Peize Liu St. Peter's College University of Oxford



# Problem Sheet 4 C3.11: Riemannian Geometry

## Section A: Introductory

### Question 1

Let  $f:(M,g)\to (N,h)$  be a surjective local isometry between connected Riemannian manifolds.

- (a) Show that if (M, g) is complete then (N, h) is complete.
- (b) If (N, h) is complete, is (M, g) complete? Give a proof or a counterexample.

Let  $(\widetilde{M}, \widetilde{g})$  be the universal cover of (M, g) with the covering metric.

(c) Show that  $(\widetilde{M}, \widetilde{g})$  is complete if and only if (M, g) is complete.

## Question 2

Let  $B^n$  be the unit ball in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and let

$$g = \frac{4\sum_{i=1}^{n} dx_i^2}{\left(1 - \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i^2\right)^2}$$

By considering normalized geodesics in  $(B^n, g)$  through 0, show that  $(B^n, g)$  is complete.

#### Question 3

Let (N,g) be an oriented (n+1)-dimensional Riemannian manifold. Let  $f:N\to\mathbb{R}$  be a smooth function and let  $h=e^{2f}g$ .

(a) Let  $\nabla^g$  and  $\nabla^h$  be the Levi-Civita connections of g and h. Show that

$$\nabla_X^h Y = \nabla_X^g Y + X(f)Y + Y(f)X - g(X,Y)\nabla^g f$$

for all vector fields X, Y on N.

(b) Let M be a connected oriented hypersurface in (N, g) with unit normal vector field  $\nu$  so that the shape operator satisfies

$$S_{\nu} = \lambda \text{ id}$$

for a smooth function  $\lambda: M \to \mathbb{R}$ . Show that the shape operator of M in (N,h) satisfies

$$S_{e^{-f}\nu} = \mu \mathrm{id}$$

for a smooth function  $\mu: M \to \mathbb{R}$  which should be identified in terms of  $\lambda$  and f.

Now let R > 0, let

$$M = \left\{ (x_1, \dots, x_{n+1}) \in H^{n+1} : \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} x_i^2 = R^2 \right\}$$

with its standard orientation and let h be the hyperbolic metric on  $H^{n+1}$ .

(c) Calculate the mean curvature and sectional curvatures of M in  $(H^{n+1}, h)$  with its induced metric.

#### Section B: Core

#### Question 4

(a) Let (M.g) be a complete Riemannian manifold with non-positive sectional curvature, let p,q be points in M and let  $\alpha$  be a curve in M from p to q.

Show that there is a unique geodesic  $\gamma$  in (M, g) from p to q which is homotopic to  $\alpha$ .

(b) Let (M, g) be an oriented even-dimensional manifold with positive sectional curvature and let  $\gamma : \mathcal{S}^1 \to (M, g)$  be a closed geodesic.

Show that there is a closed curve  $\alpha: \mathcal{S}^1 \to (M, g)$  homotopic to  $\gamma$  such that  $L(\alpha) < L(\gamma)$ .

Proof. (a) By taking the path component of p, we may assume that M is connected. Let  $\widetilde{M}$  be the universal cover of M. Then  $\widetilde{M}$  is connected, simply-connected, complete, with non-positive sectional curvature. By Cartan-Hadamard Theorem,  $\widetilde{M}$  is diffeomorphic to  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . As the universal cover is defined up to homeomorphism, we can actually take  $\widetilde{M} = \mathbb{R}^n$ . Moreover, the covering map is  $\exp_p : T_p M \cong \mathbb{R}^n \to M$ . Hence the lift of geodesics in M are straight lines in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

Let  $\pi:(\mathbb{R}^n,\widetilde{p})\to (M,p)$  be the covering map. By path lifting property,  $\alpha:[0,1]\to M$  uniquely lifts to  $\widetilde{\alpha}:[0,1]\to\mathbb{R}^n$  such that  $\widetilde{\alpha}(0)=\widetilde{p}$ . Let  $\widetilde{q}:=\widetilde{\alpha}(1)$ . Now let  $\widetilde{\gamma}:[0,1]\to\mathbb{R}^n$  be the unique geodesic (i.e. straight line) connecting  $\widetilde{p}$  and  $\widetilde{q}$ . Since  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is simply-connected,  $\widetilde{\alpha}\simeq\widetilde{\gamma}$  and hence  $\alpha\simeq\gamma:=\pi\circ\widetilde{\gamma}$ . To show that  $\gamma$  is unique, suppose  $\gamma'$  is another geodesic connecting p and q such that  $\gamma\simeq\gamma'$ . By homotopy lifting property, the homotopy H from  $\gamma$  to  $\gamma'$  lifts to a homotopy  $\widetilde{H}$  from  $\widetilde{\gamma}$  to  $\widetilde{\gamma}'$ . But then we must have  $\widetilde{\gamma}=\widetilde{\gamma}'$  by uniqueness of geodesic in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Hence  $\gamma$  is unique.

(b) First we need to prove the following lemma (hint from Exercise 9.4 of do Carmo):

There exists a parallel vector field V along  $\gamma$  such that V(1) = V(0).

For  $t \in [0,1]$ , let  $(T_{\gamma(t)}\gamma)^{\perp}$  be the orthonormal complement of  $\dot{\gamma}$  in  $T_{\gamma(t)}M$ . Let  $\tau_t : (T_{\gamma(0)}\gamma)^{\perp} \to (T_{\gamma(t)}\gamma)^{\perp}$  be the parallel transport of vector fields from  $\gamma(0)$  to  $\gamma(t)$  along  $\gamma$ . It is clear that  $\{\tau_t\}_{t\in[0,1]}$  is a one-parameter family of orientation preserving isometries of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Since M is even-dimensional, by Synge-Weinstein Theorem,  $\tau_t$  has a fixed vector for each t. In particular, when we take t=1, we obtain a parallel vector field V(t) along  $\gamma$  such that V(0) = V(1).

Suppose that for all closed curve  $\alpha$  with  $\alpha \simeq \gamma$ , we have  $L(\alpha) \geqslant L(\gamma)$ . We construct a variation of  $\gamma$  as follows. Let V be a normalised parallel vector field along  $\gamma$  constructed as above. So V is orthogonal to  $\dot{\gamma}$  and V(0) = V(1). Let

$$f(s,t) := \exp_{\gamma(t)}(sV(t))$$

Then  $V_f(t) = \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial s}(0, t) = V(t)$ . In particular  $\dot{V}(t) = 0$ . Substituting into the second variation formula, we obtain

$$\frac{1}{2}\ddot{E}_f(0) = -L(\gamma)^2 \int_0^1 K(V,\dot{\gamma}) dt - g \left( \frac{D}{Ds} \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial s}(0,t), \dot{\gamma}(t) \right) \Big|_{t=0}^{t=1}$$

Since V(0) = V(1) and  $\dot{\gamma}(0) = \dot{\gamma}(1)$ , then we have

$$\frac{1}{2}\ddot{E}_f(0) = -L(\gamma)^2 \int_0^1 K(V, \dot{\gamma}) \, dt < 0$$

On the other hand,  $\alpha_s(t) := f(s,t)$  defines a family of closed curves homotopy to  $\alpha_0 = \gamma$ . By assumption,  $L(\alpha_s) \ge L(\gamma)$  for all s. Then  $E_f(s) \ge E_f(0)$  for all s. It means that  $\dot{E}_f(0) = 0$  and  $\ddot{E}_f(0) \ge 0$ . This is a contradiction. We conclude that  $\gamma$  has a homotopic curve with smaller length.  $\square$ 

Very well done!

#### Question 5

- (a) Let  $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$ . Show that  $S^n \times S^m$  admits a Riemannian metric of positive Ricci curvature if and only if  $n \ge 2$  and  $m \ge 2$ .
- (b) Let G be a connected Lie group with identity e which admits a bi-invariant Riemannian metric. Suppose that the centre of the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g} = T_e G$  is trivial.

Show that G and its universal cover are compact, and hence that  $SL(n, \mathbb{R})$  does not admit a bi-invariant metric for  $n \ge 2$ .

[ You may assume that the results of Problem sheet 3 Question 4 extend to any Lie group with a biinvariant Riemannian metric.]

(c) Show that  $\mathbb{RP}^2 \times \mathbb{RP}^2$  does not admit a Riemannian metric of positive sectional curvature.

[ Hint: You may want to think about the orientable double cover. ]

Proof. (a) First we show that for  $(n,m) \in \{(1,1),(1,2),(2,1)\}$ ,  $S^n \times S^m$  does not admit positive Ricci curvature. Note that  $S^n \times S^m$  is compact and hence geodesically complete by Hopf–Rinow Theorem. If it admits positive Ricci curvature, then by a Corollary Bonnet–Myers Theorem,  $\pi_1(S^n \times S^m)$  is finite. But we know that

$$\pi_1(S^n \times S^m) \cong \pi_1(S^n) \times \pi_1(S^m) \cong \begin{cases}
\mathbb{Z}^2, & (n,m) = (1,1) \\
\mathbb{Z}, & (n,m) = (1,2), (2,1) \\
0, & n,m \geqslant 2
\end{cases}$$

So we have a contradiction.

Now suppose that  $n, m \ge 2$ . We equip  $S^n$  and  $S^m$  with the round metric, and equip  $S^n \times S^m$  with the product metric. We know that  $S^n$  has constant sectional curvature K = 1. So  $S^n \times S^m$  has non-negative sectional curvature. Let  $(X_1, X_2) \in T_{(p_1, p_2)}(S^n \times S^m)$ . We may assume that  $X_1 \ne 0$ . Since  $n \ge 2$ , we can find normalised  $Y_1 \in T_{p_1}S^n$  orthogonal to  $X_1$  in  $S^n$ . So

$$Ric((X_1, X_2), (X_1, X_2)) \ge R((Y_1, 0), (X_1, X_2), (X_1, X_2), (Y_1, 0))$$

$$= R^{S^n}(Y_1, X_1, X_1, Y_1) = g^{S^n}(X_1, X_1)K^{S^n}(X_1, Y_1)$$

$$= g^{S^n}(X_1, X_1) > 0$$

Hence the Ricci curvature is positive.

(b) By Question 4 of Sheet 3, the Riemann curvature for  $X, Y, Z \in \mathfrak{g}$  is given by

$$R(X,Y)Z = -\frac{1}{4}[[X,Y],Z] = \frac{1}{4}\operatorname{ad}_Z \circ \operatorname{ad}_X(Y)$$

For  $X, Y \in \mathfrak{g}$ , the Ricci curvature is given by

$$\operatorname{Ric}(X,Y) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} g(R(E_{i}, X, Y), E_{i}) = -\frac{1}{4} \sum_{i=1}^{n} g([E_{i}, X], Y], E_{i})$$

$$= -\frac{1}{4} \sum_{i=1}^{n} g(\operatorname{ad}_{X} \circ \operatorname{ad}_{Y}(E_{i}), E_{i}) = -\frac{1}{4} \operatorname{tr}(\operatorname{ad}_{X} \circ \operatorname{ad}_{Y})$$

$$= -\frac{1}{4} \kappa(X, Y)$$

where  $\kappa$  is the Killing form on  $\mathfrak{g}$ . Since  $\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{g}) = \{0\}$ ,  $\kappa$  is non-degenerate. The fact that the sectional curvature is non-negative implies that Ric(X,X) > 0 for normalised  $X \in \mathfrak{g}$ . Since the unit ball in  $\mathfrak{g}$ 

is compact, Ric(X,X) > c > 0 for some constant c. Using the bi-invariance of the metric we have Ric(X,X) > c > 0 for all normalised vector field X in G.

Since G is connected,  $\exp_e : \mathfrak{g} \to G$  is surjective, and hence G is geodesically complete by Hpof–Rinow Theorem. Now by Bonnet–Myers Theorem, G is compact. The universal cover  $\widetilde{G}$  of G is also complete with positive Ricci curvature. So  $\widetilde{G}$  is also compact.

Note that  $SL(2,\mathbb{R})$  is unbounded, because for  $M_{\alpha} := diag(\alpha,\alpha^{-1}) \in SL(2,\mathbb{R})$ ,

$$||M_{\alpha}||^2 = \alpha^2 + \alpha^{-2} \to \infty$$
 as  $\alpha \to \infty$ 

So  $SL(2,\mathbb{R})$  is not compact. By the above proof we deduce that  $SL(2,\mathbb{R})$  does not admit a bi-invariant metric. Since  $SL(2,\mathbb{R})$  embeds into  $SL(n,\mathbb{R})$  for  $n \ge 2$ , we conclude that  $SL(n,\mathbb{R})$  does not admit a bi-invariant metric.

(c) Suppose that  $\mathbb{RP}^2 \times \mathbb{RP}^2$  admits positive sectional curvature. By Künneth's Theorem, the highest homology group

$$H_4(\mathbb{RP}^2 \times \mathbb{RP}^2) \cong H_2(\mathbb{RP}^2) \otimes H_2(\mathbb{RP}^2) = 0$$

Then  $\mathbb{RP}^2 \times \mathbb{RP}^2$  is non-orientable. It has a connected oriented double cover M. Since  $\mathbb{RP}^2 \times \mathbb{RP}^2$  is compact with positive sectional curvature, so is M. In particular M is geodesically complete. By Synge's Theorem, M is simply-connected.

On the other hand, note that  $\pi_1(\mathbb{RP}^2 \times \mathbb{RP}^2) \cong \pi_1(\mathbb{RP}^2) \times \pi_1(\mathbb{RP}^2) \cong (\mathbb{Z}/2)^2$ .  $\pi_1(M)$  is an index two subgroup of  $\pi_1(\mathbb{RP}^2 \times \mathbb{RP}^2)$ . So  $\pi_1(M) \cong \mathbb{Z}/2$ . In particular M is not simply-connected. Contradiction. Therefore  $\mathbb{RP}^2 \times \mathbb{RP}^2$  does not admit positive sectional curvature.

# Section C: Optional

#### Question 6

Determine whether each of the following statements is true or false, and give a proof or counterexample as appropriate.

- (a) The unitary group U(m) admits a Riemannian metric with strictly positive Ricci curvature for some m > 1.
- (b) The manifold  $S^n \times S^m$  admits a Riemannian metric with non-positive sectional curvature if and only if n = m = 1.
- (c) Euclidean space  $\mathbb{R}^n$  admits a constant curvature 1 Riemannian metric for any n > 1.
- (d) If K is the Klein bottle then  $K \times S^n$  admits a Riemannian metric with positive sectional curvature for any n > 1.
- (e) Complex projective space  $\mathbb{CP}^n$  admits a constant curvature 1 Riemannian metric if and only if n=1.

[ Hint: You may assume that  $\pi_1(\mathbb{CP}^n) = \{1\}$  and  $H^2(\mathbb{CP}^n) \neq 0$  for all n.]