

Peize Liu
St. Peter's College
University of Oxford

Problem Sheet 4
B4.2: Functional Analysis II

23 April, 2020

Question 1

- (a) Use Theorem 4.6.1 to prove the localisation property of Fourier series: if two (continuous) 2π -periodic functions f and g are equal in an open interval containing 0, then their Fourier series either both converge at 0 or both diverge at 0.
- (b) In the lecture, we prove that there is a continuous function whose Fourier series diverges at 0. Use (a) to construct a continuous function whose Fourier series diverges at 0 and $\pi/2$.

Proof. Let $S_N(f)$ be the partial sum of the first N terms of the Fourier series of f . That is,

$$S_N f(x) = \sum_{n=-N}^N e^{inx} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(t) e^{-int} dt = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(t) D_N(x-t) dt =: (f * D_N)(x)$$

where

$$D_N(x) := \frac{1}{2\pi} \frac{\sin(N + \frac{1}{2})x}{\sin \frac{x}{2}}$$

is the Dirichlet kernel.

- (a) Since f and g are continuous and 2π -periodic, they are bounded and hence are in $L^1(-\pi, \pi)$. By assumption, $f - g = 0$ in the interval (a, b) where $a < 0 < b$. In particular $f - g$ is α -Hölder continuous for any $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$. By Theorem 4.6.1, we have

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} S_N(f - g)(0) = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} S_N f(0) - \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} S_N g(0) = 0$$

Hence $\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} S_N f(0)$ and $\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} S_N g(0)$ either both converge or both diverge.

- (b) First we need to construct a function whose Fourier series diverges at 0. The proof given in the lecture is not very constructive. We use the classical example due to Fejér.¹ For $x \in [0, \pi]$,

$$f(x) = \sum_{p=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{p^2} \sin\left(\left(2^{p^3} + 1\right) \frac{x}{2}\right)$$

Then we extend the domain to \mathbb{R} such that f is a 2π -periodic even function.

We claim that f is continuous with Fourier series divergent at 0. Then we consider

$$g(x) := \begin{cases} f(x), & x \in \left[-\frac{\pi}{8}, \frac{\pi}{8}\right] \\ \text{linear}, & x \in \left[-\frac{\pi}{4}, -\frac{\pi}{8}\right] \cup \left[\frac{\pi}{8}, \frac{\pi}{4}\right] \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

and

$$h(x) := g(x) + g\left(x - \frac{\pi}{2}\right)$$

For $|x| < \frac{\pi}{8}$, $h(x) = f(x)$. By the localisation property, the Fourier series of h diverges at 0. For $\left|x - \frac{\pi}{2}\right| < \frac{\pi}{8}$, $h(x) = f\left(x - \frac{\pi}{2}\right)$. Similarly, the the Fourier series of h diverges at $\pi/2$.

Next we need to verify the properties of f . By Weierstrass M-test, the series that defines f is uniformly convergent on \mathbb{R} . Hence f is continuous on \mathbb{R} . The Fourier series of f is given by

$$f(x) \sim \frac{1}{2} a_0 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n \cos nx$$

The coefficients are given by

$$\begin{aligned} a_n &= \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(x) \cos nx dx \\ &= \frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^{\pi} \sum_{p=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{p^2} \sin\left(\left(2^{p^3} + 1\right) \frac{x}{2}\right) \cos nx dx \end{aligned}$$

¹<https://www.mathcounterexamples.net/continuous-function-with-divergent-fourier-series/>

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \frac{2}{\pi} \sum_{p=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{p^2} \int_0^{\pi} \sin\left(\left(2^{p^3}+1\right)\frac{x}{2}\right) \cos nx \, dx \quad (\text{by uniform convergence}) \\
&= \frac{2}{\pi} \sum_{p=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{p^2} \alpha\left(2^{p^3-1}, n\right)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\text{where } \alpha(m, n) := \int_0^{\pi} \sin\left(\frac{2m+1}{2}x\right) \cos nx \, dx = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{m+n+\frac{1}{2}} + \frac{1}{m-n+\frac{1}{2}} \right).$$

To show that the Fourier series of f diverges at 0, it suffices to show that

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{p=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{p^2} \alpha\left(2^{p^3-1}, n\right) = \sum_{p=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{p^2} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \alpha\left(2^{p^3-1}, n\right) = \infty$$

For $m, N \geq 1$,

$$\sum_{n=0}^N \alpha(m, n) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=0}^N \left(\frac{1}{m+n+\frac{1}{2}} + \frac{1}{m-n+\frac{1}{2}} \right) = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{m+\frac{1}{2}} + \sum_{i=m-N}^{m+N} \frac{1}{i+\frac{1}{2}} \right) \geq 0$$

When $m = N$,

$$\sum_{n=0}^m \alpha(m, n) = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{m+\frac{1}{2}} + \sum_{i=0}^{2m} \frac{1}{i+\frac{1}{2}} \right) \sim \frac{1}{2} \ln m$$

for large m . Therefore

$$\sum_{p=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{p^2} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \alpha\left(2^{p^3-1}, n\right) \geq \frac{1}{p^2} \sum_{n=0}^{2^{p^3-1}} \alpha\left(2^{p^3-1}, n\right) \sim \frac{1}{2p^2} \ln\left(2^{p^3-1}\right) = \frac{p^3-1}{2p^2} \ln 2 \rightarrow \infty$$

as $p \rightarrow \infty$. This completes the argument. \square

Question 2

Consider the system $\left\{e_n = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{inx}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ as a subset of $X = L^1(-\pi, \pi)$.

- (a) Show that $\|e_n\| = \sqrt{2\pi}$ for all n and $\|e_n - e_m\| = \frac{8}{\sqrt{2\pi}}$ for all $n \neq m$.
- (b) Show that $\left\{e_n = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{inx}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is a basis of $L^1(-\pi, \pi)$, i.e. the closed linear span of $\left\{e_n = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{inx}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is $L^1(-\pi, \pi)$.

Proof. (a) For $n \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$\|e_n\| = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |e_n(x)| \, dx = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \, dx = \sqrt{2\pi}$$

For $n \neq m$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\|e_n - e_m\| &= \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |e_n(x) - e_m(x)| \, dx \\
&= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \sqrt{(e^{inx} - e^{imx})(e^{-inx} - e^{-imx})} \, dx \\
&= \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \sqrt{1 - \cos(m-n)x} \, dx \\
&= \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \left| \sin \frac{m-n}{2} x \right| \, dx \\
&= \frac{2\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{\pi} |m-n|} |m-n| \int_0^{\pi} \sin u \, du \\
&= \frac{8}{\sqrt{2\pi}}
\end{aligned}$$

(b) We follow the outline in the lectures:

$$\text{span}\{e_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \xrightarrow{\text{dense in}} C_{\text{per}}(\mathbb{R}) \xrightarrow{\text{dense in}} L^1(-\pi, \pi)$$

The linear span of $\{e_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ clearly contains constant functions and is closed under pointwise multiplication (which is because $\{e_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is closed under pointwise multiplication: $e_n(x)e_m(x) = e_{n+m}(x)$). Hence $\text{span}\{e_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is a subalgebra of $C_{\text{per}}(\mathbb{R})$. In addition, $e_1(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{ix}$ is injective on $\mathbb{R}/2\pi\mathbb{Z}$, and hence separates points. Furthermore $\mathbb{R}/2\pi\mathbb{Z}$ is compact. By the subalgebra form of the Stone-Weierstrass Theorem, $\text{span}\{e_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is dense in $C_{\text{per}}(\mathbb{R})$.

From Functional Analysis I we know that $C_{\text{per}}(\mathbb{R})$ is dense in $L^1(-\pi, \pi)$. Therefore $\text{span}\{e_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is dense in $L^1(-\pi, \pi)$. \square

Question 3

Let X be the closed subspace of $C[-\pi, \pi]$ consisting of all continuous (on $[-\pi, \pi]$) functions f such that $f(-\pi) = f(\pi)$. For $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, define $e_n \in X$ by $e_n(t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{int}$ and let

$$\widehat{f}(n) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(t) e^{-int} dt$$

for $f \in X$. Let $\{\alpha_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ be a sequence in \mathbb{C} , and assume that for each $f \in X$ there exists a unique element $g \in X$ such that $\widehat{g}(n) = \alpha_n \widehat{f}(n)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Let $Tf = g$.

- (a) Show that T is linear and has closed graph. Deduce that $T \in \mathcal{B}(X)$.
- (b) Show that $Te_n = \alpha_n e_n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and that the sequence $\{\alpha_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is bounded.
- (c) Show that there exists a bounded linear functional φ on X such that $\varphi(e_n) = \alpha_n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Proof. (a) For $f_1, f_2 \in X$, let $g_1 = T(f_1)$, $g_2 = T(f_2)$. It is clear from definition that

$$\widehat{af_1 + bf_2}(n) = a\widehat{f_1}(n) + b\widehat{f_2}(n), \quad \widehat{ag_1 + bg_2}(n) = a\widehat{g_1}(n) + b\widehat{g_2}(n)$$

where $a, b \in \mathbb{C}$. Hence

$$\widehat{ag_1 + bg_2}(n) = \alpha_n \widehat{af_1 + bf_2}(n)$$

and $T(af_1 + bf_2) = ag_1 + bg_2 = aT(f_1) + bT(f_2)$. We deduce that T is linear.

Suppose that $\{f_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \subseteq X$ such that $f_k \rightarrow f$ and $T(f_k) \rightarrow g$ uniformly as $k \rightarrow \infty$. Then

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \widehat{f_k}(n) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f_k(t) e^{-int} dt = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(t) e^{-int} dt = \widehat{f}(n)$$

Hence $g = T(f)$. We deduce that $\Gamma(T) \subseteq X \times X$ is closed. By the closed graph theorem, $T \in \mathcal{B}(X)$.

(b) By L^2 orthonormality,

$$\widehat{e_n}(m) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} e^{i(n-m)t} dt = \delta_{mn}$$

Hence $\alpha_m \widehat{e_n}(m) = \alpha_n \widehat{e_n}(m)$ for all $m \in \mathbb{Z}$. We deduce that $Te_n = \alpha_n e_n$.

$$|\alpha_n| \|e_n\| = \|\alpha_n e_n\| = \|Te_n\| \leq \|T\| \|e_n\|$$

Hence the sequence $\{\alpha_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is bounded by $\|T\|$.

(c) We define a linear map $\psi : \text{span}\{e_n : n \in \mathbb{Z}\} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ by $\psi(e_n) = \alpha_n$. We claim that ψ is bounded.

(It is hard to bound $|\psi(f)|$ by $\|f\|_{\infty}$)

From Question 2 we know that $\text{span}\{e_n : n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ is dense in X . Therefore ψ has a unique extension $\varphi \in X^*$, which satisfies $\varphi(e_n) = \alpha_n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. \square

Question 4

Consider the right shift operator on sequences $R(x_1, x_2, \dots) = (0, x_1, x_2, \dots)$. Show that as an operator on ℓ^2 , R satisfies $\sigma_p(R) = \emptyset$, $\sigma_r(R) = \{\lambda : |\lambda| < 1\}$ and $\sigma_c(R) = \{\lambda : |\lambda| = 1\}$.

[To put thing in perspective, compare Question 7 of Sheet 4 of B4.1 from MT: If we consider T as an operator on ℓ^∞ , then $\sigma_p(R) = \emptyset$, $\sigma_r(R) = \{\lambda : |\lambda| \leq 1\}$ and $\sigma_c(R) = \emptyset$.]

Proof. Let $L : \ell^2 \rightarrow \ell^2$ be the left shift operator: $(x_1, x_2, \dots) \mapsto (x_2, x_3, \dots)$. We claim that $L = R^*$. Indeed, for $x, y \in \ell^2$,

$$\langle Rx, y \rangle = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (Rx)_n y_n = \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} x_{n-1} y_n = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x_n y_{n+1} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x_n (Ly)_n = \langle x, Ly \rangle$$

We claim that $\sigma_p(R) = \emptyset$ and $\sigma_p(L) = B_{\mathbb{C}}(0, 1)$.

Suppose that $x \in \ker(L - \lambda \text{id})$. Then $0 = x_{n+1} - \lambda x_n$ and hence $x_n = \lambda^{n-1} x_1$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. If $x \neq 0$, we have

$$\|x\|_2^2 = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |x_n|^2 = |x_1|^2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\lambda|^{2n-2}$$

So $x \in \ell^2$ if and only if $|\lambda| < 1$. We deduce that $\sigma_p(L) = B_{\mathbb{C}}(0, 1)$.

Suppose that $x \in \ker(R - \lambda \text{id})$. Then $x_1 = 0$ and $x_n - \lambda x_{n+1} = 0$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. Hence $x = 0$. We deduce that $\ker(R - \lambda \text{id}) = \{0\}$ for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ and thus $\sigma_p(R) = \emptyset$.

We have $(R - \lambda \text{id})^* = (L - \bar{\lambda} \text{id})$. From Question 1.(a) of Sheet 2 we know that

$$\ker(L - \bar{\lambda} \text{id}) = \text{im}(R - \lambda \text{id})^\perp$$

Hence

$$\lambda \in \sigma_r(R) \iff \overline{\text{im}(R - \lambda \text{id})} \neq \ell^2 \iff \ker(L - \bar{\lambda} \text{id}) \neq \{0\} \iff \bar{\lambda} \in \sigma_p(L)$$

We deduce that $\sigma_r(R) = \sigma_p(L) = B_{\mathbb{C}}(0, 1)$.

Next, we note that R is isometric, as for $x \in \ell^2$,

$$\|Rx\|_2^2 = \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} |x_{n-1}|^2 = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |x_n|^2 = \|x\|_2^2$$

So we have $\sigma(R) \subseteq \overline{B_{\mathbb{C}}(0, 1)}$. Since $\sigma(R)$ is compact and $B_{\mathbb{C}}(0, 1) \subseteq \sigma(R)$, we have $\sigma(R) = \overline{B_{\mathbb{C}}(0, 1)}$. Therefore

$$\sigma_c(R) = \sigma(R) \setminus (\sigma_p(R) \cup \sigma_r(R)) = S^1$$

In conclusion, we have $\sigma_p(R) = \emptyset$, $\sigma_c(R) = S^1$, and $\sigma_r(R) = B_{\mathbb{C}}(0, 1)$. □

Question 5

Let X be a complex Hilbert space and $A \in \mathcal{B}(X)$ be normal (i.e. $A^* A = A A^*$)

(a) Show that

$$\text{rad}(\sigma(A)) = \|A\|$$

Deduce that if P is a polynomial, then

$$\|P(A)\| = \sup_{\lambda \in \sigma(A)} |P(\lambda)|$$

(b) Let P be a Laurent polynomial, i.e. $P(z) = \sum_k a_k z^k$ where the summation range is finite but may contains positive as

well as negative powers. Show that if A is unitary, then

$$\|P(A)\| = \sup_{\lambda \in \sigma(A)} |P(\lambda)|$$

Proof. Let S_X denotes the unit sphere of X .

(a) We first note that $\|A^{*n}A^n\| = \|A^n\|^2$, because

$$\|A^{*n}A^n\| = \sup_{x \in S_X} \langle A^{*n}A^n x, x \rangle = \sup_{x \in S_X} \langle A^n x, A^n x \rangle = \sup_{x \in S_X} \|A^n x\|^2 = \|A^n\|^2$$

Since A is normal, $A^*A = AA^*$. Furthermore A^*A is self-adjoint. Therefore

$$\|A^n\|^2 = \|A^{*n}A^n\| = \|(A^*A)^n\| = \|A^*A\|^n$$

By the Gelfand's formula,

$$\text{rad } \sigma(A) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|A^n\|^{1/n} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|A^*A\|^{1/2} = \|A^*A\|^{1/2} = \|A\|$$

(b) Since P is a Laurent polynomial, there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $P(z) = z^{-n}Q(z)$ where $Q \in \mathbb{C}[x]$. Hence $P(A) = A^{-n}Q(A)$. Since A is unitary, $\|A\| = \|A^{-1}\| = 1$. And we have

$$\|Q(A)\| \leq \|A^n\| \|P(A)\| = \|P(A)\| \leq \|A^{-n}\| \|Q(A)\| = \|Q(A)\|$$

So $\|P(A)\| = \|Q(A)\|$. By Theorem 8.6 of *B4.1 Functional Analysis I*, we have

$$\sigma(Q(A)) = Q(\sigma(A))$$

Therefore

$$\|P(A)\| = \|Q(A)\| = \text{rad } \sigma(Q(A)) = \text{rad } Q(\sigma(A)) = \sup_{\lambda \in Q(\sigma(A))} |\lambda| = \sup_{\lambda \in \sigma(A)} |Q(\lambda)|$$

Since $\sigma(A) \subseteq S^1$, we have

$$\|P(A)\| = \sup_{\lambda \in \sigma(A)} |Q(\lambda)| = \sup_{\lambda \in \sigma(A)} |\lambda|^{-n} |Q(\lambda)| = \sup_{\lambda \in \sigma(A)} |P(\lambda)|$$

□

Question 6

Let X be a complex Hilbert space and S and T be two self-adjoint bounded linear operators on X .

(a) Let $\lambda \notin \sigma(T)$. Use the fact that $\sigma((T - \lambda I)^{-1}) = (\sigma(T) - \lambda)^{-1}$ (a form of spectral mapping theorem) and Gelfand's formula to show that

$$\|(T - \lambda I)^{-1}\| = \frac{1}{\text{dist}(\lambda, \sigma(T))}$$

Deduce that $I + (T - \lambda I)^{-1}(S - T)$ is invertible if

$$\|S - T\| < \text{dist}(\lambda, \sigma(T))$$

Hence, show under this latter assumption that $\lambda \notin \sigma(S)$.

(b) Use (a) to show that

$$\|S - T\| \geq \text{dist}_H(\sigma(S), \sigma(T))$$

where the Hausdorff distance $\text{dist}_H(A, B)$ between two closed subsets A and B of \mathbb{C} is defined by

$$\text{dist}_H(A, B) = \max \left(\sup_{a \in A} \min_{b \in B} |a - b|, \sup_{b \in B} \min_{a \in A} |a - b| \right)$$

Proof. (a) Since T is self-adjoint and $T - \lambda \text{id}$ is invertible, then $(T - \lambda \text{id})^{-1}$ is also self-adjoint. In particular,

$$\text{rad } \sigma((T - \lambda \text{id})^{-1}) = \|(T - \lambda \text{id})^{-1}\|$$

On the other hand, we have

$$\text{rad } \sigma((T - \lambda \text{id})^{-1}) = \sup_{\eta \in \sigma((T - \lambda \text{id})^{-1})} |\eta| = \sup_{\eta \in (\sigma(T) - \lambda)^{-1}} |\eta| = \sup_{\eta \in \sigma(T)} \frac{1}{|\eta - \lambda|} = \frac{1}{\inf_{\eta \in \sigma(T)} |\eta - \lambda|} = \frac{1}{\text{dist}(\lambda, \sigma(T))}$$

Hence

$$\|(T - \lambda \text{id})^{-1}\| = \frac{1}{\text{dist}(\lambda, \sigma(T))}$$

By convergence of Neumann series, $I + (T - \lambda \text{id})^{-1}(S - T)$ is invertible if $\|(T - \lambda \text{id})^{-1}(S - T)\| \leq 1$. From the result above, it suffices to have $\|S - T\| \leq \text{dist}(\lambda, \sigma(T))$.

Finally,

$$S - \lambda \text{id} = (T - \lambda \text{id}) + (S - T) = (T - \lambda \text{id})(\text{id} + (T - \lambda \text{id})^{-1}(S - T))$$

By the assumption, $S - \lambda \text{id}$ is invertible. Hence $\lambda \notin \sigma(S)$.

(b) The contrapositive of the result of (a) is that $\lambda \in \sigma(S)$ implies that $\|S - T\| \geq \text{dist}(\lambda, \sigma(T))$. Hence

$$\|S - T\| \geq \sup_{\lambda \in \sigma(S)} \text{dist}(\lambda, \sigma(T)) = \sup_{\lambda \in \sigma(S)} \inf_{\eta \in \sigma(T)} |\lambda - \eta| = \sup_{\lambda \in \sigma(S)} \min_{\eta \in \sigma(T)} |\lambda - \eta|$$

The last equality follows from that $\sigma(T)$ is compact. Note that S and T are symmetric in the above inequality. Therefore we have

$$\|S - T\| \geq \sup_{\lambda \in \sigma(T)} \min_{\eta \in \sigma(S)} |\lambda - \eta|$$

and hence $\|S - T\| \geq \text{dist}_H(\sigma(S), \sigma(T))$. □