

- 1. A
- 2. A
- 3. A
- 4. A
- 5. B/C
- 6. NA

Peize Liu  
*St. Peter's College*  
*University of Oxford*

## Problem Sheet 2

# Conformal Field Theory

6 May, 2022

### Question 1

Consider the canonical energy-momentum tensor for the free boson in  $d > 2$ . Find an improvement term which makes it classically traceless without spoiling classical conservation.

[ *Hint. Note that the index structure suggests a general ansatz of the form:  $(\alpha\eta^{\mu\nu}\partial_\rho\partial^\rho + \beta\partial^\mu\partial^\nu)f(x)$ . ]*

*Proof.* The action and the canonical stress-energy tensor for the free boson are given in the notes:

$$S[\phi] = \int d^d x \mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2} \int d^d x \partial_\mu \phi \cdot \partial^\mu \phi.$$

$$T_c^{\mu\nu} = -\eta^{\mu\nu} \mathcal{L} + \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial(\partial_\mu \phi)} \partial^\nu \phi = -\frac{1}{2} \eta^{\mu\nu} \partial_\rho \phi \cdot \partial_\rho \phi + \partial^\mu \phi \cdot \partial^\nu \phi$$

The trace of the canonical stress-energy tensor is given by

$$(T^\mu{}_\mu)_c = -\frac{1}{2}(d-2)\partial_\rho \phi \cdot \partial^\rho \phi.$$

OK

We seek for an improvement  $B^{\mu\nu}$  such that  $T^{\mu\nu} + T_c^{\mu\nu} + B^{\mu\nu}$  satisfies the conservation equation  $\partial_\mu T^{\mu\nu} = 0$ . By the hint we consider  $B^{\mu\nu}$  of the form

$$B^{\mu\nu} = (\eta^{\mu\nu} \partial_\rho \partial^\rho - \partial^\mu \partial^\nu) f(\phi).$$

Indeed,

$$\partial_\mu B^{\mu\nu} = (\partial^\nu \partial_\rho \partial^\rho - \partial_\mu \partial^\mu \partial^\nu) f(\phi) = 0.$$

The trace of  $B^{\mu\nu}$  is given by

$$B^\mu{}_\mu = (d-1)\partial_\rho \partial^\rho f(\phi).$$

OK

If we take  $f(\phi) = \frac{d-2}{4(d-1)}\phi^2$ , then

$$B^\mu{}_\mu = \frac{d-2}{4}\partial_\rho \partial^\rho (\phi^2) = \frac{d-2}{2}(\partial_\rho \phi \partial^\rho \phi),$$

which implies that  $T^\mu{}_\mu = 0$ , where

$$T^{\mu\nu} = T_c^{\mu\nu} + \frac{d-2}{4(d-1)}(\eta^{\mu\nu} \partial_\rho \partial^\rho - \partial^\mu \partial^\nu) \phi^2.$$

OK

□

### Question 2

Consider two dimensional Liouville theory with the following Lagrangian density

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2} \partial_\mu \phi \partial^\mu \phi + \frac{1}{2} m^2 e^\phi$$

Write down the canonical energy momentum tensor and verify that it is conserved (on the equations of motion). Add a term such that it is also traceless, without spoiling conservation.

*Proof.* The canonical stress-energy tensor is given by

$$T_c^{\mu\nu} = -\eta^{\mu\nu} \mathcal{L} + \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial(\partial_\mu \phi)} \partial^\nu \phi = -\frac{1}{2} \eta^{\mu\nu} (\partial_\rho \phi \partial^\rho \phi + m^2 e^\phi) + \partial^\mu \phi \partial^\nu \phi.$$

OK

For the equation of motion, we write down the Euler–Lagrange equation:

$$\partial_\mu \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial(\partial_\mu \phi)} - \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \phi} = 0 \implies \partial_\mu \partial^\mu \phi = \frac{1}{2} m^2 e^\phi. \quad \text{OK}$$

So

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_\mu T_c^{\mu\nu} &= -\partial^\nu \partial_\rho \phi \partial^\rho \phi - \frac{1}{2} m^2 e^\phi \partial^\nu \phi + \partial_\mu \partial^\mu \phi \partial^\nu \phi + \partial^\mu \phi \partial_\mu \partial^\nu \phi \\ &= \left( \partial_\mu \partial^\mu \phi - \frac{1}{2} m^2 e^\phi \right) \partial^\nu \phi = 0 \quad \text{OK} \end{aligned}$$

The canonical stress-energy tensor indeed satisfies the conservation equation. The trace is given by

$$(T^\mu{}_\mu)_c = -\frac{1}{2}(d-2)\partial_\rho \phi \partial^\rho \phi - \frac{d}{2} m^2 e^\phi. \quad \text{OK}$$

From the previous question we know that the first term can be eliminated by adding a term  $B^{\mu\nu} = \frac{d-2}{4(d-1)}(\eta^{\mu\nu} \partial_\rho \partial^\rho \phi - \partial^\mu \partial^\nu \phi)$  to  $T_c^{\mu\nu}$ . For the second term, we use the equation of motion, and consider

$$C^{\mu\nu} = (\eta^{\mu\nu} \partial_\rho \partial^\rho \phi - \partial^\mu \partial^\nu \phi) f(\phi)$$

with

$$C^\mu{}_\mu = (d-1)\partial_\rho \partial^\rho \phi f(\phi) = d\partial_\rho \partial^\rho \phi f(\phi).$$

This implies that  $f(\phi) = \frac{d}{d-1}\phi$ . In summary,  $T^\mu{}_\mu = 0$  for

**OK, it was enough to consider d=2 as required in the question**

$$T^{\mu\nu} = T_c^{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{d-1}(\eta^{\mu\nu} \partial_\rho \partial^\rho \phi - \partial^\mu \partial^\nu \phi) \left( \frac{d-2}{4} \phi^2 + d\phi \right). \quad \square$$

### Question 3

Prove the following property under special conformal transformations

$$|x'_i - x'_j| = \frac{|x_i - x_j|}{\gamma_i^{1/2} \gamma_j^{1/2}}$$

where  $\gamma_i = 1 - 2b \cdot x_i + b^2 x_i^2$ .

*Proof.* For my convenience I use  $x := x_i$  and  $y := x_j$ . This equality is proved by brute force computation.

$$\begin{aligned} x'^\mu - y'^\mu &= \frac{x^\mu - b^\mu x^2}{\gamma_x} - \frac{y^\mu - b^\mu y^2}{\gamma_y} \\ \implies (x' - y')^2 &= \frac{x^2 + b^2 x^4 - 2x^2(b \cdot x)}{\gamma_x^2} + \frac{y^2 + b^2 y^4 - 2y^2(b \cdot y)}{\gamma_y^2} - \frac{2}{\gamma_x \gamma_y} (x \cdot y + b^2 x^2 y^2 - (b \cdot x)y^2 - (b \cdot y)x^2) \\ &= \frac{x^2}{\gamma_x} + \frac{y^2}{\gamma_y} + \frac{-2x \cdot y - y^2(1 - 2(b \cdot x) + b^2 x^2) - x^2(1 - 2(b \cdot y) + b^2 y^2) + x^2 + y^2}{\gamma_x \gamma_y} \\ &= \frac{x^2}{\gamma_x} + \frac{y^2}{\gamma_y} + \frac{-2x \cdot y - \gamma_x y^2 - \gamma_y x^2 + x^2 + y^2}{\gamma_x \gamma_y} \\ &= \frac{(x - y)^2}{\gamma_x \gamma_y} \quad \text{OK} \end{aligned}$$

By taking the square root we obtain the desired equality.  $\square$

### Question 4

Consider the inversion tensor  $I_{\mu\nu}(x) = \eta_{\mu\nu} - 2\frac{x_\mu x_\nu}{x^2}$ . Show that under inversions

$$I_{\mu\alpha}(x)I^{\alpha\beta}(x-y)I_{\beta\nu}(y) = I_{\mu\nu}(x'-y')$$

where  $(x')^\mu = x^\mu/x^2$  and  $(y')^\mu = y^\mu/y^2$ .

*Proof.* It seems that this question is nothing but a brute force computation. I did not find a neat way to do this...

We expand the left-hand side of the equation:

$$\begin{aligned} I_{\mu\alpha}(x)I^{\alpha\beta}(x-y)I_{\beta\nu}(y) &= (\eta_{\mu\alpha} - 2x'_\mu x_\alpha) \left( \eta^{\alpha\beta} - 2\frac{(x^\alpha - y^\alpha)(x^\beta - y^\beta)}{(x-y)^2} \right) (\eta_{\beta\nu} - 2y_\beta y'_\nu) \\ &= \eta_{\mu\nu} - 2x'_\mu x_\nu - 2y_\mu y'_\nu + 4x'_\mu y'_\nu x \cdot y \\ &\quad + 4\frac{x^2 - x \cdot y}{(x-y)^2} x'_\mu (x_\nu - y_\nu) - 4\frac{y^2 - x \cdot y}{(x-y)^2} y'_\nu (x_\mu - y_\mu) \\ &\quad - 2\frac{(x_\mu - y_\mu)(x_\nu - y_\nu)}{(x-y)^2} + 8\frac{(x^2 - x \cdot y)(y^2 - x \cdot y)}{(x-y)^2} x'_\mu y'_\nu \end{aligned}$$

There are 8 terms in the expansion. We group them in the following way:

- Coefficients of  $x_\mu x_\nu$ :

$$-\frac{2}{x^2} + \frac{4(x^2 - x \cdot y)}{x^2(x-y)^2} - \frac{2}{(x-y)^2} = -\frac{2y^2}{x^2(x-y)^2}.$$

- Coefficients of  $y_\mu y_\nu$ :

$$-\frac{2}{y^2} - \frac{4(y^2 - x \cdot y)}{y^2(x-y)^2} - \frac{2}{(x-y)^2} = -\frac{2x^2}{y^2(x-y)^2}.$$

- Coefficient of  $x_\nu y_\mu$ :

$$\frac{2}{(x-y)^2}.$$

- Coefficients of  $x_\mu y_\nu$ :

$$\frac{4x \cdot y}{x^2 y^2} - \frac{4(x^2 - x \cdot y)}{x^2(x-y)^2} - \frac{4(y^2 - x \cdot y)}{y^2(x-y)^2} + \frac{2}{(x-y)^2} + \frac{8(x^2 - x \cdot y)(y^2 - x \cdot y)}{x^2 y^2 (x-y)^2} = \frac{2}{(x-y)^2}.$$

Therefore the original expression simplifies to

$$\begin{aligned} &\eta_{\mu\nu} - \frac{2(y^4 x_\mu x_\nu + x^4 y_\mu y_\nu - x^2 y^2 x_\mu y_\nu - x^2 y^2 x_\nu y_\mu)}{x^2 y^2 (x-y)^2} \\ &= \eta_{\mu\nu} - \frac{2(y^2 x_\mu - x^2 y_\mu)(y^2 x_\nu - x^2 y_\nu)}{x^2 y^2 (x-y)^2} \\ &= \eta_{\mu\nu} - 2\frac{(x'_\mu - y'_\mu)(x'_\nu - y'_\nu)}{(x'-y')^2} \\ &= I_{\mu\nu}(x'-y'). \end{aligned}$$

OK

We have thus shown that this is equal to the right-hand side of the claimed equality. (It took me an hour to do this computation...)  $\square$

By Q3, for any conformal transformation,  $(x'-y')^2 = \Omega(x)\Omega(y)(x-y)^2$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{I_{\mu\nu}(x-y)}{(x-y)^2} &= -\frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\mu} \frac{\partial}{\partial y^\nu} \log(x-y)^2 \Rightarrow \frac{I_{\mu\nu}(x'-y')}{(x'-y')^2} \stackrel{!}{=} -\frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial x'^\mu} \frac{\partial}{\partial y'^\nu} \ln(x-y)^2 = \frac{\partial x^\alpha}{\partial x'^\mu} \frac{\partial y^\beta}{\partial y'^\nu} \frac{I_{\alpha\beta}(x-y)}{(x-y)^2} \\ &= R^\alpha{}_\mu(x) I_{\alpha\beta}(x-y) R^\beta{}_\nu(y) \\ &\stackrel{3}{=} (R_{\alpha\mu}(x) = I_{\alpha\mu}(x) \text{ for inversion}) \end{aligned}$$

### Question 5

Consider the OPE  $\phi_1(x)\phi_2(0)$  and the contribution to this OPE from a single primary  $\phi_\Delta(0)$  plus all its tower of descendants:

$$\phi_1(x)\phi_2(0)|0\rangle = \frac{\text{const}}{|x|^{\Delta_1+\Delta_2-\Delta}} (\phi_\Delta(0) + \alpha x^\mu \partial_\mu \phi_\Delta(0) + \dots) |0\rangle$$

In the lectures it has been shown how to fix  $\alpha$  by acting on both sides with  $K_\mu$ . By following the same idea compute the next orders in the above expansion, quadratic in  $x$ .

*Proof.* The OPE of  $\phi_1(x)\phi_2(0)|0\rangle$  in the second order is given by

**You are missing a term in the ansatz!**

$$\frac{\text{const}}{|x|^{\Delta_1+\Delta_2-\Delta}} (\phi_\Delta(0) + \alpha x^\mu \partial_\mu \phi_\Delta(0) + \beta x^\mu x^\nu \partial_\mu \partial_\nu \phi_\Delta(0) + \dots) |0\rangle. \quad (*)$$

From the lectures we know that  $\alpha = \frac{\Delta_1 + \Delta_2 - \Delta}{2\Delta}$ . To compute  $\beta$ , we again let  $K_\mu$  acts on the expression. The second order term of  $K_\mu \phi_1(x)\phi_2(0)|0\rangle$  is given by

$$i\alpha(\Delta_1 + \Delta_2 - \Delta) \frac{\text{const}}{|x|^{\Delta_1+\Delta_2-\Delta}} x_\mu x^\nu \partial_\nu \phi_\Delta(0) |0\rangle.$$

The second order term of  $K_\mu$  acting on equation (\*) is given by

$$\begin{aligned} -\beta \frac{\text{const}}{|x|^{\Delta_1+\Delta_2-\Delta}} x^\nu x^\rho K_\mu P_\nu P_\rho \phi_\Delta(0) |0\rangle &= -2i\beta \frac{\text{const}}{|x|^{\Delta_1+\Delta_2-\Delta}} x^\nu x^\rho (\eta_{\mu\rho} P_\nu D + \eta_{\mu\nu} D P_\rho) \phi_\Delta(0) |0\rangle \\ &= -2i\beta \frac{\text{const}}{|x|^{\Delta_1+\Delta_2-\Delta}} x^\nu x^\rho (\eta_{\mu\rho} P_\nu D + \eta_{\mu\nu} P_\rho D + i\eta_{\mu\nu} P_\rho) \phi_\Delta(0) |0\rangle \\ &= 2i\beta \frac{\text{const}}{|x|^{\Delta_1+\Delta_2-\Delta}} (2\Delta + 1) x_\mu x^\nu \partial_\nu \phi_\Delta(0) |0\rangle \end{aligned}$$

Therefore we must have

$$\beta = \frac{\alpha(\Delta_1 + \Delta_2 - \Delta)}{2(2\Delta + 1)} = \frac{(\Delta_1 + \Delta_2 - \Delta)^2}{4\Delta(\Delta + 1)}.$$

□

**Hiding too many steps and final result is wrong**

### Question 6

Rederive the results above by considering appropriate two and three point functions in a conformal field theory, following the "practical method" described in the lecture notes.

*Proof.* Suppose that the OPE of  $\phi_1(x)\phi_2(0)$  takes the form

$$\phi_1(x)\phi_2(0) = C_\Delta(x, \partial)\phi_\Delta(0).$$

From the notes, the 3-point correlation function is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \phi_1(x)\phi_2(0)\phi_\Delta(z) \rangle &= C_{12\Delta} C_\Delta(x, \partial) \langle \phi_\Delta(y)\phi_\Delta(z) \rangle \Big|_{y=0} \\ &= \frac{C_{12\Delta}}{|x|^{\Delta_1+\Delta_2-\Delta} \cdot |z|^{\Delta_2+\Delta-\Delta_1} \cdot |x-z|^{\Delta_1+\Delta-\Delta_2}}. \end{aligned}$$

The 2-point correlation function is given by

$$\langle \phi_\Delta(y)\phi_\Delta(z) \rangle = \frac{1}{|y-z|^{2\Delta}}.$$

**Incomplete**

□

## Operator Product Expansion

- They converge in CFT but in general QFT !
- State-operator correspondence

$$- \varphi_1(x) \varphi_2(0) = \sum_{\mathcal{O}} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{C_{12\mathcal{O}}}{|x|^{\Delta_1+\Delta_2-\Delta}} \alpha_m(x, \partial_y) \mathcal{O}(y) \Big|_{y=0}$$

$\mathcal{O}$  &  $C_{12\mathcal{O}}$  comes from specific CFTs

Q5.

$$\alpha_0 = 1 ; \alpha_1 = \alpha x^\mu \partial_\mu \quad \alpha_2 = \beta x^\mu x^\nu \partial_\mu \partial_\nu + \gamma x^2 \partial_\mu \partial^\mu$$

↑ spin 1 rep. of rotation

$$\begin{aligned} K_\mu \varphi_1(x) \varphi_2(0) |0\rangle &= [K_\mu, \varphi_1(x)] \varphi_2(0) |0\rangle \\ &= \underbrace{(2i\Delta x_\mu \varphi_1(x) + 2ix_\mu x^\nu \partial_\nu \varphi_1(x) - ix^2 \partial_\mu \varphi_1(x))}_{=: \eta_\mu \varphi_1(x)} \varphi_2(0) |0\rangle \end{aligned}$$

$$[K_\mu, K_\nu] = 0$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Rightarrow K_\mu K_\nu \varphi_1(x) \varphi_2(0) |0\rangle &= \eta_\mu \eta_\nu \varphi_1(x) \varphi_2(0) |0\rangle \\ &= -\frac{\Delta_1+\Delta_2-\Delta}{|x|^{\Delta_1+\Delta_2-\Delta}} (-x^2 \eta_{\mu\nu} + x_\mu x_\nu (2+\Delta_1-\Delta_2+\Delta) + \dots) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} K_\mu K_\nu \left( \frac{C_{12\mathcal{O}}}{|x|^{\dots}} (1 + \alpha x^\mu P_\mu + \beta x^\mu x^\nu P_\mu P_\nu + \dots) |0\rangle \right) \\ = -\frac{C_{12\mathcal{O}}}{|x|^{\dots}} (8\Delta(\Delta+1)\beta x_\mu x_\nu + (-4\beta\Delta + 8\Delta(\Delta+1) - 4\gamma\Delta\alpha) x^2 \eta_{\mu\nu} + \dots) \\ \Rightarrow \beta = \frac{(\Delta_1-\Delta_2+\Delta)(2+\Delta_1-\Delta_2+\Delta)}{8\Delta(\Delta+1)}, \quad \gamma = \dots \end{aligned}$$

Q6.

$$\begin{aligned} G_3(x, z) &:= \langle \varphi_1(x) \varphi_2(0) \varphi_3(z) \rangle = \sum_{\Delta'} C_{12\Delta'} \alpha_{\Delta'}(x, \partial) \langle \varphi_{\Delta'}(y) \varphi_{\Delta}(z) \rangle \Big|_{y=0} \\ &= \sum_{\Delta} C_{12\Delta} \alpha_{\Delta}(x, \partial) \frac{1}{|y-z|^{2\Delta}} \Big|_{y=0} \end{aligned}$$

Expand 2-pt function :

$$\frac{1}{|y-z|^{2\Delta}} = \frac{1}{|z|^{2\Delta}} \left( 1 + 2\Delta \frac{y \cdot z}{|z|^2} - \Delta \cdot \frac{|y|^2}{|z|^2} + \dots \right)$$

$$0 \partial : \frac{1}{|z|^b}, (b = \Delta_2 + \Delta - \Delta_1), \quad 1 \partial : \frac{x_\mu z^\mu}{|z|^{b+2}}, \quad 2 \partial : \dots$$

USE MATHEMATICA !