

Peize Liu  
*St. Peter's College*  
*University of Oxford*

## **Problem Sheet 1**

# B8.1: Probability, Measure & Martingales

Grade: Alpha++  
This is beauty. Your solutions are better than sample solutions.

26 October, 2020

## Section 1

### Question 1

Let  $\Omega$  be a set.

- (a) Show that the collection of all sets  $A \in \mathcal{P}(\Omega)$  such that either  $A$  or  $A^c$  is countable is a  $\sigma$ -algebra.
- (b) Give an example of two algebras  $\mathcal{F}_1$  and  $\mathcal{F}_2$  on  $\Omega = \{1, 2, 3\}$  whose union  $\mathcal{F}_1 \cup \mathcal{F}_2$  is *not* an algebra.
- (c) (Proof of Lemma 1.3) Suppose that  $\{\mathcal{F}_j\}_{j \in J}$  is a non-empty family of  $\sigma$ -algebras on  $\Omega$ . Prove that the intersection  $\bigcap_{j \in J} \mathcal{F}_j$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra on  $\Omega$ .

*Proof.* (a) In this question **countable** means **at most countable**. Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be the collection.

- Since  $\emptyset$  is at most countable,  $\emptyset \in \mathcal{F}$ ; ✓
- For  $A \in \mathcal{F}$ , either  $A$  or  $\Omega \setminus A$  is at most countable. Thus  $\Omega \setminus A \in \mathcal{F}$ ;
- Let  $I$  be a countable index set. For  $\{A_i : i \in I\} \subseteq \mathcal{F}$ , let  $I = J \cup K$  such that  $\{A_i : i \in J\}$  and  $\{\Omega \setminus A_i : i \in K\}$  are collections of at most countable sets. If  $K = \emptyset$ , then

$$\bigcup \{A_i : i \in I\} = \bigcup \{A_i : i \in J\}$$

✓

is a countable union of at most countable sets and hence is at most countable. We deduce that  $\bigcup \{A_i : i \in I\} \in \mathcal{F}$ .

If  $K \neq \emptyset$ , then fix  $A_k$  such that  $\Omega \setminus A_k$  is at most countable. We have

$$\Omega \setminus \bigcup \{A_i : i \in I\} = \bigcap \{\Omega \setminus A_i : i \in I\} \subseteq \Omega \setminus A_k$$

✓

$\Omega \setminus \bigcup \{A_i : i \in I\}$  is a subset of an at most countable set and hence is at most countable. We deduce that  $\bigcup \{A_i : i \in I\} \in \mathcal{F}$ .

We conclude that  $\mathcal{F}$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra.

- (b) On  $\Omega = \{1, 2, 3\}$ , the following are algebras:

$$\mathcal{F}_1 = \{\emptyset, \{1\}, \{2, 3\}, \{1, 2, 3\}\}$$

$$\mathcal{F}_2 = \{\emptyset, \{2\}, \{1, 3\}, \{1, 2, 3\}\}$$

However,  $\mathcal{F}_1 \cup \mathcal{F}_2 = \{\emptyset, \{1\}, \{2\}, \{1, 3\}, \{2, 3\}, \{1, 2, 3\}\}$  is not an algebra, because  $\{1\}, \{2\} \in \mathcal{F}_1 \cup \mathcal{F}_2$  and  $\{1, 2\} = \{1\} \cup \{2\} \notin \mathcal{F}_1 \cup \mathcal{F}_2$ . ✓

- (c) • For  $j \in J$ ,  $\emptyset \in \mathcal{F}_j$ . Hence  $\emptyset \in \bigcap \{\mathcal{F}_j : j \in J\}$ .
- $A \in \bigcap \{\mathcal{F}_j : j \in J\} \iff \forall j \in J : A \in \mathcal{F}_j \iff \forall j \in J : \Omega \setminus A \in \mathcal{F}_j \iff \Omega \setminus A \in \bigcap \{\mathcal{F}_j : j \in J\}$ .
- $\{A_i : i \in \mathbb{N}\} \subseteq \bigcap \{\mathcal{F}_j : j \in J\} \iff \forall j \in J : \{A_i : i \in \mathbb{N}\} \subseteq \mathcal{F}_j \iff \forall j \in J : \bigcup \{A_i : i \in \mathbb{N}\} \in \mathcal{F}_j \iff \bigcup \{A_i : i \in \mathbb{N}\} \in \bigcap \{\mathcal{F}_j : j \in J\}$ .

We conclude that  $\bigcap \{\mathcal{F}_j : j \in J\}$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra. ✓

□

### Question 2

Let  $\mathcal{P} = \{P_j\}_{j \in J}$  be a partition of a set  $\Omega$  (i.e., a collection of disjoint non-empty sets with union  $\Omega$ ). Show that the set  $\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{P})$  consisting of all possible unions of sets  $P_j$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra on  $\Omega$ . Conversely, show that any  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{F}$  on a countable set  $\Omega$  is of the form  $\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{P})$  for some partition  $\mathcal{P}$  of  $\Omega$ .

[Warning: the converse is very far from true when  $\Omega$  is uncountable.]

*Proof.* By definition,  $\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{P}) = \{\bigcup \{P_j : j \in I\} : I \subseteq J\}$ . First we show that  $\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{P})$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra on  $\Omega$ :

- Since  $\emptyset = \bigcup \{P_j : j \in \emptyset\}$ ,  $\emptyset \in \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{P})$ . ✓
- For  $A \in \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{P})$ , there exists  $I \subseteq J$  such that  $A = \bigcup \{P_j : j \in I\}$ . Since  $\mathcal{P}$  is a partition of  $\Omega$ ,

$$\Omega = \bigcup \{P_j : j \in J\} = \bigcup \{P_j : j \in I\} \cup \bigcup \{P_j : j \in J \setminus I\}$$

✓

Hence  $\Omega \setminus A = \bigcup \{P_j : j \in J \setminus I\} \in \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{P})$ .

- For  $\{A_i : i \in \mathbb{N}\} \subseteq \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{P})$ ,  $A_i = \bigcup \{P_{i,j} : j \in I_i\}$  for some  $I_i \subseteq J$ . Then

$$\bigcup \{A_i : i \in \mathbb{N}\} = \bigcup \{P_{i,j} : j \in I_i, i \in \mathbb{N}\} = \bigcup \{P_j : j \in \bigcup \{I_i : i \in \mathbb{N}\}\} \in \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{P})$$

Hence  $\bigcup \{A_i : i \in \mathbb{N}\} \in \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{P})$ . ✓

We conclude that  $\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{P})$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra on  $\Omega$ .

Conversely, let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a  $\sigma$ -algebra on a countable set  $\Omega$ . We define an equivalence relation on  $\Omega$ : For  $x, y \in \Omega$ ,

$$x \sim y \iff \forall A \in \mathcal{F} (x \in A \iff y \in A)$$

Let  $\mathcal{P}$  be the partition defined by this equivalence relation. We claim that  $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{P})$ . ✓

For  $x \in \Omega$ , let  $[x]$  denote the equivalence class of  $x$ . For  $A \in \mathcal{F}$ , if  $x \in A$  then  $[x] \subseteq A$  by definition. Hence  $A$  is a union of some equivalence classes. In particular  $A \in \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{P})$ . Hence  $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{P})$ . On the other hand, for  $x \in \Omega$ ,

$$[x] = \bigcap \{A \in \mathcal{F} : x \in A\} \implies \Omega \setminus [x] = \bigcup \{A \in \mathcal{F} : x \notin A\}$$

Since  $\Omega \setminus [x] \subseteq \Omega$ ,  $\Omega \setminus [x]$  is at most countable. Write  $\Omega \setminus [x] = \{y_i : i \in \mathbb{N}\}$  where  $y_i \in A_i \in \mathcal{F}$  and  $x \notin A_i$ . Then

$$\Omega \setminus [x] = \bigcup \{\{y_i\} : i \in \mathbb{N}\} = \bigcup \{A_i : i \in \mathbb{N}\}$$

The union is now countable. Hence  $\Omega \setminus [x] \in \mathcal{F}$  and  $[x] \in \mathcal{F}$ .

Finally, for  $A \in \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{P})$ , since  $A \subseteq \Omega$  is at most countable,  $A = \bigcup \{[x_j] : j \in I\}$  for some at most countable index set  $I$ . Hence  $A \in \mathcal{F}$ .  $\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{P}) \subseteq \mathcal{F}$ .

We conclude that  $\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{P}) = \mathcal{F}$ . ✓ □

### Question 3

Let  $(f_n)$  be a sequence of measurable functions on  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F})$  taking values in  $\overline{\mathbb{R}}$ . Show that  $f_1 + f_2$ ,  $\max\{f_1, f_2\}$  and  $\sup_n f_n$  are all measurable functions.

*Proof.*  $\overline{\mathbb{R}} = \mathbb{R} \cup \{-\infty, +\infty\}$  is a linearly and densely ordered set with the topology generated by the basis:

$$\{(a, b) : a, b \in \mathbb{R}, a < b\} \cup \{[-\infty, a) : a \in \mathbb{R}\} \cup \{(a, +\infty) : a \in \mathbb{R}\}$$

For a map  $f : \Omega \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ , by Corollary 1.19  $f$  is  $\mathcal{F}$ -measurable if and only if  $\{x \in \overline{\mathbb{R}} : f(x) \leq t\} \in \mathcal{F}$  for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ .

1.  $f_1 + f_2$  is measurable:

For  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ , note that

$$\{x \in \Omega : (f_1 + f_2)(x) \leq t\} = \bigcup_{q \in \mathbb{Q}} \{x \in \Omega : f_1(x) \leq q \wedge f_2(x) \leq t - q\} = \bigcup_{q \in \mathbb{Q}} (\{x \in \Omega : f_1(x) \leq q\} \cap \{x \in \Omega : f_2(x) \leq t - q\})$$

For each  $q \in \mathbb{Q}$ , since  $f_1, f_2$  are measurable,  $\{x \in \Omega : f_1(x) \leq q\}, \{x \in \Omega : f_2(x) \leq t - q\} \in \mathcal{F}$ . Hence  $\{x \in \Omega : f_1(x) \leq q\} \cap \{x \in \Omega : f_2(x) \leq t - q\} \in \mathcal{F}$ . As  $\mathbb{Q}$  is countable, we deduce that the countable union  $\{x \in \Omega : (f_1 + f_2)(x) \leq t\} \in \mathcal{F}$ . Hence  $f_1 + f_2$  is measurable. ✓

2. We show that  $h \circ f_1$  is measurable for any continuous map  $h : \overline{\mathbb{R}} \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ .

Since  $h$  is continuous, the pullback of a Borel set in  $\overline{\mathbb{R}}$  under  $h$  is also Borel. Hence  $(h \circ f_1)^{-1}(A) = f_1^{-1}(h^{-1}(A)) \in \mathcal{F}$  for any Borel set  $A \subseteq \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ . Hence  $h \circ f_1$  is measurable. ✓

3.  $\max\{f_1, f_2\}$  is measurable:

Note that  $\max\{f_1, f_2\} = \frac{1}{2}((f_1 + f_2) + |f_1 - f_2|)$ . Since  $f_2$  is measurable,  $-f_2 = h_1 \circ f_2$  is measurable, where  $h_1(x) = -x$  is continuous. Hence  $f_1 - f_2$  is measurable. Hence  $|f_1 - f_2| = h_2 \circ (f_1 - f_2)$  is measurable, where  $h_2(x) = |x|$  is continuous. Hence  $g := (f_1 + f_2) + |f_1 - f_2|$  is measurable. Hence  $\max\{f_1, f_2\} = h_3 \circ g$  is measurable, where  $h_3(x) = \frac{1}{2}x$  is continuous. ✓

4.  $(\sup_n f_n)(x) := \sup\{f_n(x) : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  is measurable:

For  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ ,

$$\left\{x \in \Omega : \sup_n f_n(x) \leq t\right\} = \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \{x \in \Omega : f_n(x) \leq t\} = \Omega \setminus \left(\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \Omega \setminus \{x \in \Omega : f_n(x) \leq t\}\right) \in \mathcal{F}$$

since  $\{x \in \Omega : f_n(x) \leq t\} \in \mathcal{F}$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Hence  $\sup_n f_n$  is measurable. ✓

□

#### Question 4

(Lemma 1.29) Let  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F})$  be the product space of two measurable spaces  $(\Omega_i, \mathcal{F}_i)$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ . Show that if  $f : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is measurable then

- for each  $\omega_1 \in \Omega_1$ ,  $\Omega_2 \ni \omega_2 \rightarrow f(\omega_1, \omega_2)$  is  $\mathcal{F}_2$ -measurable and
- for each  $\omega_2 \in \Omega_2$ ,  $\Omega_1 \ni \omega_1 \rightarrow f(\omega_1, \omega_2)$  is  $\mathcal{F}_1$ -measurable.

(Exercise 1.13) Deduce that if  $D \in \mathcal{F}$  and  $D(\omega_1) := \{\omega_2 : (\omega_1, \omega_2) \in D\}$  is its section for a fixed  $\omega_1 \in \Omega_1$  then  $D(\omega_1) \in \mathcal{F}_2$ .

*Proof.* In this question we use  $\mathcal{F}_1 \times \mathcal{F}_2$  to denote  $\{A_1 \times A_2 : A_1 \in \mathcal{F}_1, A_2 \in \mathcal{F}_2\}$  and use  $\mathcal{F}_1 \otimes \mathcal{F}_2$  to denote the  $\sigma$ -algebra generated by  $\mathcal{F}_1 \times \mathcal{F}_2$ .

First we prove the result in Exercise 1.13.

Let  $\mathcal{A}(\omega_1) := \{D \in \mathcal{F}_1 \otimes \mathcal{F}_2 : D(\omega_1) \in \mathcal{F}_2\} \subseteq \mathcal{F}_1 \otimes \mathcal{F}_2$  for a fixed  $\omega_1 \in \Omega_1$ . First we show that  $\mathcal{A}(\omega_1)$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra:

- Since  $\emptyset(\omega_1) = \emptyset \in \mathcal{F}_2$ ,  $\emptyset \in \mathcal{A}(\omega_1)$ .
- For  $D \in \mathcal{A}(\omega_1)$ :

$$D(\omega_1) \in \mathcal{F}_2 \implies \Omega \setminus D(\omega_1) = ((\Omega_1 \times \Omega_2) \setminus D)(\omega_1) \in \mathcal{F}_2 \implies (\Omega_1 \times \Omega_2) \setminus D \in \mathcal{A}(\omega_1) \quad \checkmark$$

- For  $\{D_i : i \in \mathbb{N}\} \subseteq \mathcal{A}(\omega_1)$ :

$$\forall i \in \mathbb{N} D_i(\omega_1) \in \mathcal{F}_2 \implies \bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} D_i(\omega_1) = \left(\bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} D_i\right)(\omega_1) \in \mathcal{F}_2 \implies \bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} D_i \in \mathcal{A}(\omega_1) \quad \checkmark$$

Next, for  $D \in \mathcal{F}_1 \times \mathcal{F}_2$ ,  $D = A_1 \times A_2$  for some  $A_1 \in \mathcal{F}_1$  and  $A_2 \in \mathcal{F}_2$ . Hence  $D(\omega_1) = A_2 \in \mathcal{F}_2$ . In particular  $\mathcal{F}_1 \times \mathcal{F}_2 \subseteq \mathcal{A}(\omega_1)$ . Therefore  $\mathcal{F}_1 \otimes \mathcal{F}_2 = \sigma(\mathcal{F}_1 \times \mathcal{F}_2) \subseteq \sigma(\mathcal{A}(\omega_1)) = \mathcal{A}(\omega_1)$ . We deduce that  $\mathcal{A}(\omega_1) = \mathcal{F}_1 \otimes \mathcal{F}_2$ .

Now we prove Lemma 1.29. By symmetry it suffices to prove one result:  $\omega_2 \mapsto f(\omega_1, \omega_2)$  is  $\mathcal{F}_2$ -measurable for each  $\omega_1 \in \Omega_1$ .

For  $D \in \mathcal{F}_1 \otimes \mathcal{F}_2$ , the indicator function  $\mathbf{1}_D$  is  $\mathcal{F}$ -measurable. The map  $\omega_2 \mapsto \mathbf{1}_D(\omega_1, \omega_2)$  is in fact the indicator function  $\mathbf{1}_{D(\omega_1)}$  on  $\Omega_2$ . By the previous proof we have shown that  $D(\omega_1) \in \mathcal{F}_2$ . Therefore  $\mathbf{1}_{D(\omega_1)}$  is  $\mathcal{F}_2$ -measurable.

For a simple function  $\varphi = \sum_i c_i \mathbf{1}_{D_i}$ ,  $\omega_2 \mapsto \varphi(\omega_1, \omega_2)$  is  $\sum_i c_i \mathbf{1}_{D_i(\omega_1)}$  and hence is  $\mathcal{F}_2$ -measurable.

For a  $\mathcal{F}$ -measurable function  $f : \Omega_1 \times \Omega_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , by Lemma 1.26 there exists an increasing sequence of simple function  $\{\varphi_n\}$  such that  $\varphi_n \uparrow f$ . Then  $\omega_2 \mapsto f(\omega_1, \omega_2)$  is the limit of  $\omega_2 \mapsto \varphi_n(\omega_1, \omega_2)$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Again by Lemma 1.26 we deduce that  $\omega_2 \mapsto f(\omega_1, \omega_2)$  is  $\mathcal{F}_2$ -measurable. ✓

□

#### Question 5. Proof of Theorem 2.10

Let  $\mu_1, \mu_2$  be two finite measures on  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F})$  with  $\mu_1(\Omega) = \mu_2(\Omega)$ . Verify that  $\{A \in \mathcal{F} : \mu_1(A) = \mu_2(A)\}$  is a  $\lambda$ -system.

*Proof.* Let  $\mathcal{A} := \{A \in \mathcal{F} : \mu_1(A) = \mu_2(A)\}$

- $\mu_1(\Omega) = \mu_2(\Omega)$  implies that  $\Omega \in \mathcal{A}$ .
- For  $A, B \in \mathcal{A}$  with  $A \subseteq B$ , we have  $\mu_1(A) = \mu_2(A)$  and  $\mu_1(B) = \mu_2(B)$ . By additivity of  $\mu_1$ ,  $\mu_1(B) = \mu_1(A \cup B \setminus A) = \mu_1(A) + \mu_1(B \setminus A)$ . Similarly  $\mu_2(B) = \mu_2(A) + \mu_2(B \setminus A)$ . Hence  $\mu_1(B \setminus A) = \mu_2(B \setminus A)$ .  $B \setminus A \in \mathcal{A}$ .
- Let  $\{A_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\} \subseteq \mathcal{A}$  be an ascending chain. By continuity of  $\mu_1$  and  $\mu_2$ ,

$$\mu_1\left(\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} A_n\right) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu_1(A_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu_2(A_n) = \mu_2\left(\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} A_n\right)$$

Hence  $\bigcup\{A_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\} \in \mathcal{A}$ .

We conclude that  $\mathcal{A}$  is a  $\lambda$ -system.  $\checkmark$

□

### Question 6

Use  $\pi$ - $\lambda$  systems Lemma to prove the Monotone Class Theorem (Theorem 1.28) in the case when  $\mathcal{C} = \{\mathbf{1}_A : A \in \mathcal{A}\}$  for a  $\pi$ -system  $\mathcal{A}$ .

*Proof.* Consider  $\mathcal{E} := \{E \subseteq \Omega : \mathbf{1}_E \in \mathcal{H}\}$ . First we show that  $\mathcal{E}$  is a  $\lambda$ -system.

- $\mathbf{1}_\Omega \in \mathcal{H}$  implies that  $\Omega \in \mathcal{E}$ .  $\checkmark$
- For  $A, B \in \mathcal{E}$  with  $A \subseteq B$ ,  $\mathbf{1}_A, \mathbf{1}_B \in \mathcal{H}$ . As  $\mathcal{H}$  is a vector space,  $\mathbf{1}_{B \setminus A} = \mathbf{1}_B - \mathbf{1}_A \in \mathcal{H}$ . Hence  $B \setminus A \in \mathcal{E}$ .  $\checkmark$
- Let  $\{A_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\} \subseteq \mathcal{E}$  be an ascending chain. Then  $\{\mathbf{1}_{A_n} : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  is an ascending chain in  $\mathcal{H}$  with limit  $\mathbf{1}_{\bigcup\{A_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}}$ . Hence  $\mathbf{1}_{\bigcup\{A_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}} \in \mathcal{H}$ . Hence  $\bigcup\{A_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\} \in \mathcal{E}$ .  $\checkmark$

Since  $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathcal{H}$ ,  $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{E}$ . By  $\pi$ - $\lambda$  systems Lemma,  $\sigma(\mathcal{A}) \subseteq \mathcal{E}$ .

Let  $f : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a bounded  $\sigma(\mathcal{C})$ -measurable function. By Lemma 1.26 there exists a sequence of simple functions

$$\varphi_n = \sum_{i=1}^{k_n} c_{i,n} \mathbf{1}_{E_{i,n}}$$

such that  $\varphi_n \uparrow f$ , where  $E_{i,n} \in \sigma(\mathcal{C})$ .

As  $\sigma(\mathcal{C})$  is the smallest  $\sigma$ -algebra such that every function in  $\mathcal{C}$  is measurable and  $\mathcal{C} = \{\mathbf{1}_A : A \in \mathcal{A}\}$ , we have  $\sigma(\mathcal{C}) = \sigma(\mathcal{A})$ .  $E_{i,n} \in \sigma(\mathcal{A}) \subseteq \mathcal{E}$  implies that  $\mathbf{1}_{E_{i,n}} \in \mathcal{H}$ . Since  $\mathcal{H}$  is a vector space,  $\varphi_n \in \mathcal{H}$ . Since  $\varphi_n \uparrow f$ , we deduce that  $f \in \mathcal{H}$ .

We conclude that  $\mathcal{H}$  contains all bounded  $\sigma(\mathcal{C})$ -measurable functions.  $\checkmark$

□

### Question 7. Lemma 2.4

Let  $\mu : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  be an additive set function on an algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  taking only finite values. Show that  $\mu$  is countably additive iff for every sequence  $(A_n)$  of sets in  $\mathcal{A}$  with  $A_n \downarrow \emptyset$  we have  $\mu(A_n) \rightarrow 0$ .

*Proof.* " $\Rightarrow$ ": Suppose that  $\mu$  is countably additive. By Proposition 2.3(v), for any descending chain  $\{A_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  with  $\bigcap\{A_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\} = \emptyset$ ,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu(A_n) = \mu\left(\bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} A_n\right) = \mu(\emptyset) = 0$$

" $\Leftarrow$ ": Suppose that for any descending chain  $\{A_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  with  $\bigcap\{A_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\} = \emptyset$  we have  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu(A_n) = 0$ .

Consider a countable collection of disjoint sets  $\{A_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ . Let  $A := \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} A_n$  and  $E_k := A \setminus \bigcup_{n=0}^k A_n$ . Then  $E_k \downarrow \emptyset$ . From finite additivity we have

$$0 = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu(E_n) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \mu\left(A \setminus \bigcup_{n=0}^k A_n\right) = \mu(A) - \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{n=0}^k \mu(A_n) = \mu(A) - \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mu(A_n)$$

Hence  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mu(A_n) = \mu\left(\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} A_n\right)$ . We conclude that  $\mu$  is countably additive.  $\square$

### Question 8

On  $\mathbb{R}$  consider the  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  of sets which are either countable or have a countable complement. Let  $\mu(A) = 0$  for countable  $A$  and  $\mu(A) = 1$  otherwise,  $A \in \mathcal{A}$ . Show that  $\mu$  is a probability measure on  $\mathcal{A}$ .

*Proof.* Since  $\mathbb{R}$  is uncountable,  $\mu(\mathbb{R}) = 1$ . So it suffices to show that  $\mu$  is a measure on  $(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{A})$ .

- Since  $\emptyset$  is at most countable,  $\mu(\emptyset) = 0$ .  $\checkmark$

- Suppose that  $\{A_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\} \subseteq \mathcal{A}$  is a countable collection of disjoint sets.

If there exists  $A_i, A_j$  uncountable with  $A_i \cap A_j = \emptyset$ , then  $\mathbb{R} \setminus A_i \supseteq A_j$  is not countable, contradiction. Hence  $\bigcup \{A_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  can have at most one uncountable element. ✓

If every  $A_n$  is countable, then  $\bigcup \{A_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  is a countable union of countable sets, and hence is countable. We have:

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mu(A_n) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} 0 = 0 = \mu\left(\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} A_n\right) \quad \checkmark$$

Suppose that  $A_i$  is uncountable and the rest are countable. Then  $\bigcup \{A_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  is uncountable and

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mu(A_n) = \mu(A_i) + \sum_{n \neq i} \mu(A_n) = 1 = \mu\left(\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} A_n\right) \quad \checkmark$$

Hence  $\mu$  is countably additive. □

### Question 9

Let  $\Omega$  be a set and  $\mathcal{S}$  a  $\pi$ -system on  $\Omega$ . Let  $\mathcal{F} = \sigma(\mathcal{S})$ . Suppose that  $\mu_1$  and  $\mu_2$  are two measures on  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F})$  with  $\mu_1(\Omega) = \mu_2(\Omega) < \infty$  and  $\mu_1 = \mu_2$  on  $\mathcal{S}$ . Then Theorem 2.10 on uniqueness of extension says that  $\mu_1 = \mu_2$  on  $\mathcal{F}$ . Find an example on  $\Omega = \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$  where this fails if we drop the assumption that  $\mathcal{S}$  is a  $\pi$ -system.

*Proof.* Let  $\mathcal{S} = \{\{1, 2\}, \{2, 3\}\}$ . Then  $\mathcal{S}$  is not a  $\pi$ -system and  $\sigma(\mathcal{S}) = \mathcal{P}(\Omega)$ . Let  $\mu_1 : \mathcal{P}(\Omega) \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$  be a measure such that

$$\mu_1(\{1\}) = 0, \quad \mu_1(\{2\}) = 1, \quad \mu_1(\{3\}) = 0, \quad \mu_1(\{4\}) = 1$$

Let  $\mu_2 : \mathcal{P}(\Omega) \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$  be a measure such that

$$\mu_2(\{1\}) = 1, \quad \mu_2(\{2\}) = 0, \quad \mu_2(\{3\}) = 1, \quad \mu_2(\{4\}) = 0$$

Then

$$\mu_1(\{1, 2\}) = \mu_2(\{1, 2\}) = 1, \quad \mu_1(\{1, 3\}) = \mu_2(\{1, 3\}) = 1, \quad \mu_1(\Omega) = \mu_2(\Omega) = 2$$

But it is clear that  $\mu_1 \neq \mu_2$ . □

### Question 10

Let  $\Psi = \Phi^{-1}$  be the inverse the CDF of a standard normal random variable. On the probability space  $((0, 1), \mathcal{B}((0, 1)), \text{Leb})$  define  $X(\omega) = \Psi(\omega)$  and

$$\begin{aligned} Y_1(\omega) &= \mathbf{1}_{(0, 0.5)}(\omega) - \mathbf{1}_{[0.5, 1)}(\omega) \\ Y_2(\omega) &= \mathbf{1}_{(0, 0.25)}(\omega) + \mathbf{1}_{[0.75, 1)}(\omega) - \mathbf{1}_{[0.25, 0.75)}(\omega) \end{aligned}$$

- Show that  $X \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1)$  and  $Y_1 \sim Y_2$  are distributed according to  $\nu = (\delta_1 + \delta_{-1})/2$ .
- Describe the joint distributions of  $(X, Y_1)$  and  $(X, Y_2)$  on  $\mathbb{R}^2$ . Are they the same?
- Let  $\mu$  be the distribution of  $|X|$ . Give an example of a couple of random variables distributed according to  $\mu \otimes \nu$ .

*Proof.* 1. Let  $F_X$  be the distribution function of  $X$ . Then

$$F_X(x) = m(\{\omega \in (0, 1) : X(\omega) \leq x\}) = m(\{\omega \in (0, 1) : \Phi^{-1}(\omega) \leq x\}) = m(\{\omega \in (0, 1) : \omega \leq \Phi(x)\}) = \Phi(x)$$

where  $m$  is the Lebesgue measure on  $(0, 1)$ . Since  $\Phi$  is the distribution function of  $N(0, 1)$ , we deduce that  $X \sim N(0, 1)$ . ✓

2. Let  $F_{Y_1}$  and  $F_{Y_2}$  be the distribution functions of  $Y_1$  and  $Y_2$  respectively. Then for  $Y_1$ ,  $\mathbf{1}_{(0, 1/2)}(\omega) - \mathbf{1}_{[1/2, 1)}(\omega)$  is equal to -1

for  $\omega \in [1/2, 1)$  and 1 for  $\omega \in [0, 1/2)$ . Hence

$$F_{Y_1}(x) = m(\{\omega \in (0, 1) : \mathbf{1}_{(0,1/2)}(\omega) - \mathbf{1}_{[1/2,1)}(\omega) \leq x\}) = \begin{cases} 0, & x \in (-\infty, -1) \\ 1/2, & x \in [-1, 1) \\ 1, & x \in [1, \infty) \end{cases}$$

For  $Y_2$ ,  $\mathbf{1}_{(0,1/4)}(\omega) + \mathbf{1}_{[3/4,1)}(\omega) - \mathbf{1}_{[1/4,3/4)}(\omega)$  is equal to -1 for  $\omega \in [1/4, 3/4)$  and 1 for  $\omega \in [0, 1/4) \cup [3/4, 1)$ . Hence

$$F_{Y_2}(x) = m(\{\omega \in (0, 1) : \mathbf{1}_{(0,1/4)}(\omega) + \mathbf{1}_{[3/4,1)}(\omega) - \mathbf{1}_{[1/4,3/4)}(\omega) \leq x\}) = \begin{cases} 0, & x \in (-\infty, -1) \\ 1/2, & x \in [-1, 1) \\ 1, & x \in [1, \infty) \end{cases}$$

Then  $F_{Y_1} = F_{Y_2}$  and hence  $Y_1 \sim Y_2$ . In particular, the distribution function induces the push-forward measure  $\nu = (\delta_1 + \delta_{-1})/2$ . ✓

3. Let  $F_{X,Y_1}$  be the joint distribution function of  $X$  and  $Y_1$ . Then

$$F_{X,Y_1}(x, y) = \begin{cases} 0, & y \in (-\infty, -1) \\ m((0, \Phi(x)) \cap [1/2, 1)), & y \in [-1, 1) \\ m((0, \Phi(x)) \cap (0, 1/2)), & y \in [1, \infty) \end{cases}$$

Let  $F_{X,Y_2}$  be the joint distribution function of  $X$  and  $Y_2$ . Then

$$F_{X,Y_2}(x, y) = \begin{cases} 0, & y \in (-\infty, -1) \\ m((0, \Phi(x)) \cap [1/4, 3/4)), & y \in [-1, 1) \\ m((0, \Phi(x)) \cap ([0, 1/4) \cup [3/4, 1))), & y \in [1, \infty) \end{cases}$$

Note that  $F_{X,Y_1}(1/4, 1) = 1/2$  and  $F_{X,Y_2}(1/4, 1) = 1/4$ . So the two distributions are not the same. ✓

4. Observe that

$$F_{|X|}(x) = m(\{\omega \in (0, 1) : |X(\omega)| \leq x\}) = m(\{\omega \in (0, 1) : -x \leq X(\omega) \leq x\}) = \Phi(x) - \Phi(-x)$$

Consider the probability space  $((0, 1)^2, \mathcal{B}((0, 1)^2), \text{Leb})$ . Let  $A, B : (0, 1)^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be random variables defined by

$$\begin{aligned} A(\omega_1, \omega_2) &:= F_{|X|}^{-1}(\omega_1) \\ B(\omega_1, \omega_2) &:= \mathbf{1}_{(0,0.5)}(\omega_2) - \mathbf{1}_{[0.5,1)}(\omega_2) \end{aligned}$$

Then  $A$  and  $B$  are independent, and  $A \sim |X|$  and  $B \sim Y_1$ . Hence  $(A, B)$  induces the push-forward measure  $\mu \otimes \nu$  on  $(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^2))$ . □

✓