

Peize Liu  
*St. Peter's College*  
*University of Oxford*

**Problem Sheet 5**  
Groups

**B2: Symmetry & Relativity**

29 December, 2020

**Question 1**

Show that the Lorentz transformations in a single spatial direction form a group.

*Proof.* For simplicity we consider 1 + 1 spacetime. A Lorentz boost is given by

$$L = \begin{pmatrix} \gamma & -\beta\gamma \\ -\beta\gamma & \gamma \end{pmatrix}$$

or, using the rapidity,

$$L(\eta) = \begin{pmatrix} \cosh \eta & -\sinh \eta \\ -\sinh \eta & \cosh \eta \end{pmatrix}$$

The set of 1 + 1 Lorentz transformations  $SO(1, 1)^+$  is a subgroup of  $GL_2(\mathbb{R})$ :

- Identity: For  $\eta = 0$ ,  $L(0) = \text{diag}(1, 1) = I$  is the identity in  $SO(1, 1)^+$ ;
- Closed under composition: For  $\eta_1, \eta_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} L(\eta_1)L(\eta_2) &= \begin{pmatrix} \cosh \eta_1 & -\sinh \eta_1 \\ -\sinh \eta_1 & \cosh \eta_1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \cosh \eta_2 & -\sinh \eta_2 \\ -\sinh \eta_2 & \cosh \eta_2 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} \cosh \eta_1 \cosh \eta_2 + \sinh \eta_1 \sinh \eta_2 & -(\cosh \eta_1 \sinh \eta_2 + \sinh \eta_1 \cosh \eta_2) \\ -(\cosh \eta_1 \sinh \eta_2 + \sinh \eta_1 \cosh \eta_2) & \cosh \eta_1 \cosh \eta_2 + \sinh \eta_1 \sinh \eta_2 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} \cosh(\eta_1 + \eta_2) & -\sinh(\eta_1 + \eta_2) \\ -\sinh(\eta_1 + \eta_2) & \cosh(\eta_1 + \eta_2) \end{pmatrix} = L(\eta_1 + \eta_2) \end{aligned}$$

- Closed under inversion: For  $\eta \in \mathbb{R}$ :

$$L(\eta)^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} \cosh \eta & -\sinh \eta \\ -\sinh \eta & \cosh \eta \end{pmatrix}^{-1} = \frac{1}{\cosh^2 \eta - \sinh^2 \eta} \begin{pmatrix} \cosh \eta & \sinh \eta \\ \sinh \eta & \cosh \eta \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \cosh(-\eta) & -\sinh(-\eta) \\ -\sinh(-\eta) & \cosh(-\eta) \end{pmatrix} = L(-\eta) \quad \square$$

**Question 2**

Show that  $e^{in\theta}$ , with  $\theta$  a constant, is a representation of the group of integers  $n$  under the addition operator. If  $\theta = \pi/N$ , how many elements does the representation have, and in what sense is it still a representation of the infinite group of integers?

*Proof.* The map  $\rho : \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow GL(\mathbb{C}) = \mathbb{C}^\times$  given by  $\rho(n) = e^{in\theta}$  is a group homomorphism, because for any  $n, m \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,

$$\rho(n+m) = e^{i(m+n)\theta} = e^{in\theta} e^{im\theta} = \rho(n)\rho(m)$$

Hence it is an representation of the group  $\mathbb{Z}$ .

If  $\theta = \pi/N$ , where  $N \in \mathbb{Z}$ , then  $e^{i2N\theta} = e^{2\pi i} = 1$  and  $\rho(\mathbb{Z})$  has distinct elements  $1, e^{i\theta}, \dots, e^{i(2N-1)\theta}$ . The image of the representation  $\rho$  has  $2N$  elements.

I am not sure what the final part of the question is asking. If  $\pi/\theta \in \mathbb{Q}$ , then  $\rho$  has a non-trivial kernel and hence is not faithful. In fact it descends to a faithful representation of  $\mathbb{Z}/\ker \rho$ . If  $\pi/\theta \notin \mathbb{Q}$ , then  $\rho : n \mapsto e^{in\theta}$  is a faithful representation of  $\mathbb{Z}$  in  $\mathbb{C}$ .  $\square$

**Question 3**

Write down a set of  $3 \times 3$  matrices to represent the permutation group on three objects, such that the action of swapping the second and third objects is the matrix

$$(D_{132})_j^i = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Show that this matrix representation is reducible by the following steps.

- (i) Find a common eigenvector for all the  $D_j^i$  matrices.
- (ii) Write down a suitable similarity transformation matrix  $S_j^i$ , such that  $(D')_j^i = S_m^i D_n^m (S^{-1})_j^n$  with the common eigenvector becoming  $(1,0,0)$  in the new basis.
- (iii) Show that the transformation matrices in the new basis take on block-diagonal form.

*Proof.* Consider the set  $X = \{e_1, e_2, e_3\}$  and the free  $\mathbb{C}$ -vector space  $\mathbb{C}^{\oplus X} \cong \mathbb{C}^3$ . The permutation action of  $S_3$  on  $X$  induces a left  $\mathbb{C}[S_3]$ -module structure on  $\mathbb{C}^3$ . The representation  $\rho: S_3 \rightarrow \text{GL}_3(\mathbb{C})$  afforded by the module is called the *permutation representation* of  $S_3$ . Now  $\rho(S_3)$  is the set of the following matrices:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

It is easy to check that  $\mathbb{C}^3$  has sub- $\mathbb{C}[S_3]$ -modules  $U, W$ :

$$U := \langle e_1 + e_2 + e_3 \rangle \quad W := \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^3 a_i e_i : \sum_{i=1}^3 a_i = 0 \right\} = \langle e_1 - e_2, e_2 - e_3 \rangle$$

such that  $\mathbb{C}^3 = U \oplus W$ . Hence  $\mathbb{C}^3$  is reducible.

- (i) The common eigenvector is  $e_1 + e_2 + e_3$ , because for all  $\sigma \in S_3$ ,

$$\rho(\sigma)(e_1 + e_2 + e_3) = \sigma \cdot (e_1 + e_2 + e_3) = e_{\sigma(1)} + e_{\sigma(2)} + e_{\sigma(3)} = e_1 + e_2 + e_3$$

as  $\sigma$  is a bijection on  $\{1, 2, 3\}$ .

- (ii) It is clear that  $\{e_1 + e_2 + e_3, e_1 - e_2, e_2 - e_3\}$  is a new basis of  $\mathbb{C}^3$ . The change-of-basis matrix is given by

$$S^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & -1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

which implies that

$$S = \begin{pmatrix} 1/3 & 1/3 & 1/3 \\ 2/3 & -1/3 & -1/3 \\ 1/3 & 1/3 & -2/3 \end{pmatrix}$$

and that  $S(1, 1, 1)^T = (1, 0, 0)^T$ .

- (iii) This is a theorem in linear algebra:

*Suppose that  $V = U \oplus W$ , where  $U$  and  $W$  are  $T$ -stable subspaces of  $V$ . Take a basis  $B$  of  $U$  and  $C$  of  $W$ . Then  $B \cup C$  is a basis of  $V$  with respect to which  $T$  takes the block diagonal form.*

Now for any  $\sigma \in S_3$ ,  $U$  and  $W$  are  $\rho(\sigma)$ -stable. So all  $\rho(\sigma)$  take block diagonal form with respect to the basis  $\{e_1 + e_2 + e_3, e_1 - e_2, e_2 - e_3\}$ . □

#### Question 4

Show that the following matrix generates a rotation around the  $x$  axis

$$(J_1)_V^\mu = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -i \\ 0 & 0 & i & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

using the exponential  $R(\theta) = e^{-i\theta J_1}$ . Show that the matrix

$$(K_1)^\mu_\nu = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i & 0 & 0 \\ -i & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

generates a boost in the  $x$  direction with  $\Lambda(\eta) = e^{-i\eta K_1}$ .

Write the matrix form of the generator  $K_2$  for infinitesimal boosts along the  $y$  axis. Multiply an infinitesimal boost along  $x$  by another along  $y$ . What does the form of the matrix indicate about whether non-aligned Lorentz transformations can form a group?

*Proof.* Recall that the exponential of a matrix is defined by

$$e^A := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{A^n}{n!}$$

where the RHS converges absolutely for any  $A \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$ . For diagonalisable matrix  $A = PDP^{-1}$ , where  $D = \text{diag}(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)$ , we have  $A^n = PD^nP^{-1}$ , and hence

$$e^A = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} PD^nP^{-1} = P \left( \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{D^n}{n!} \right) P^{-1} = P \text{diag} \left( \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda_1^n}{n!}, \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda_2^n}{n!} \right) P^{-1} = P \text{diag}(e^{\lambda_1}, e^{\lambda_2}) P^{-1}$$

First we diagonalise  $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ : The characteristic polynomial is  $x^2 + 1$ , so the eigenvalues are  $\pm i$ . The corresponding eigenvectors are  $(i, 1)$  and  $(-i, 1)$ . So

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} i & -i \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} i & 0 \\ 0 & -i \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} i & -i \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}^{-1}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} R(\theta) = e^{-i\theta J_1} &= \exp \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -\theta \\ 0 & 0 & \theta & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & i & -i \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} e^0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & e^0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & e^{i\theta} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & e^{-i\theta} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & i & -i \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}^{-1} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{2}(e^{i\theta} + e^{-i\theta}) & -\frac{1}{2i}(e^{i\theta} - e^{-i\theta}) \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{2i}(e^{i\theta} - e^{-i\theta}) & \frac{1}{2}(e^{i\theta} + e^{-i\theta}) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \cos \theta & -\sin \theta \\ 0 & 0 & \sin \theta & \cos \theta \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

Hence the Lie subalgebra  $J_1 \in \mathfrak{so}(1,3)$  generates the subgroup of  $\text{SO}(1,3)$  corresponding to rotation about the  $x$ -axis.

Next we diagonalise  $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ : The characteristic polynomial is  $x^2 - 1$ , so the eigenvalues are  $\pm 1$ . The corresponding eigenvectors are  $(1, -1)$  and  $(1, 1)$ .

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}^{-1}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda(\eta) = e^{-i\eta K_1} &= \exp \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\eta & 0 & 0 \\ -\eta & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} e^\eta & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & e^{-\eta} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}^{-1} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2}(e^\eta + e^{-\eta}) & \frac{1}{2}(-e^\eta + e^{-\eta}) & 0 & 0 \\ \frac{1}{2}(-e^\eta + e^{-\eta}) & \frac{1}{2}(e^\eta + e^{-\eta}) & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \cosh \eta & -\sinh \eta & 0 & 0 \\ -\sinh \eta & \cosh \eta & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $K_1$  generates the subgroup corresponding to boost in the  $x$ -axis.

The boost in the  $y$ -axis gives the matrix in Lie algebra:

$$K_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & -i & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -i & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathfrak{so}(1,3)$$

$$K_2 K_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & -i & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -i & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i & 0 & 0 \\ -i & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Note that  $(K_2 K_1)^2 = 0$ . The one-parameter subgroup generated by  $K_2 K_1$  is given by

$$e^{-i\alpha K_2 K_1} = I - i\alpha(K_2 K_1) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & i\alpha & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

This clearly does not represent a Lorentz boost. The Lorentz boosts do not form a subgroup of  $SO(1,3)^+$ . □

### Question 5

The canonical  $j = 1$  representation of the generators of 3D rotations can be derived from following rules:

$$J_3 |m\rangle = m |m\rangle$$

$$J_{\pm} |m\rangle = [j(j+1) - m(m \pm 1)]^{1/2} |m \pm 1\rangle$$

$$J_{\pm} = J_1 \pm iJ_2$$

Write down matrices representing the  $J_i$  generators using the basis  $\{|1\rangle, |0\rangle, |-1\rangle\}$ .

Verify that the generators satisfy the same Lie algebra as that of the  $SO(3)$  group, i.e.,

$$[J_j, J_k] = i \sum_m \epsilon_{jkm} J_m$$

Using the appropriate spherical harmonics as basis of the  $j = 1$  representation space, show that  $J_3$  generates rotations around  $\hat{z}$ . Similarly, show that the spherical harmonics representing a direction in the  $yz$  plane are rotated around  $\hat{x}$  by  $J_1$ .

*Proof.* When  $j = 1$ ,


$$\begin{aligned} J_1 |m\rangle &= \frac{1}{2}(J_+ + J_-) |m\rangle = \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{2-m(m+1)} |m+1\rangle + \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{2-m(m-1)} |m-1\rangle \\ J_2 |m\rangle &= \frac{1}{2i}(J_+ - J_-) |m\rangle = \frac{1}{2i} \sqrt{2-m(m+1)} |m+1\rangle - \frac{1}{2i} \sqrt{2-m(m-1)} |m-1\rangle \\ J_3 |m\rangle &= m |m\rangle \end{aligned}$$

The spin-1 representation of the generators are given by

$$J_1 = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad J_2 = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2i} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad J_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Verify the commutation relations:

$$[J_1, J_2] = \frac{1}{2i} \left( \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right)$$


$$\begin{aligned}
&= \frac{1}{2i} \left( \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \right) = \frac{1}{2i} \begin{pmatrix} -2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix} = iJ_3 \\
[J_2, J_3] &= \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2i} \left( \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right) \\
&= \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2i} \left( \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right) = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2i} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = iJ_1 \\
[J_3, J_1] &= \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} \left( \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \right) \\
&= \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} \left( \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right) = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = iJ_2
\end{aligned}$$


In summary,  $[J_j, J_k] = i\epsilon_{jkm} J_m$ .  $\{J_1, J_2, J_3\}$  generates the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{so}(3)$ .

The spherical harmonics:

$$Y_{1,1}(\theta, \varphi) = -\sqrt{\frac{3}{8\pi}} \sin\theta e^{i\varphi}, \quad Y_{1,0}(\theta, \varphi) = \sqrt{\frac{6}{8\pi}} \cos\theta, \quad Y_{1,-1}(\theta, \varphi) = \sqrt{\frac{3}{8\pi}} \sin\theta e^{-i\varphi}$$

The one-parameter subgroup of  $SO(3)$  generated by  $J_3$  is

$$R(\theta) = e^{-i\theta J_3} = \begin{pmatrix} e^{-i\theta} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & e^{i\theta} \end{pmatrix}$$


Hence we have

$$R(\alpha) Y_{1,1}(\theta, \varphi) = e^{-i\alpha} Y_{1,1}(\theta, \varphi) = Y_{1,1}(\varphi - \alpha); \quad R(\alpha) Y_{1,0}(\theta, \varphi) = Y_{1,0}(\theta, \varphi) = Y_{1,0}(\theta, \varphi - \alpha), \quad R(\alpha) Y_{1,-1}(\theta, \varphi) = Y_{1,-1}(\theta, \varphi - \alpha)$$

So the action of  $e^{-i\alpha J_3}$  is equivalent to a coordinate transformation  $\theta \mapsto \theta$ ,  $\varphi \mapsto \varphi - \alpha$ , which is a rotation around  $z$ -axis by an angle  $\alpha$ .

For  $J_1$ , we may have to choose a different parametrisation of  $S^2$ . I am not sure about what this question want me to show explicitly.

(What is the general relationship between the angular momentum operator in quantum mechanics and the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{so}(3)$ ?)

□

### Question 6

Consider the Dirac equation of a fermion field in the presence of an electromagnetic field  $A^\mu$

$$(i\gamma^\mu \partial_\mu + q\gamma^\mu A_\mu - m)\psi = 0$$

where the  $\gamma^\mu$  are  $4 \times 4$  matrices with the anti-commutation relationship

$$\gamma^\mu \gamma^\nu + \gamma^\nu \gamma^\mu = -2g^{\mu\nu}$$

(The important thing to keep in mind here is merely that there are 4 distinct matrices; you shouldn't need the anti-commutation relationship itself.) Apply the local gauge transformation to the fermion field

$$\psi \rightarrow \psi' = e^{iq\alpha} \psi$$

where  $\alpha$  is also a function of spacetime. Show that local gauge invariance can be restored by applying a simultaneous gauge

transformation to the electromagnetic field,

$$A_\mu \rightarrow A'_\mu = A_\mu + \partial_\mu \chi$$

What must the relationship be between  $\chi$  and  $\alpha$ ?

*Proof.* With  $\psi \mapsto e^{iq\alpha} \psi$  and  $A_\mu \mapsto A_\mu + \partial_\mu \chi$ , the gauge invariance requires that

$$(i\gamma^\mu \partial_\mu + q\gamma^\mu (A_\mu + \partial_\mu \chi) - m) e^{iq\alpha} \psi = 0$$

Since  $\partial_\mu (e^{iq\alpha} \psi) = \partial_\mu \psi + iq e^{iq\alpha} \psi \partial_\mu \alpha$ , we have

$$-q\gamma^\mu e^{iq\alpha} \psi \partial_\mu \alpha + q\gamma^\mu e^{iq\alpha} \psi \partial_\mu \chi = 0$$

Hence  $\alpha$  and  $\chi$  must satisfy

$$\gamma^\mu \partial_\mu (\alpha - \chi) = 0$$

□

✓

$\Rightarrow \alpha = \chi + C$  for  
constant  $C \Rightarrow$  global  
 $U(1)$