigma : U \rightarrow \text{Spec } S$  sends  $x$  to  $\mathfrak{q}$ . On the stalks,  $\tilde{f} = \sigma^{-1} \circ \text{Spec } \psi$  becomes the map  $\rho \circ \sigma_x : S_{\mathfrak{q}} \rightarrow R \cong R_{\mathfrak{m}}$ . So

$$\tilde{f}(\mathfrak{m}) = \sigma^{-1} \circ (\text{Spec } \psi)(\mathfrak{m}) = \sigma^{-1}(\mathfrak{q}) = x$$

And

$$\tilde{f}^\#_{\mathfrak{m}} = \psi^\#_{\mathfrak{m}} \circ (\sigma^{-1})^\#_{\mathfrak{q}} = \rho \circ \sigma_x^\# \circ (\sigma^{-1})^\#_{\mathfrak{q}} = \rho$$

Let  $(\tilde{f}, \tilde{f}^\#) = \mathcal{G} \circ \mathcal{F}(f, f^\#)$ . We shall show that  $f = \tilde{f}$  and  $f^\# = \tilde{f}^\#$ . Let  $x = f(\mathfrak{m})$ . For any  $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec } R$ , since  $\mathfrak{m} \in \overline{\{\mathfrak{p}\}} = \mathbb{V}(\mathfrak{p})$ , we have

$$x = f(\mathfrak{m}) \in f(\overline{\{\mathfrak{p}\}}) \subseteq \overline{\{f(\mathfrak{p})\}}$$

We claim that  $f(\text{Spec } R) \subseteq U$  for any open neighbourhood  $U$  of  $x$ . Suppose not. There is  $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec } R$  such that  $f(\mathfrak{p}) \in X \setminus U$ . Note that  $X \setminus U$  is closed. Therefore

$$x \in \overline{\{f(\mathfrak{p})\}} \subseteq X \setminus U \quad \checkmark$$

which is a contradiction. Next we fix  $U$  as in the definition of  $\tilde{f}$  (so we also fix an isomorphism  $\sigma : U \rightarrow \text{Spec } S$ ). Then  $\sigma \circ f$  is a morphism of locally ringed spaces from  $\text{Spec } R$  to  $\text{Spec } S$ . Since the functor  $\text{Spec} : \text{Ring}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \text{Aff}$  is full, there exists a ring homomorphism  $\xi : S \rightarrow R$  such that  $\sigma \circ f = \text{Spec } \xi$ . ✓ We claim that  $\xi = \psi$ . Note that we have defined  $\psi$  to be  $f^\#_{\mathfrak{m}} \circ \sigma_x^\# \circ \varphi = (\sigma \circ f)^\#_{\mathfrak{m}} \circ \varphi = (\text{Spec } \xi)^\#_{\mathfrak{m}} \circ \varphi$ . It remains to prove that  $(\text{Spec } \xi)^\#_{\mathfrak{m}} \circ \varphi = \xi$ . This is easy: for  $s \in S$ ,

$$(\text{Spec } \xi)^\#_{\mathfrak{m}} \circ \varphi(s) = (\text{Spec } \xi)^\#_{\mathfrak{m}} \left( \frac{s}{1} \right) = \frac{\xi(s)}{\xi(1)} = \xi(s)$$

We conclude that there is a bijective correspondence:

$$\text{Mor}(\text{Spec } R, X) \xleftrightarrow{1:1} \bigsqcup_{x \in X} \text{Hom}_{\text{local rings}}(\mathcal{O}_x, R)$$

ii) We note that for a field  $K$ , the prime spectrum  $\text{Spec } K = \{\{0\}\}$ . ✓  $K$  is a local ring with maximal ideal  $\{0\}$ . Suppose that  $\varphi : \mathcal{O}_x \rightarrow K$  is a local ring homomorphism. Then by definition  $\ker \varphi = \varphi^{-1}(\{0\}) = \mathfrak{m}_x$ . By the universal property of quotient ring, there exists a unique ring homomorphism  $\psi : \kappa(x) := \mathcal{O}_x / \mathfrak{m}_x \rightarrow K$  such that  $\psi \circ \pi = \varphi$ .

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{O}_x & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & K \\ \pi \downarrow & \nearrow \exists! \psi & \\ \kappa(x) & & \end{array}$$

Since  $\ker \varphi \neq \mathcal{O}_x$ ,  $\psi \neq 0$ . Hence  $\psi : \kappa(x) \rightarrow K$  is a field extension. Furthermore, the assignment  $\varphi \mapsto \psi$  is bijective. Hence we have the bijective correspondence

$$\bigsqcup_{x \in X} \text{Hom}_{\text{local rings}}(\mathcal{O}_x, K) \xleftrightarrow{1:1} \bigsqcup_{x \in X} \{\text{field extensions } \kappa(x) \hookrightarrow K\}$$

Combining with the result of (i), we obtain the claimed correspondence of (ii). ✓

- iii) First, we claim that  $R := k[\varepsilon]/\langle \varepsilon^2 \rangle$  is a local ring with maximal ideal  $\langle \varepsilon \rangle$ . Let  $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec } k[\varepsilon]$  such that  $\pi(\mathfrak{p}) \neq 0 \in R$ . Then  $\langle \varepsilon^2 \rangle \subseteq \mathfrak{p}$ . Since  $\mathfrak{p}$  is prime,  $\varepsilon \in \mathfrak{p}$ . Hence  $\langle \varepsilon \rangle \subseteq \pi(\mathfrak{p})$ . But  $\langle \varepsilon \rangle$  is maximal in  $R$ , because  $R/\langle \varepsilon \rangle \cong k$  is a field. Hence  $\mathfrak{p} = \langle \varepsilon \rangle \in \text{Spec } R$ . We deduce that  $R$  is local, and  $\text{Spec } R = \{\langle \varepsilon \rangle\}$ . ✓

Let  $\text{Hom}_{\text{loc}}^k(\mathcal{O}_x, R)$  be the set of maps  $\varphi : \mathcal{O}_x \rightarrow R$  which are both  $k$ -algebra homomorphisms and local ring homomorphisms. Let  $x \in X$  such that  $\kappa(x) \cong k$  as  $k$ -algebras. We claim that there is a bijective correspondence:

$$\text{Hom}_{\text{loc}}^k(\mathcal{O}_x, R) \longleftrightarrow \text{Hom}_{\kappa(x)}(\mathfrak{m}_x/\mathfrak{m}_x^2, \kappa(x)) =: (\mathfrak{m}_x/\mathfrak{m}_x^2)^\vee$$

Let  $\varphi \in \text{Hom}_{\text{loc}}^k(\mathcal{O}_x, R)$ . by definition  $\varphi(\mathfrak{m}_x) \subseteq \varepsilon$ . Then  $\varphi(\mathfrak{m}_x^2) \subseteq \langle \varepsilon \rangle^2 = \langle \varepsilon^2 \rangle = \{0\}$ . Hence  $\varphi$  restricting on  $\mathfrak{m}_x$  induces the map  $\tilde{\varphi} \in \text{Hom}_{\text{loc}}^k(\mathfrak{m}_x/\mathfrak{m}_x^2, R)$  with  $\text{im } \tilde{\varphi} \subseteq \langle \varepsilon \rangle / \langle \varepsilon^2 \rangle$ . Let  $\sigma : R \rightarrow k$  be the  $k$ -linear map given by  $a + b\varepsilon \mapsto b$ . Then  $\sigma \circ \tilde{\varphi} \in (\mathfrak{m}_x/\mathfrak{m}_x^2)^\vee$  as a  $k$ -linear map. ✓

Conversely, let  $f \in (\mathfrak{m}_x/\mathfrak{m}_x^2)^\vee$ . Consider the  $k$ -linear map  $g : \mathfrak{m}_x \rightarrow k\varepsilon$  given by the composition

$$\mathfrak{m}_x \xrightarrow{\pi} \mathfrak{m}_x/\mathfrak{m}_x^2 \xrightarrow{f} \kappa(x) \xrightarrow{\cong} k\varepsilon \quad \checkmark$$

Consider the short exact sequence of  $k$ -vector spaces:

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathfrak{m}_x \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_x \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_x/\mathfrak{m}_x \longrightarrow 0$$

Since  $k$  is a field, the sequence splits. So there exists a retraction  $r : \mathcal{O}_x \rightarrow \mathfrak{m}_x$ . Hence we can construct a  $k$ -linear map  $\psi : \mathcal{O}_x \rightarrow k \oplus k\varepsilon \cong k[\varepsilon]/\langle \varepsilon^2 \rangle$  such that the following diagram commutes and hence gives a splitting of the short exact sequence above.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathfrak{m}_x & \xleftarrow[r]{\quad} & \mathcal{O}_x & \xrightarrow{\pi_x} & \mathcal{O}_x/\mathfrak{m}_x \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & g \downarrow & & \downarrow \psi & & \downarrow \cong \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & k\varepsilon & \xrightarrow{\quad} & k \oplus k\varepsilon & \longrightarrow & k \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & & & \cong \downarrow & & \\ & & & & k[\varepsilon]/\langle \varepsilon^2 \rangle & & \end{array}$$

More explicitly,  $\psi(s) = \pi_x(s) + g \circ r(s)\varepsilon$ . But we note that by construction  $\psi$  is also a ring homomorphism, and  $\psi(\mathfrak{m}_x) \subseteq k\varepsilon = \langle \varepsilon \rangle$ . Therefore  $\psi \in \text{Hom}_{\text{loc}}^k(\mathcal{O}_x, R)$ . ✓

We can explicitly verify that we have constructed mutually inverse maps  $\varphi \mapsto \sigma \circ \tilde{\varphi}$  and  $f \mapsto \psi$ . Therefore our claim is proven. ✓

Let  $x \in X$  such that  $\kappa(x) \not\cong k$ . Then the only  $k$ -algebra homomorphism from  $\kappa(x)$  to  $k$  is the zero map. Suppose there is  $\varphi \in \text{Hom}_{\text{loc}}^k(\mathcal{O}_x, R)$ . Then we can construct a  $k$ -algebra homomorphism  $\psi$  as in the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{O}_x & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & R \xrightarrow{\pi} k \\ \pi_x \downarrow & \nearrow \exists! \psi & \\ \kappa(x) & & \end{array}$$

Therefore  $\psi = 0$ . We have  $\text{im } \varphi \subseteq \ker \pi = \langle \varepsilon \rangle$ . This is impossible as  $1 \in \text{im } \varphi$ . Hence  $\text{Hom}_{\text{loc}}^k(\mathcal{O}_x, R) = \emptyset$ . In summary, we have the bijective correspondence

$$\bigsqcup_{x \in X} \text{Hom}_{\text{loc}}^k(\mathcal{O}_x, R) \xleftarrow{1:1} \bigsqcup_{\substack{x \in X: \kappa(x) \cong k \\ \text{as } k\text{-algebras}}} T_x$$

Finally, the essentially same proof as in (i) shows that there is a bijective correspondence

$$\text{Mor}(\text{Spec}(k[\varepsilon]/\varepsilon^2), X) \xleftarrow{1:1} \bigsqcup_{x \in X} \text{Hom}_{\text{loc}}^k(\mathcal{O}_x, R)$$

which establishes the claimed result in (iii).  $\square$

### Question 5. A non-affine scheme

In topology, a classic example of a non-Hausdorff space that locally looks Euclidean is the line with two origins:

$$(\mathbb{R} \times \{1\} \sqcup \mathbb{R} \times \{2\}) / ((x, 1) \sim (x, 2) \text{ for } x \neq 0)$$

Note that  $\sigma_1 = (0, 1) \neq (0, 2) = \sigma_2$  are two origins, but the space near  $\sigma_i$  is still homeomorphic to  $\mathbb{R}$  via  $\mathbb{R} \times \{i\}$ . It is not Hausdorff since any two neighbourhoods of  $\sigma_1, \sigma_2$  intersect.

In algebraic geometry,  $\text{Spec } k[x]$  is the line  $k$  with the Zariski topology and  $\text{Spec } k[x]_{(x)}$  is the germ of the line at  $0 \in k$ .

- i) Let  $R := k[x]_{(x)}$ . Show that  $\text{Spec } R = \{(0), (x)\}$  with

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{O}_{\text{Spec } R}: \quad \emptyset &\longrightarrow 0 \\ \text{Spec } R &\longrightarrow R \\ D_x = \{(0)\} &\longrightarrow k(x) = \text{Frac } R \end{aligned}$$

- ii) Let  $X = \{\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \ell\}$  with the basis of open sets  $D_1 = \{\sigma_1, \ell\}$ ,  $D_2 = \{\sigma_2, \ell\}$ ,  $D_{12} = \{\ell\}$ . Define the presheaf  $\mathcal{O}$  by  $\mathcal{O}(X) = \mathcal{O}(D_1) = \mathcal{O}(D_2) = k[x]_{(x)}$ ,  $\mathcal{O}(D_{12}) = k(x) = \text{Frac}(k[x]_{(x)})$ ,  $\mathcal{O}(\emptyset) = 0$ , and the restriction homomorphisms are given by  $\text{id}: \mathcal{O}(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(D_i)$  and inclusion  $\mathcal{O}(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(D_{12})$ . Show that  $(X, \mathcal{O})$  is a scheme that is not affine.

*Proof.* i) Since  $k[x]/\langle x \rangle \cong k$  is a field,  $\langle x \rangle$  is a maximal ideal of  $k[x]$ . Hence  $R = k[x]_{(x)}$  is a local ring. We know that  $\text{Spec } R = \{\varphi(\mathfrak{p}) : \mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec } k[x], \mathfrak{p} \subseteq \langle x \rangle\}$ . For  $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec } k[x]$  such that  $\mathfrak{p} \subsetneq \langle x \rangle$ , let  $f(x) \in \mathfrak{p}$ . Then  $f(x) = xg(x)$  for some  $g \in k[x]$ . Since  $x \notin \mathfrak{p}$  and  $\mathfrak{p}$  is prime,  $g \in \mathfrak{p}$ . Hence  $f(x) = x^2h(x)$  for some  $h \in k[x]$ . Therefore we must have  $f = 0$ . Hence  $\mathfrak{p} = \{0\}$ . We have thus shown that  $\text{Spec } R = \{\{0\}, \langle x \rangle\}$ . The Zariski open subsets are  $D_0 = \emptyset$ ,  $D_1 = \text{Spec } R$ , and  $D_x = \{\{0\}\}$ . By the construction of  $\mathcal{O}_{\text{Spec } R}$ , we have

$$\mathcal{O}_{\text{Spec } R}(\emptyset) = R_0 = \{0\}, \quad \mathcal{O}_{\text{Spec } R}(\text{Spec } R) = R_1 = R, \quad \mathcal{O}_{\text{Spec } R}(D_x) = R_x$$

We claim that  $R_x \cong k(x)$ . For  $f \in k[x] \setminus \{0\}$ , let  $f(x) = x^m g(x)$ , where  $\gcd(g(x), x) = 1$ . Then  $1/g(x) \in R = k[x]_{(x)}$ . And  $1/f(x) = (1/g(x))/x^m \in R_x$ . Hence  $R_x$  is the field  $\text{Frac } k[x] = k(x)$ .

- ii) It is straightforward to verify that  $\mathcal{O}$  is a sheaf and  $(X, \mathcal{O})$  is a locally ringed space. By (i), we note that on the open set  $D_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ ,  $(D_i, \mathcal{O}|_{D_i}) \cong (\text{Spec } R, \mathcal{O}_{\text{Spec } R})$  as locally ringed spaces. Therefore  $(X, \mathcal{O})$  is a scheme.

Suppose that  $X$  is an affine scheme. Then  $(X, \mathcal{O}) \cong (\text{Spec } S, \mathcal{O}_{\text{Spec } S})$  for some ring  $S$ . Then  $k[x]_{(x)} = \mathcal{O}(X) \cong S$ . But then  $\text{Spec } S$  has only two elements, whereas  $X$  has three elements. They cannot be homeomorphic topological spaces. Contradiction. So  $X$  is not an affine scheme.  $\square$

### Question 6

Let  $A, B$  be Abelian categories.

- i) Show that  $h^X := \text{Hom}_A(X, -) : A \rightarrow \text{Ab}$  is a left exact functor.

- ii) Show that if  $h^X(A) \rightarrow h^X(B) \rightarrow h^X(C)$  is exact at  $h^X(B)$  for all  $X \in \mathcal{A}$ , then  $A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C$  is exact at  $B$ .
- iii) Show that  $h^\bullet : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^{\mathcal{A}}$  is a fully faithful contravariant functor, called **contravariant Yoneda embedding**.
- iv) Let  $F : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$  be a left adjoint functor to  $G : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ . Prove that  $F$  is right exact and  $G$  is left exact.

*Remark: (iii) and (iv) also hold if  $\mathcal{A}^{\mathcal{A}}$  is replaced by  $\mathbf{Set}$ , except the last statement about exactness becomes:  $F$  preserves colimits and  $G$  preserves limits.*

*Proof.* i) Suppose that we have a short exact sequence in  $\mathcal{A}$ :

$$0 \longrightarrow A \xrightarrow{f} B \xrightarrow{g} C \longrightarrow 0$$

In a general Abelian category, the exactness at  $B$  is equivalent to that  $g \circ f = 0$  and  $\text{coker } f \circ \ker g = 0$ . The sequence above is exact if and only if  $f = \ker g$  and  $g = \text{coker } f$ .

Applying the functor  $h^X$ , we need to show the exactness of

$$0 \longrightarrow \text{Hom}(X, A) \xrightarrow{h^X f} \text{Hom}(X, B) \xrightarrow{h^X g} \text{Hom}(X, C)$$

Since  $f$  is a monomorphism,  $h^X f(\alpha) = f \circ \alpha = 0$  implies that  $\alpha = 0$ . Hence  $h^X f$  is injective. Hence  $h^X f$  is a monomorphism. The sequence is exact at  $\text{Hom}(X, A)$ . ✓

Since  $g \circ f = 0$ ,  $h^X g \circ h^X f = h^X(g \circ f) = 0$ . Let  $\alpha \in \text{Hom}(X, B)$  with  $h^X g(\alpha) = g \circ \alpha = 0$ . By the universal property of  $f = \ker g : A \rightarrow B$ , there exists a unique map  $\tilde{\alpha} : X \rightarrow A$  such that  $\alpha = f \circ \tilde{\alpha} = h^X f(\tilde{\alpha})$ . Hence  $\ker h^X g = \text{im } h^X f$ . The sequence is exact at  $\text{Hom}(X, B)$ . ✓

We deduce that  $h^X$  is left exact.

- ii) First we take  $X = A$  and  $\text{id} \in \text{Hom}(A, A)$ . By exactness at  $\text{Hom}(A, B)$ , we have  $h^X(g) \circ h^X(f) = h^X(g \circ f) = 0$ . Hence  $g \circ f \circ \text{id} = h^X(g \circ f) = 0$ . Hence  $g \circ f = 0$ . ✓

Let  $\ker g : K \rightarrow B$  be the kernel of  $g : B \rightarrow C$ , and let  $\text{coker } f : B \rightarrow Z$  be the cokernel of  $f : A \rightarrow B$ . Since  $g \circ \ker g = h^K g(\ker g) = 0$ , by exactness at  $\text{Hom}(K, B)$ , there exists  $\alpha \in \text{Hom}(K, A)$  such that  $\ker g = h^K(\alpha) = f \circ \alpha$ . On the other hand, since  $g \circ f = 0$ , by the universal property of  $\text{coker } f$ , there exists a unique  $\beta \in \text{Hom}(C, Z)$  such that  $\beta \circ g = \text{coker } f$ , as shown in the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & K & & \\ & \swarrow \alpha & \downarrow \ker g & & \\ A & \xrightarrow{f} & B & \xrightarrow{g} & C \\ & \searrow \text{coker } f & \downarrow & \swarrow \exists! \beta & \\ & & Z & & \end{array}$$

Therefore  $\text{coker } f \circ \ker g = \beta \circ g \circ f \circ \alpha = 0$ . We deduce that  $A \xrightarrow{f} B \xrightarrow{g} C$  is exact at  $B$ . ✓

iii)

- iv) For  $X \in \text{Obj}(\mathcal{A})$  and  $Y \in \text{Obj}(\mathcal{B})$ , the adjunction  $F \dashv G$  implies the isomorphism of Abelian groups

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(F(X), Y) = \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{B}}(X, G(Y))$$

Suppose that the following is a short exact sequence in  $\mathcal{B}$ :

$$0 \longrightarrow A \xrightarrow{f} B \xrightarrow{g} C \longrightarrow 0$$

For each  $X$ , we apply the left exact functor  $h^{F(X)}$ . So we have the exact sequence



$$0 \longrightarrow \text{Hom}(F(X), A) \xrightarrow{h^{F(X)}f} \text{Hom}(F(X), B) \xrightarrow{h^{F(X)}g} \text{Hom}(F(X), C)$$

By functoriality of adjunction, this implies that the following sequence is exact:

$$0 \longrightarrow \text{Hom}(X, G(A)) \xrightarrow{h^X G(f)} \text{Hom}(X, G(B)) \xrightarrow{h^X G(g)} \text{Hom}(X, G(C))$$

By (ii) we deduce that the following sequence is exact:

$$0 \longrightarrow G(A) \xrightarrow{G(f)} G(B) \xrightarrow{G(g)} G(C) \longrightarrow 0$$

Hence  $G$  is left exact.

From the adjunction  $F \dashv G$  we have the adjunction  $G^{\text{op}} \dashv F^{\text{op}}$ . Hence  $F^{\text{op}} : B^{\text{op}} \rightarrow A^{\text{op}}$  is a right adjoint, which is left exact. Therefore  $F$  is right exact. □

*perfect.*