

Peize Liu  
*St. Peter's College*  
*University of Oxford*

**Problem Sheet 1**  
**B3.1: Galois Theory**

(AB) Great work!  
A few mistakes, but  
you obviously understand  
the material.

21 October, 2020

In these problems  $K$  denotes an arbitrary field and  $K[x]$  denotes the ring of polynomials in one variable  $x$  over  $K$ . If  $p$  is a prime number, then  $\mathbb{F}_p$  denotes the field of integers modulo  $p$ .

### Question 1

Let  $E/K$  is a finite extension of fields and let  $\alpha \in E/K$ . Prove that there is a unique monic irreducible polynomial  $p \in K[x]$  such that the homomorphism

$$K[x] \rightarrow K(\alpha)$$

which maps  $x \mapsto \alpha$ , induces an isomorphism

$$K(\alpha) \cong K[x]/\langle p \rangle$$

*Proof.* First, suppose that  $[E : K] = n$ . Then  $\{1, \alpha, \dots, \alpha^n\}$  is linearly dependent over  $K$ . Hence there exists  $a_0, \dots, a_n \in K$  such that

$$f(\alpha) = a_n \alpha^n + \dots + a_1 \alpha + a_0 = 0$$

and hence  $\alpha$  is algebraic over  $K$ . ✓

Let  $m \in K[x]$  be the minimal polynomial of  $\alpha$  over  $K$ . That is,  $m$  is a monic polynomial of least degree such that  $m(\alpha) = 0$ . By definition  $m$  is irreducible.

For  $f \in K[x]$  such that  $f(\alpha) = 0$ , by division algorithm there exist  $q, r \in K[x]$  such that  $f = qm + r$  where  $\deg r < \deg m$ . Hence

$$0 = f(\alpha) = q(\alpha)m(\alpha) + r(\alpha) = r(\alpha)$$

By minimality of  $\deg m$  we must have  $r = 0$ . Hence  $m \mid f$ .

Now suppose that  $m_1, m_2$  are minimal polynomials of  $\alpha$  over  $K$ . Then we have  $m_1 \mid m_2$  and  $m_2 \mid m_1$ . That is  $m_1(x) = am_2(x)$  for some  $a \in K$ . But both  $m_1$  and  $m_2$  are monic. Therefore  $a = 1$  and  $m_1 = m_2$ . We deduce that the minimal polynomial of  $\alpha$  is unique. ✓

Recall that the polynomial ring satisfies the following universal property:

For any unital commutative ring  $E$ ,  $\alpha \in E$ , and unital ring homomorphism  $f : K \rightarrow E$ , there exists a unique ring homomorphism  $\text{ev}_\alpha : K[x] \rightarrow E$  such that  $\text{ev}_\alpha \circ \iota = f$  and  $\text{ev}_\alpha(x) = \alpha$ .

$$\begin{array}{ccc} K & \xrightarrow{f} & (E, \alpha) \\ \downarrow \iota & \nearrow \exists! \text{ev}_\alpha & \\ (K[x], x) & & \end{array}$$

where  $\text{ev}_\alpha$  is called the **evaluation homomorphism**.

With  $f : K \hookrightarrow E$  being the inclusion map, we apply the First Isomorphism Theorem to the evaluation homomorphism:

$$K[\alpha] = \text{im ev}_\alpha \cong K[x] / \ker \text{ev}_\alpha$$

We have shown previously that  $\ker \text{ev}_\alpha = \langle m(x) \rangle$ . Hence

$$K[\alpha] = K[x] / \langle m \rangle$$

Since  $K$  is a field,  $K[x]$  is a principal ideal domain. As  $m$  is irreducible,  $\langle m \rangle$  is a maximal ideal in  $K[x]$ . Hence  $K[\alpha] \cong K[x] / \langle m \rangle$  is a field. Since  $K(\alpha)$  is the field of fractions of  $K[\alpha]$ , we have  $K(\alpha) = K[\alpha]$ . We conclude that

$$K(\alpha) = K[x] / \langle m \rangle$$

✓ Perfect! (A)

□

### Question 2

Prove the Tower Law.

*Proof.* The **Tower Law** states that for field extensions  $F \subseteq K \subseteq L$ ,  $[L : F] = [L : K][K : F]$ , where  $[L : F] := \dim_F L$  and similar for the other two.

Let  $\mathcal{B}$  be a basis of  $L$  over  $K$  and  $\mathcal{C}$  a basis of  $K$  over  $F$ . We claim that  $\mathcal{BC} := \{xy \in L : x \in \mathcal{B}, y \in \mathcal{C}\}$  is a basis of  $L$  over  $F$ .

For  $u \in L$ , there exists a unique expression:

$$u = \sum_{i=1}^m r_i x_i$$

where  $x_1, \dots, x_m \in \mathcal{B}$  are distinct and  $r_1, \dots, r_m \in K$ .

For each  $r_i$ , there exists a unique expression:

$$r_i = \sum_{j=1}^{m_i} \lambda_{i,j} y_{i,j}$$

where  $y_{i,1}, \dots, y_{i,m_i} \in \mathcal{C}$  are distinct and  $\lambda_{i,1}, \dots, \lambda_{i,m_i} \in F$ .

Combining the expressions we express  $u$  uniquely in the spanning of  $\mathcal{BC}$ :

$$u = \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^{m_i} \lambda_{i,j} x_i y_{i,j}$$

Perhaps give more detail why this expression is unique.

Hence  $\mathcal{BC}$  is a basis of  $L$  over  $F$ . In particular,

$$[L : F] = \text{card } \mathcal{BC} = \text{card } \mathcal{B} \cdot \text{card } \mathcal{C} = [L : K][K : F] \quad \checkmark$$

(A<sup>-</sup>)

□

### Question 3

Find the minimal polynomial for

$$\frac{\sqrt{3}}{1 + 2^{1/3}}$$

over  $\mathbb{Q}$ ; that is, the monic polynomial  $m(x)$  of smallest possible degree with rational coefficients satisfying

$$m\left(\frac{\sqrt{3}}{1 + 2^{1/3}}\right) = 0$$

*Solution.* Let  $u = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{1 + 2^{1/3}}$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} u = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{1 + 2^{1/3}} &\implies (1 + 2^{1/3})u = \sqrt{3} \\ &\implies 2^{1/3}u = \sqrt{3} - u \\ &\implies 2u^3 = (\sqrt{3} - u)^3 = -u^3 + 3\sqrt{3}u^2 - 9u + 3\sqrt{3} \\ &\implies u^3 + 3u = \sqrt{3}(u^2 + 1) \\ &\implies u^2(u^2 + 3)^2 = 3(u^2 + 1)^2 \\ &\implies u^6 + 3u^4 + 3u^2 - 3 = 0 \quad \checkmark \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $f(x) := x^6 + 3x^4 + 3x^2 - 3 \in \mathbb{Q}[x]$  is an annihilating polynomial of  $u$ .

By Eisenstein's criterion with  $p = 3$ , we find that  $f$  is irreducible. Since the minimal polynomial of  $u$  divides  $f$ , we deduce that  $f$  is the minimal polynomial of  $u$ . □

✓ Great! (A)

### Question 4

The formal derivative  $D : K[x] \rightarrow K[x]$  is defined by

$$D(a_0 + a_1x + \cdots + a_nx^n) = a_1 + 2a_2x + \cdots + na_nx^{n-1}$$

Prove that if  $a, b \in K$  and  $f, g \in K[x]$  then

- (a)  $D(af + bg) = aDf + bDg$
- (b)  $D(fg) = fDg + gDf$
- (c)  $Dh(x) = Dg(x)Df(g(x))$  when  $h(x) = f(g(x))$

If  $a \in K$  show that

- (d)  $(x - a)$  divides  $f(x)$  in  $K[x]$  if and only if  $f(a) = 0$
- (e)  $(x - a)^2$  divides  $f(x)$  in  $K[x]$  if and only if  $f(a) = 0 = Df(a)$

Deduce that if the polynomials  $f$  and  $Df$  are relatively prime in  $K[x]$ , then  $f$  has no multiple root.

*Proof.* Suppose that  $f = \sum_{i=0}^n c_i x^i$  and  $g = \sum_{i=0}^m d_i x^i$ , where  $c_n, d_m \neq 0$ . Without loss of generality we assume that  $n \geq m$  and put  $d_{m+1} = \cdots = d_n = 0$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(a)} \quad D(af + bg) &= D\left(a \sum_{i=0}^n c_i x^i + b \sum_{i=0}^n d_i x^i\right) = D\left(\sum_{i=0}^n (ac_i + bd_i) x^i\right) = \sum_{i=0}^n i(ac_i + bd_i) x^{i-1} \\ &= a \sum_{i=0}^n i c_i x^{i-1} + b \sum_{i=0}^n i d_i x^{i-1} = aDf + bDg \quad \checkmark \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(b)} \quad fDg + gDf &= \left(\sum_{i=0}^n c_i x^i\right) \left(\sum_{i=0}^m i d_i x^{i-1}\right) + \left(\sum_{i=0}^m d_i x^i\right) \left(\sum_{i=0}^n i c_i x^{i-1}\right) \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^n \sum_{i=0}^k k c_k d_{k-i} x^{k-1} = D\left(\sum_{k=0}^n \sum_{i=0}^k c_i d_{k-i} x^k\right) = D\left(\left(\sum_{i=0}^n c_i x^i\right) \left(\sum_{i=0}^m d_i x^i\right)\right) = D(fg) \end{aligned}$$

- (c) We use induction on  $n$  to show that  $D(g^n) = ng^{n-1}D(g)$ . Base case: When  $n = 1$  it holds trivially. Induction case: Suppose that it holds for all  $k < n$ . Then

$$D(g^n) = D(g \cdot g^{n-1}) = g^{n-1}D(g) + gD(g^{n-1}) = g^{n-1}D(g) + g \cdot (n-1)g^{n-2}D(g) = ng^{n-1}D(g)$$

By linearity of  $D$ ,

$$D(h) = D\left(\sum_{i=0}^n a_i g(x)^i\right) = \sum_{i=0}^n a_i D(g(x)^i) = \sum_{i=0}^n i a_i g(x)^{i-1} D(g) = Dg \cdot Df \circ g \quad \checkmark$$

- (d) By division algorithm there exist  $q \in K[x]$  and  $r \in K$  such that  $f(x) = (x - a)q(x) + r$ . Then  $f(a) = (a - a)q(a) + r = r$ . Hence

$$f(x) = (x - a)q(x) + f(a)$$

In particular,  $(x - a)$  divides  $f(x)$  in  $K[x]$  if and only if  $f(a) = 0$ .  $\checkmark$

- (e) If  $(x - a)^2$  divides  $f$ , then  $f(a) = 0$  and  $f(x) = (x - a)^2 g(x)$  for some  $g \in K[x]$ . Then  $Df(x) = 2(x - a)g(x) + (x - a)^2 Dg(x)$ . Hence  $Df(a) = 0$ .

Conversely, if  $f(a) = Df(a) = 0$ , by (d)  $x - a$  divides  $f$ . Hence  $f(x) = (x - a)g(x)$  for some  $g \in K[x]$ . Then  $Df(x) = g(x) + (x - a)Dg(x)$ .  $0 = Df(a) = g(a)$  implies that  $x - a$  divides  $g$ . Hence  $(x - a)^2$  divides  $f$ .  $\checkmark$

If  $f$  and  $Df$  are coprime, then exists  $a, b \in K$  such that  $af(x) + bDf(x) = 1$ . Hence  $f$  and  $Df$  have no common roots. By (e) we deduce that  $f$  has no multiple root.  $\checkmark$

Good.  $\checkmark$   $\textcircled{B^+}$

### Question 5

Show that if  $a \in \mathbb{Z}$  is divisible by a prime  $p$  but not by  $p^2$ , then  $x^n - a$  is irreducible over  $\mathbb{Q}$  for all  $n \geq 1$ . Show also that it has no repeated roots in any extension of  $\mathbb{Q}$ .



Think it's enough  
to just cite this  
criterion

*Proof.* The first part is a special case of Eisenstein's criterion. Suppose that  $f(x) = x^n - a$  is not irreducible in  $\mathbb{Z}[x]$ . Then there exists non-constant  $g, h \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$  such that  $f = gh$ . Let  $\pi: \mathbb{Q} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$  induces the homomorphism  $\pi: \mathbb{Q}[x] \rightarrow (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})[x]$ . The image of  $f, g, h$  are  $\bar{f}, \bar{g}, \bar{h}$ . So  $\bar{f} = \bar{g}\bar{h}$ . Let  $b_0, c_0$  be the constant coefficients of  $g$  and  $h$ . Then  $a = -b_0c_0$ . Since  $p \mid a$ , we have  $\bar{0} = \bar{b}_0\bar{c}_0$  in  $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ . Since  $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$  is a field, it has no zero-divisors. So  $p \mid b_0$  <sup>or</sup>  $p \mid c_0$ . Hence  $p^2 \mid a$ , which is a contradiction. Hence  $f(x) = x^n - a$  is irreducible in  $\mathbb{Z}[x]$ . By (a corollary of) Gauss' Lemma,  $f$  is irreducible in  $\mathbb{Q}[x]$ . X

The formal derivative of  $f$ ,  $Df(x) = nx^{n-1}$ , has a unique root  $x = 0$  in any extension of  $\mathbb{Q}$ . But  $x = 0$  is not a root of  $f$ , as  $f(0) = -a \neq 0$  (otherwise  $p^2 \mid a$ ).  $f$  and  $Df$  have no common roots, so  $f$  has no repeated roots in any extension of  $\mathbb{Q}$ . ✓ □

Think a bit more is needed.

(B)

### Question 6

Show that if  $m$  is any positive integer, then the polynomial  $x^{p^m} - x$  has no multiple root in any extension of fields  $L: \mathbb{F}_p$ .

Let

$$K = \{ \alpha \in L : \alpha^{p^m} = \alpha \}$$

be the set of roots of  $x^{p^m} - x$  in the extension  $L$ . Show that  $K$  is a subfield of  $L$ .

Let  $n$  be a positive integer. Show that if  $m$  divides  $n$  then  $p^m - 1$  divides  $p^n - 1$  in  $\mathbb{Z}$  and  $x^{p^m} - x$  divides  $x^{p^n} - x$  in  $\mathbb{F}_p[x]$ .

*Proof.* Note that any extension field of  $\mathbb{F}_p$  has characteristic  $p$ . Let  $f(x) = x^{p^m} - x$ . The formal derivative of  $f$  is

$$Df(x) = p^m x^{p^m-1} - 1 = -1$$

as  $p^m \neq 0$ .  $Df$  has no roots in any extension of  $\mathbb{F}_p$ . Hence  $f$  has no multiple roots in any extension of  $\mathbb{F}_p$ . ✓

For  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in K$ , it is clear from definition that  $\alpha_1 \alpha_2 \in K$  and  $\alpha_1^{-1} \in K$ . By Binomial Theorem,

maybe need small  
argument for this

$$(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2)^{p^m} = \alpha_1^{p^m} + \alpha_2^{p^m} + \sum_{k=1}^{p^m-1} \frac{p^m!}{k!(p^m-k)!} \alpha_1^k \alpha_2^{p^m-k} = \alpha_1^{p^m} + \alpha_2^{p^m}$$

because  $p$  divides  $\frac{p^m!}{k!(p^m-k)!}$  for  $k < p^m$ . Hence  $\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 \in K$ . ✓

If  $p = 2$ , then  $-\alpha = \alpha \in K$ . If  $p > 2$ , then  $p^m$  is odd. Hence  $(-\alpha)^{p^m} = (-1)^{p^m} \alpha^{p^m} = -\alpha$ . Hence  $-\alpha \in K$ . We conclude that  $K$  is a subfield of  $L$ . Don't forget to check  $0, 1 \in K$

Suppose that  $n = km$  for  $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ . Then

$$p^{km} - 1 = (p - 1)(p^{k(m-1)} + \dots + p + 1) = (p - 1)(p^{m-1} + \dots + p + 1)(p^{(k-1)m} + \dots + p^m + 1) = (p^m - 1)(p^{(k-1)m} + \dots + p^m + 1)$$

Hence  $p^m - 1$  divides  $p^n - 1$  in  $\mathbb{Z}[x]$ . ✓

Note that  $x^{p^m} - x = x(x^{p^{m-1}} - 1)$  and  $x^{p^n} - x = x(x^{p^{n-1}} - 1)$ . Since  $p^m - 1$  divides  $p^n - 1$  in  $\mathbb{Z}$ , we have  $(x^{p^{m-1}} - 1)$  divides  $(x^{p^{n-1}} - 1)$  in  $\mathbb{Z}[x]$ . Hence  $x^{p^m} - x$  divides  $x^{p^n} - x$  in  $\mathbb{F}_p[x]$ . ✓ □

↑  
"by same argument as above, with  $p \leftrightarrow x$ "

(B)

### Question 7

- (a) Let  $f(x) = x^3 - s_1 x^2 + s_2 x - s_3 = (x - \alpha)(x - \beta)(x - \gamma) \in \mathbb{Q}[x]$  where  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \mathbb{C}$ . Denoting  $\sigma_i = \alpha^i + \beta^i + \gamma^i$  for  $i \geq 0$ , show that  $\sigma_0 = 3, \sigma_1 = s_1$  and  $\sigma_2 = s_1^2 - 2s_2$ . Show further that

$$\sigma_r = s_1 \sigma_{r-1} - s_2 \sigma_{r-2} + s_3 \sigma_{r-3}$$

for all  $r \geq 3$ .

- (b) Let  $\delta = (\alpha - \beta)(\alpha - \gamma)(\beta - \gamma)$  and  $\Delta = \delta^2$ . Show that

$$\Delta = -4s_1^3 s_3 + s_1^2 s_2^2 + 18s_1 s_2 s_3 - 4s_2^3 - 27s_3^2$$

[Hint: You may find it useful to consider the Van der Monde determinant

$$\det \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ \alpha & \beta & \gamma \\ \alpha^2 & \beta^2 & \gamma^2 \end{pmatrix}$$

and the determinant of this matrix multiplied by its transpose to deduce first that

$$\Delta = \det \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_0 & \sigma_1 & \sigma_2 \\ \sigma_1 & \sigma_2 & \sigma_3 \\ \sigma_2 & \sigma_3 & \sigma_4 \end{pmatrix}.$$

*Proof.* (a) By comparing the coefficients we observe that

$$s_1 = \alpha + \beta + \gamma$$

$$s_2 = \alpha\beta + \beta\gamma + \gamma\alpha$$

$$s_3 = \alpha\beta\gamma$$

$$\text{Hence } \sigma_0 = \alpha^0 + \beta^0 + \gamma^0 = 3. \sigma_1 = \alpha + \beta + \gamma = s_1. \sigma_2 = \alpha^2 + \beta^2 + \gamma^2 = (\alpha + \beta + \gamma)^2 - 2(\alpha\beta + \beta\gamma + \gamma\alpha) = s_1^2 - 2s_2.$$

In general, we expand the expression below

$$\begin{aligned} s_1\sigma_{r-1} - s_2\sigma_{r-2} + s_3\sigma_{r-3} &= (\alpha + \beta + \gamma)(\alpha^{r-1} + \beta^{r-1} + \gamma^{r-1}) - (\alpha\beta + \beta\gamma + \gamma\alpha)(\alpha^{r-2} + \beta^{r-2} + \gamma^{r-2}) + \alpha\beta\gamma(\alpha^{r-3} + \beta^{r-3} + \gamma^{r-3}) \\ &= \alpha^r + \beta^r + \gamma^r \quad \leftarrow \text{Perhaps show more working} \\ &= \sigma_r \quad \checkmark \end{aligned}$$

(b) First we calculate  $\sigma_3$  and  $\sigma_4$ :

$$\sigma_3 = s_1\sigma_2 - s_2\sigma_1 + s_3\sigma_0 = s_1^3 - 2s_1s_2 - s_1s_2 + 3s_3 = s_1^3 - 3s_1s_2 + 3s_3$$

$$\sigma_4 = s_1\sigma_3 - s_2\sigma_2 + s_3\sigma_1 = s_1^4 - 3s_1^2s_2 + 3s_1s_3 - s_1^2s_2 - 2s_2^2 + s_1s_3 = s_1^4 - 4s_1^2s_2 + 4s_1s_3 - 2s_2^2$$

It is well known that the van de Monde determinant satisfies

$$(\alpha - \beta)(\alpha - \gamma)(\beta - \gamma) = \det \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ \alpha & \beta & \gamma \\ \alpha^2 & \beta^2 & \gamma^2 \end{pmatrix}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta &= (\alpha - \beta)^2(\alpha - \gamma)^2(\beta - \gamma)^2 = \det \left( \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ \alpha & \beta & \gamma \\ \alpha^2 & \beta^2 & \gamma^2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ \alpha & \beta & \gamma \\ \alpha^2 & \beta^2 & \gamma^2 \end{pmatrix}^T \right) = \det \begin{pmatrix} 3 & \alpha + \beta + \gamma & \alpha^2 + \beta^2 + \gamma^2 \\ \alpha + \beta + \gamma & \alpha^2 + \beta^2 + \gamma^2 & \alpha^3 + \beta^3 + \gamma^3 \\ \alpha^2 + \beta^2 + \gamma^2 & \alpha^3 + \beta^3 + \gamma^3 & \alpha^4 + \beta^4 + \gamma^4 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \det \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_0 & \sigma_1 & \sigma_2 \\ \sigma_1 & \sigma_2 & \sigma_3 \\ \sigma_2 & \sigma_3 & \sigma_4 \end{pmatrix} = \det \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_0 & \sigma_1 & \sigma_2 - s_1\sigma_1 + s_2\sigma_0 \\ \sigma_1 & \sigma_2 & \sigma_3 - s_1\sigma_2 + s_2\sigma_1 \\ \sigma_2 & \sigma_3 & \sigma_4 - s_1\sigma_3 + s_2\sigma_2 \end{pmatrix} = \det \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_0 & \sigma_1 & s_2 \\ \sigma_1 & \sigma_2 & s_3\sigma_0 \\ \sigma_2 & \sigma_3 & s_3\sigma_1 \end{pmatrix} = \det \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_0 & \sigma_1 & s_2 \\ \sigma_1 & \sigma_2 & 3s_3 \\ \sigma_2 & \sigma_3 & s_1s_3 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \det \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_0 & \sigma_1 & s_2 \\ \sigma_1 & \sigma_2 & 3s_3 \\ \sigma_2 - s_1\sigma_1 + s_2\sigma_0 & \sigma_3 - s_1\sigma_2 + s_2\sigma_1 & s_1s_3 - 3s_1s_3 + s_2^2 \end{pmatrix} = \det \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_0 & \sigma_1 & s_2 \\ \sigma_1 & \sigma_2 & 3s_3 \\ s_2 & 3s_3 & s_2^2 - 2s_1s_3 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \det \begin{pmatrix} 3 & s_1 & s_2 \\ s_1 & s_1^2 - 2s_2 & 3s_3 \\ s_2 & 3s_3 & s_2^2 - 2s_1s_3 \end{pmatrix} = 3((s_1^2 - 2s_2)(s_2^2 - 2s_1s_3) - 9s_3^2) - s_1(s_1(s_2^2 - 2s_1s_3) - 3s_2s_3) + s_2(3s_1s_3 - s_2(s_1^2 - 2s_2)) \\ &= 18s_1s_2s_3 + s_1^2s_2^2 - 4s_2^3 - 4s_1^3s_3 - 27s_3^3 \quad \checkmark \end{aligned}$$

well done.  
I'm sure that wasn't fun.

(A-)

**Question 8**

Let  $E/F$  be an extension field of prime degree  $\ell$  and let  $\alpha \in E \setminus F$ . Let  $M_\alpha$  be  $F$ -linear map induced by the multiplication by  $\alpha$  :

$$\begin{aligned} M_\alpha : E &\rightarrow E \\ u &\mapsto \alpha \cdot u \end{aligned}$$

Show that the characteristic polynomial of  $M_\alpha$  is equal to the minimal polynomial of  $\alpha$ . [Hint: Cayley-Hamilton.]

*Proof.* Consider the tower of field extensions:  $F \subseteq F[\alpha] \subseteq E$ . By tower law,  $[F[\alpha] : F]$  divides  $\ell = [E : F]$ . Since  $\ell$  is prime and  $\alpha \notin F$ ,  $[F[\alpha] : F] = \ell$  and hence  $E = F[\alpha]$ . ✓

We claim that  $\{1, \alpha, \dots, \alpha^{\ell-1}\}$  is a basis of  $E = F[\alpha]$ . let  $m$  be the minimal polynomial of  $\alpha$  over  $F$ . For  $f \in F[x]$ , by division algorithm, there exists  $q, r \in F[x]$  such that  $f = qm + r$  and  $\deg r < \deg m = \ell$ . Then

$$f(\alpha) = r(\alpha) = a_0 + a_1 \alpha + \dots + a_{\ell-1} \alpha^{\ell-1} \in \text{span}\{1, \alpha, \dots, \alpha^{\ell-1}\}$$

That is,  $\{1, \alpha, \dots, \alpha^{\ell-1}\}$  spans  $F[\alpha]$ . On the other hand, suppose that  $a_0, \dots, a_{\ell-1} \in F$  such that  $a_0 + a_1 \alpha + \dots + a_{\ell-1} \alpha^{\ell-1} = 0$ . Then  $a_0 = \dots = a_{\ell-1} = 0$  by minimality of degree of  $m$ . Hence  $\{1, \alpha, \dots, \alpha^{\ell-1}\}$  is linearly independent.

Let  $m(x) = x^\ell + a_{\ell-1}x^{\ell-1} + \dots + a_1x + a_0$  be the minimal polynomial of  $\alpha$ . Then

$$\alpha^\ell = -(a_{\ell-1}\alpha^{\ell-1} + \dots + a_1\alpha + a_0)$$

With respect to the basis  $\{1, \alpha, \dots, \alpha^{\ell-1}\}$ , the matrix of  $M_\alpha$  is the (transpose of) companion matrix of  $m$ :

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & & \\ & \ddots & \ddots & \\ & & 0 & 1 \\ -a_0 & \cdots & -a_{\ell-2} & -a_{\ell-1} \end{pmatrix}$$

From linear algebra we know that the characteristic polynomial of this matrix is exactly  $m$ , which finishes the proof. □

Great! ✓

(A)