

Peize Liu
St. Peter's College
University of Oxford

Problem Sheet 4
C2.6: Introduction to Schemes

11 March, 2022

Question 1

- i) Suppose that $F, G \subseteq H$ are subsheaves. Show that $F = G \iff F_x = G_x$ for all $x \in X$
- ii) Let $\varphi: F \rightarrow G$ be a morphism in $\mathbf{Ab}(X)$. Show that $\ker \varphi: U \mapsto \ker(\varphi_U)$ is a sheaf (*whereas for coker φ and $\operatorname{im} \varphi$ we must sheafify*).
- iii) Also show that $(\ker \varphi)_x = \ker(\varphi_x)$ and $(\operatorname{im} \varphi)_x = \operatorname{im}(\varphi_x)$.

Show that φ injective $\iff \varphi_x$ injective for all x , and φ surjective $\iff \varphi_x$ surjective for all x .

Deduce that $F \rightarrow G \rightarrow H$ in $\mathbf{Ab}(X)$ is exact $\iff F_x \rightarrow G_x \rightarrow H_x$ exact for all x .

- iv) Let $\varphi: F \rightarrow G$ be a morphism in $\mathbf{Ab}(X)$ with φ_x surjective for all x . Show that $\forall s \in G(U)$ and $x \in U$, there is open $V \subseteq U$ with $x \in V$ and $t \in F(V)$ with $\varphi(t) = s|_V$.
- v) “Surjectivity means local liftability.”

Show that $\varphi: F \rightarrow G$ is surjective \iff for all $s \in G(U)$, there exists an open cover $U = \bigcup U_i$ and $t_i \in F(U_i)$ such that $F(t_i) = s|_{U_i}$.

- vi) Let $X = \mathbb{C} \setminus \{\frac{1}{n} : n \in \mathbb{Z}_+\}$, $\mathcal{O}_X(U) := \{\text{holomorphic functions } U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}\}$, and $\mathcal{O}_X^*(U) := \{\text{nowhere zero holomorphic functions } U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}\}$

Show that $\exp: \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X^*$ is surjective (*for $f \in \mathcal{O}_X(U)$, $\exp(f) \in \mathcal{O}_X^*(U)$ is the complex exponential*) but $\exp_U: \mathcal{O}_X(U) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X^*(U)$ not surjective no matter how small the open $U \ni 0$ is.

- vii) Let (X, \mathcal{O}_X) be a ringed space. Let $\varphi: F \rightarrow G$ be a homomorphism of \mathcal{O}_X -modules, where G is of **finite type**. Show that φ_x is surjective for some $x \in X \implies \varphi_U: F|_U \rightarrow G|_U$ surjective for some open $U \ni x$.

[In notes 6.3 we used this: we had $\mathcal{O}_{X,x}^{\oplus n} \cong F_x$, and assuming F of finite type we claimed that $\mathcal{O}_U^{\oplus n} \rightarrow F|_U$ surjective on some open $U \ni x$.]

- viii) Let $\varphi: F \rightarrow G$ be a homomorphism of \mathcal{O}_X -modules, where F is of **finite type** and G is **coherent**. Show that φ_x is injective for some $x \in X \implies \varphi_U: F|_U \rightarrow G|_U$ injective for some open $U \ni x$.

[Hint. First check that $\ker \varphi$ is of finite type (doesn't use injectivity of φ_x) by considering $\ker(\mathcal{O}_X|_U^{\oplus n} \rightarrow F|_U \xrightarrow{\varphi_U} G|_U)$.]

- Proof.* i) “ \implies ” is trivial. For “ \impliedby ”, suppose that $F_x = G_x$ for all $x \in X$. Let $U \subseteq X$ be open. Let $s \in F(U)$. Then $s_x \in F_x = G_x$ for all $x \in U$. We can pick representative $t' \in G(U_x)$ of s_x where $x \in U_x \subseteq U$. Then by the local-to-global condition there exists $t \in G(U)$ such that $t_x = s_x$ for all $x \in U$. Hence $t = s \in G(U)$. This implies that $F(U) \subseteq G(U)$. Symmetrically $G(U) \subseteq F(U)$. Hence $F(U) = G(U)$. Since U is arbitrary, $F = G$ as sheaves.
- ii) First we check that $\ker \varphi: U \mapsto \ker(\varphi_U)$ is a presheaf. To check the functoriality, it suffices to check that it is compatible with restriction. Let $U \subseteq V$. Then

$$(\ker \varphi)(V)|_U = (\ker \varphi_V)|_U = \ker(\varphi_V|_U) = \ker \varphi_U = (\ker \varphi)(U)$$

Next we check the local-to-global condition. Let $\{U_i\}_{i \in I}$ be a family of open sets. For each i there is $s_i \in (\ker \varphi)(U_i) \subseteq F(U_i)$ such that

$$s_i|_{U_i \cap U_j} = s_j|_{U_i \cap U_j} \in (\ker \varphi)(U_i \cap U_j) \subseteq F(U_i \cap U_j)$$

Let $U := \bigcup_{i \in I} U_i$. Since F is a sheaf, there exists a unique $s \in F(U)$ such that $s_i = s|_{U_i}$. Note that $\varphi_U(s)|_{U_i} = \varphi_{U_i}(s|_{U_i}) = 0 \in G(U_i)$. Since G is a sheaf, again by the local-to-global condition

$\varphi_U(s) = 0 \in G(U)$. Hence $s \in \ker \varphi_U = (\ker \varphi)(U)$. We conclude that $\ker \varphi$ is a sheaf.

iii) Note that

$$\begin{aligned} t \in \varinjlim_{U \ni x} (\ker \varphi)(U) &\iff \exists U \ni x \exists s \in (\ker \varphi)(U) \ s_x = t \\ &\iff \exists U \ni x \exists s \in F(U) \ (s_x = t \wedge \varphi_U(s) = 0 \in G(U)) \\ &\iff t \in \ker \varphi_x \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\ker \varphi_x = \varinjlim_{U \ni x} (\ker \varphi)(U) = (\ker \varphi)_x$$

If $\text{im } \varphi$ is the sheafification of $\underline{\text{im } \varphi} : U \mapsto \text{im } \varphi_U$, then $(\text{im } \varphi)_x = \text{im } \varphi_x$ is just the definition of sheafification.

In any Abelian category, a morphism is injective (*resp.* surjective) if and only if it is a monomorphism (*resp.* epimorphism). We have

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi \text{ is a monomorphism} &\iff \forall \psi \ (\varphi \circ \psi = 0 \implies \psi = 0) \\ &\iff \forall \psi \ \forall U \in \mathbf{Top}(X) \ (\varphi_U \circ \psi_U = 0 \implies \psi_U = 0) \\ &\iff \forall U \in \mathbf{Top}(X) \ \ker \varphi_U = 0 \\ &\iff \ker \varphi = 0 \\ &\iff \forall x \in X \ (\ker \varphi)_x = \ker \varphi_x = 0 \\ &\iff \forall x \in X \ \varphi_x \text{ is injective} \\ \varphi \text{ is an epimorphism} &\iff \forall \psi \ (\psi \circ \varphi = 0 \implies \psi = 0) \\ &\iff \forall \psi \ \forall x \in X \ (\psi_x \circ \varphi_x = 0 \implies \psi_x = 0) \\ &\iff \forall x \in X \ \varphi_x \text{ is surjective} \end{aligned}$$

Let $F \rightarrow G \rightarrow H$ be a sequence in $\mathbf{Ab}(X)$.

$$\begin{aligned} F \xrightarrow{\alpha} G \xrightarrow{\beta} H \text{ is exact at } G &\iff \ker \beta = \text{im } \alpha \\ &\iff \forall x \in X \ (\ker \beta)_x = \ker \beta_x = \text{im } \alpha_x = (\text{im } \alpha)_x \\ &\iff \forall x \in X \ F_x \xrightarrow{\alpha_x} G_x \xrightarrow{\beta_x} H_x \text{ is exact at } G_x \end{aligned}$$

- iv) Since $\varphi_x : F_x \rightarrow G_x$ is surjective, there exists $t_x \in F_x$ such that $\varphi_x(t_x) = s_x$. We choose a representative $t \in F(W)$ for some open $W \subseteq U$. Then $s_x = \varphi_x(t_x) = \varphi_W(t)_x$. Then $\varphi_W(t)|_V = s|_V$ for some open $V \subseteq W$. By replacing $t|_V$ with t we find a $t \in F(V)$ such that $\varphi_V(t) = s|_V$.
- v) By (iv), for each $x \in U$ we can find open $U_x \subseteq U$ with $x \in U_x$ and $t^{(x)} \in F(U_x)$ such that $F(t^{(x)}) = s|_{U_x}$. It is clear that $\{U_x\}_{x \in U}$ is an open cover of U .
- vi) We recall the following fact (corollary of Cauchy's Theorem and Riemann Mapping Theorem) from complex analysis:

Let $U \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ be a connected open set, and $f : U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be a nowhere vanishing holomorphic function. Then U is simply-connected if and only if $f(z) = \exp(g(z))$ for some holomorphic function $g : U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$.

To show that $\exp : \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X^*$ is surjective, it suffices to show that $\exp_z : \mathcal{O}_{X,z} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X,z}^*$ is surjective for all $z \in X$. For $f_z \in \mathcal{O}_{X,z}^*$, we may pick a representative (f, U) for some open $U := V \cap X \ni z$ and nowhere vanishing holomorphic $f : V \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. We can take V to be an open disc in \mathbb{C} so that

$f(z) = \exp(g(z))$ for some holomorphic $g : V \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. Then (g, U) represents an element g_z in $\mathcal{O}_{X,z}$, and $\exp_z(g_z) = f_z$. So \exp_z is surjective.

For any open set $V \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ with $0 \in V$, $\exp_U : \mathcal{O}_X(U) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X^*(U)$ is not surjective, where $U := V \cap X$. To see this, we note that there exists $B(0, r) \subseteq V$ for some small r and hence $1/n \in V$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $f(z) := (z - \frac{1}{n})^{-1} \in \mathcal{O}_X^*(U)$. It does not admit a holomorphic logarithm because U is not simply connected.

- vii) Since G is of finite type, there exists a neighbourhood $V \ni x$ such that $\pi_V : \mathcal{O}_V^{\oplus n} \rightarrow G|_V$ is surjective. At the stalk of x , we can construct $f_x : \mathcal{O}_{X,x}^{\oplus n} \rightarrow F_x$ as follows. We can pick generators $e_1, \dots, e_n \in \mathcal{O}_{X,x}^{\oplus n}$. Let $f_x(e_i)$ be a element in the preimage $\varphi_x^{-1}(\pi_x(e_i))$ (we used that φ_x is surjective). Since $\mathcal{O}_{X,x}^{\oplus n}$ is a free module, this uniquely extends to a $\mathcal{O}_{X,x}$ -module homomorphism f_x such that the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \mathcal{O}_{X,x}^{\oplus n} & \\ f_x \swarrow & \downarrow \pi_x & \\ F_x & \xrightarrow{\varphi_x} & G_x \end{array}$$

Next we pick the representatives $(e_i, U_i), (f_x(e_i), V_i), (\pi_x(e_i), W_i)$ for $e_i, f_x(e_i), \pi_x(e_i)$. Let $U := V \cap \bigcap_{i=1}^n (U_i \cap V_i \cap W_i)$. Then the diagram lifts to a commutative diagram of $\mathcal{O}_X(U)$ -modules:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \mathcal{O}_X^{\oplus n}(U) & \\ f_U \swarrow & \downarrow \pi_U & \\ F(U) & \xrightarrow{\varphi_U} & G(U) \end{array}$$

It is clear that the diagram respects restrictions. So we have commutative diagram of \mathcal{O}_X -modules:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \mathcal{O}_U^{\oplus n} & \\ f_U \swarrow & \downarrow \pi_U & \\ F|_U & \xrightarrow{\varphi_U} & G|_U \end{array}$$

Since π_U is surjective, so is $\varphi_U : F|_U \rightarrow G|_U$.

- viii) *The categorical kernel $\ker \varphi$ is always a morphism. To clarify, the source object of $\ker \varphi$ shall be denoted by $\text{Ker } \varphi$.*

Let $y \in X$. Since F is of finite type, there exists open $W \subseteq X$ and a surjection $\pi_W : \mathcal{O}_W^{\oplus n} \rightarrow F|_W$. Since G is coherent, we know that $\text{Ker}(\varphi_W \circ \pi_W)$ is of finite type. Then, with possible restriction to a smaller open set, we have a surjection $\sigma_W : \mathcal{O}_W^{\oplus m} \rightarrow \text{Ker}(\varphi_W \circ \pi_W)$. By universal property of kernel, there exists unique a morphism $j_W : \text{Ker}(\varphi_W \circ \pi_W) \rightarrow \text{Ker } \varphi_W$. The composite $j_W \circ \sigma_W : \mathcal{O}_W^{\oplus m} \rightarrow \text{Ker } \varphi_W$ is surjective.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & \text{Ker}(\varphi_W \circ \pi_W) & \hookrightarrow & \mathcal{O}_W^{\oplus n} & \\ \sigma_W \nearrow & \downarrow j_W & & \downarrow \pi_W & \\ \mathcal{O}_W^{\oplus m} & \xrightarrow{j_W \circ \sigma_W} & \text{Ker } \varphi_W & \hookrightarrow & F|_W \xrightarrow{\varphi_W} G|_W \end{array}$$

This shows that $\text{Ker } \varphi$ is of finite type. Now we are given that φ_x is injective for some $x \in X$. Then $(\text{Ker } \varphi)_x = 0$. Since $\text{Ker } \varphi$ is of finite type, there exist an open $V \subseteq X$ and a surjection $\alpha_V : \mathcal{O}_V^{\oplus p} \rightarrow (\text{Ker } \varphi)|_V$. The morphism on the stalk $\alpha_x : \mathcal{O}_{X,x}^{\oplus p} \rightarrow (\text{Ker } \varphi)_x$ sends the generators a_1, \dots, a_p to $0 \in (\text{Ker } \varphi)_x$. We pick representatives (a_i, U_i) for each a_i and let $U := V \cap \bigcap_{i=1}^p U_i$. Then α_x lifts to a surjective zero map $\alpha_U : \mathcal{O}_U^{\oplus p} \rightarrow (\text{Ker } \varphi)_U$. Hence $(\text{Ker } \varphi)_U = 0$, and $\varphi_U : F|_U \rightarrow G|_U$ is injective. \square

Question 2

Motivation: Why is Nakayama's Lemma useful in geometry? "Transferring information from pointwise to infinitesimal to local":

Recall Nakayama's Lemma:

Let R be a ring, $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec } R$, and M be a finitely generated R -module. If $n_1, \dots, n_d \in M$ is a basis for the $\kappa(\mathfrak{p})$ -vector space $M_{\mathfrak{p}} \otimes_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}} \kappa(\mathfrak{p})$, then n_1, \dots, n_d generate the $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ -module $M_{\mathfrak{p}}$ for some $f \in R \setminus \mathfrak{p}$ (indeed it is a minimal generating set).

(When R is a local ring with max ideal \mathfrak{m} , this becomes $M/\mathfrak{m}M = \langle n_1, \dots, n_d \rangle \implies M = \langle n_1, \dots, n_d \rangle$)

i) Let (X, \mathcal{O}_X) be a scheme and $F \in \text{QCoh}(X)$ of finite type. Then we call $F(x) = F_x \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{X,x}} \kappa(x)$ the **fibre**.

Given $s_1, \dots, s_n \in F(U)$ on open $U \ni x$, if $(s_1)_x, \dots, (s_n)_x$ generate the fibre then possibly after shrinking U , show that s_1, \dots, s_n also generate $F|_U$. Deduce that:

- if $F(x) = 0$ then $F|_U = 0$ some open $U \ni x$.
- $x \mapsto \dim F(x)$ is upper-semi-continuous, i.e. $\{\dim < d\} \subseteq X$ is open (since integer-valued can also take $\leq d$.)

ii) *Algebra fact.* Let $0 \rightarrow M_1 \rightarrow M_2 \rightarrow M_3 \rightarrow 0$ be a short exact sequence in $R\text{-Mod}$. Suppose that M_2 is flat. Then M_3 flat $\iff M_1/IM_1 \rightarrow M_2/IM_2$ injective for all ideal $I \subseteq R$.

Let $F \in \mathcal{O}_X\text{-Mod}$ be locally finitely presented (e.g. $F \in \text{Coh}(X)$). Prove that $F \in \text{Vect}(X) \iff F$ is a flat \mathcal{O}_X -module.

[*Hint.* Rewrite the algebra fact in case R local ring, you will use the case that I is the maximal ideal. The key is to reach an exact sequence of type $0 \rightarrow N_x/\mathfrak{m}_x N_x \rightarrow K(x)^{\oplus n} \rightarrow F_x/\mathfrak{m}_x F_x \rightarrow 0$ and use (i).]

Proof. i) WLOG reduce to the affine case: $X = \text{Spec } R$. $F = \widetilde{M}$ for some R -module M . $x = \mathfrak{p}$ is a prime ideal in R . $F_x = M_{\mathfrak{p}}$. $\mathcal{O}_{X,x} = R_{\mathfrak{p}}$. $\kappa(x) = R_{\mathfrak{p}}/\mathfrak{p}R_{\mathfrak{p}}$. The fibre $F(x) = M_{\mathfrak{p}} \otimes_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}} \kappa(\mathfrak{p})$. By Nakayama Lemma above, $(s_1)_x, \dots, (s_n)_x$ generates $F(x)$ implies that s_1, \dots, s_n generate $M_{\mathfrak{p}}$ for some $f \in R \setminus \mathfrak{p}$. Note that $\widetilde{M}|_{D_f} = \widetilde{M}_f$, so we take $U = D_f$.

- If $F(x) = 0$, then $(0)_x$ generates $F(x)$. By the previous part 0 generates $F|_U$. So $F|_U = 0$.
- If $\dim F(x) = d$ at x , then we can find $(s_1)_x, \dots, (s_d)_x$ that generate $F(x)$. So s_1, \dots, s_d generates $F|_U$ for some $U \ni x$. Then $\dim F(y) \leq d$ for $y \in U$, since $(s_1)_y, \dots, (s_d)_y$ generate $F(y)$. This shows that $\{\dim < d\}$ is open.

ii) If F is a vector bundle, then locally $F|_U$ is free and hence is flat. Since flatness is a local property, F is flat.

Conversely, suppose that F is flat. Since F is locally finitely presented, locally we have

$$\mathcal{O}_U^{\oplus m} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_U^{\oplus n} \rightarrow F|_U \rightarrow 0$$

Consider the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Ker } \varphi \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_U^{\oplus n} \rightarrow F|_U \rightarrow 0$$

Strategy: Shrink U to make $N := \text{Ker } \varphi = 0$. Suffices to show $N(x) = 0$.

Since F is a flat \mathcal{O}_X -module, the stalk F_x is a flat $\mathcal{O}_{X,x}$ -module. First we localise the above exact sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow N_x \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X,x}^{\oplus n} \rightarrow F_x \rightarrow 0$$

We use the algebra fact. Since $\mathcal{O}_{X,x}^{\oplus n}$ and F_x are flat, $N_x/\mathfrak{m}_x N_x \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X,x}^{\oplus n}/\mathfrak{m}_x \mathcal{O}_{X,x}^{\oplus n} \cong \kappa(x)^{\oplus n}$ is injective. Note that $N_x/\mathfrak{m}_x N_x \cong N_x \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{X,x}} \mathcal{O}_{X,x}/\mathfrak{m}_x$. We tensor $\mathcal{O}_{X,x}/\mathfrak{m}_x$ to the short exact above. We have that

$$0 \rightarrow N_x/\mathfrak{m}_x N_x \rightarrow \kappa(x)^{\oplus n} \rightarrow F_x/\mathfrak{m}_x F_x \rightarrow 0$$

is right exact. It is in fact exact because the first nonzero map is injective.

If $n = \dim F_x/\mathfrak{m}_x F_x = \dim F(x)$, then the second nonzero map is an isomorphism and we are done. If $n > \dim F(x)$, let $(s_1)_x, \dots, (s_m)_x$ generate $F(x)$ ($m < n$). By (i) we have $\mathcal{O}_U^{\oplus m} \twoheadrightarrow F|_U \rightarrow 0$. Then we take N to be the kernel of the above map and follow the same argument.

Note: We need the fact: consider $\ker f \rightarrow R^{\oplus m} \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$ and $\ker g \rightarrow R^{\oplus m} \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$. If $\ker f$ is finitely generated, then so is $\ker g$. \square

Question 3

Motivation: $\mathbf{Vect}(\mathrm{Spec} R) \longleftrightarrow \widetilde{M}$ for finitely generated projective R -module M

Let $X = \mathrm{Spec} R$. M is an R -module. Consider the following conditions.

- (0) $\widetilde{M} \in \mathbf{Vect}(X)$. i.e. locally free of finite rank. i.e. there is a cover $X = \bigcup D_{f_i}$ with M_{f_i} finitely generated free R_{f_i} -module.
- (1) M is finitely presented and flat.
- (2) M is finitely presented and $M_{\mathfrak{m}}$ is a free $R_{\mathfrak{m}}$ -module for all maximal ideals \mathfrak{m} (can also use all prime ideals).
- (3) M is the direct summand of a finite rank free module.
- (4) M is finitely generated and projective.

i) Prove that (0) \iff (1).

[*Hint.* For \Leftarrow use 2.(ii). For \Rightarrow compare the proof in Sec 3.1 of notes, use tricks from Sec 3.0, and use fact that in the short exact sequence $0 \rightarrow K \rightarrow M_1 \rightarrow M_2 \rightarrow 0$, M_1 is finitely generated and M_2 is finitely presented implies that K is finitely generated.]

ii) Prove that (0) & (1) \iff (2), and (4) \iff (3) \implies (1).

iii) Finally prove that (0) \implies (4).

[*Hint.* use Sec 3.0 of notes and the fact about localisation: for M finitely presented, $S^{-1}\mathrm{Hom}_R(M, N) = \mathrm{Hom}_{S^{-1}R}(S^{-1}M, S^{-1}N)$.]

Proof. i) “(1) \implies (0)”: Suppose that M is finitely presented and flat. We need to prove that \widetilde{M} is locally finitely presented and flat as a \mathcal{O}_X -module. Since flatness is a local property, we have

$$\begin{aligned} M \text{ is a flat } R\text{-module} &\iff \forall \mathfrak{p} \in \mathrm{Spec} R : M_{\mathfrak{p}} \text{ is a flat } R_{\mathfrak{p}}\text{-module} && (\text{AEM Prop. 3.10}) \\ &\iff \forall \mathfrak{p} \in X : \widetilde{M}_{\mathfrak{p}} \text{ is a flat } \mathcal{O}_{X,\mathfrak{p}}\text{-module} \\ &\iff \widetilde{M} \text{ is a flat } \mathcal{O}_X\text{-module} \end{aligned}$$

Since M is finitely presented, we have an exact sequence

$$R^{\oplus m} \longrightarrow R^{\oplus n} \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow 0$$

$R^{\oplus m}, R^{\oplus n}, M$ are global sections of \mathcal{O}_X -modules $\mathcal{O}_X^{\oplus m}, \mathcal{O}_X^{\oplus n}, \widetilde{M}$. So \widetilde{M} is locally finitely presented. Now by Question 2.(ii), we have $\widetilde{M} \in \mathbf{Vect}(X)$.

“(0) \implies (1)”: Suppose that $\widetilde{M} \in \text{Vect}(X)$. There is a finite cover of X by basic open sets D_{f_i} with $M_{f_i} \cong (R_{f_i})^{\oplus n_i}$ for some n_i .

We can take the generators e_1, \dots, e_{n_i} of M_{f_i} . Let $e_j = \varphi_i(h_j)/f_j^{m_j}$, where $h_j \in M$ and $\varphi_i : M \rightarrow M_{f_i}$ is the localisation map. Take $A_i := \{h_1, \dots, h_{n_i}\}$, and $A = \bigcup_i A_i$. Therefore we have a R -module homomorphism $\alpha : R^{\oplus A} \rightarrow M$. Since $X = \bigcup_i D_{f_i}$, for any $\mathfrak{p} \in X$, the induced morphism on the stalk $\alpha_{\mathfrak{p}} : R_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\oplus A} \rightarrow M_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is surjective. Hence α is surjective. M is finitely generated. We have a short exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow K \longrightarrow R^{\oplus A} \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow 0$$

Now we take the localisation to f_i again. Since localisation is exact, we have the short exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow K_{f_i} \longrightarrow R_{f_i}^{\oplus A} \longrightarrow M_{f_i} \longrightarrow 0$$

By the hint, since M_{f_i} is finitely presented and $R_{f_i}^{\oplus A}$ is finitely generated, then K_{f_i} is finitely generated. Similar to the proof above, we have that K is finitely generated. Hence M is finitely presented.

In particular, \widetilde{M} is locally finitely presented. We use Question 2.(ii) again to deduce that \widetilde{M} is a flat \mathcal{O}_X -module. Hence M is a flat R -module.

ii) “(0)(1) \implies (2)”: Since \widetilde{M} is locally free of finite rank, it is free of finite rank at the stalk level. This means that $\widetilde{M}_{\mathfrak{p}} = M_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is a free $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ -module for all $\mathfrak{p} \in X$.

“(2) \implies (0)”: First we prove that $M_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is a free $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ -module for all $\mathfrak{p} \in X$. For any $\mathfrak{p} \in X$, let \mathfrak{m} be a maximal ideal containing \mathfrak{p} . Suppose that $M_{\mathfrak{m}} \cong R_{\mathfrak{m}}^{\oplus I}$. Then $M_{\mathfrak{p}} \cong (M_{\mathfrak{m}})_{\mathfrak{p}} \cong (R_{\mathfrak{m}}^{\oplus I})_{\mathfrak{p}} \cong R_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\oplus I}$. Hence $M_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is free.

Next, consider $\mathfrak{p} \in X$ and isomorphism $\alpha : R_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\oplus I} \rightarrow M_{\mathfrak{p}}$. Since M is finitely presented, so is $M_{\mathfrak{p}}$. In particular we may take I to be a finite set. Since \widetilde{M} is of finite type, by the (first) lemma in Section 6.2 of the notes, there exists an open $U \ni \mathfrak{p}$ and a morphism of \mathcal{O}_U -modules $\varphi : \mathcal{O}_U^{\oplus I} \rightarrow \widetilde{M}|_U$ such that $\varphi_{\mathfrak{p}} = \alpha$. Using the same method in the proof of “(0) \implies (1)”, we can prove that $\text{Ker } \varphi(V)$ is finitely generated for any open $V \subseteq U$. Hence $\text{Ker } \varphi$ is of finite type. By the second lemma in Section 6.2 of the notes, we deduce that φ is an isomorphism. Hence $\mathcal{O}_U^{\oplus I} \cong \widetilde{M}|_U$. In other words, $\widetilde{M} \in \text{Vect}(X)$.

“(3) \implies (4)”: Suppose that M is direct summand of a finite rank free module. That is, $R^{\oplus n} = M \oplus N$. Let $g : A \rightarrow B$ be any epimorphism and $f : M \rightarrow B$ be any R -module homomorphism. Then we have the commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & A & & \\ & \nearrow h & \uparrow & \searrow g & \\ R^n & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & M & \xrightarrow{f} & B \\ & \nwarrow \beta & & & \end{array}$$

$\alpha : M \oplus N \rightarrow M$ and $\beta : M \rightarrow M \oplus N$ are the projection and inclusion maps. Since R^n is free, it is projective, and hence there exist a lift h of $f \circ \alpha$. Then $h \circ \beta$ is a lift of f . Hence M is projective.

“(4) \implies (3)”: Suppose that M is finitely generated and projective. Then we have a short exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow K \longrightarrow R^{\oplus n} \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow 0$$

Since M is projective, the sequence splits. We have $R^{\oplus n} \cong M \oplus K$. Hence M is a direct summand of a finite rank free module.

“(3)(4) \implies (1)”: Suppose that M is finitely generated and projective. Then $\text{Tor}_n^R(A, M) = 0$ for any R -module A and $n \geq 1$. In particular, let $0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C$ be a short exact sequence. We look at the induced long exact sequence of Tor . Since $\text{Tor}_1^R(C, M) = 0$, we have an exact sequence

$$0 = \mathrm{Tor}_1^R(C, M) \longrightarrow A \otimes_R M \longrightarrow B \otimes_R M \longrightarrow C \otimes_R M \longrightarrow 0$$

Hence $- \otimes_R M$ is exact. M is flat. Since M is finitely generated, the short exact sequence $0 \rightarrow K \rightarrow R^{\oplus n} \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$ gives a finite presentation for M .

iii) “(0) \implies (4)”: In the proof of “(0) \implies (1)” we have shown that M is finitely generated. To prove that M is exact, we need to prove that $\mathrm{Hom}_R(M, -)$ is exact. Let $0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C \rightarrow 0$ be a short exact sequence. Consider the sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_R(M, A) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_R(M, B) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_R(M, C) \longrightarrow 0$$

Since M is finitely presented, by the hint, we look at the localisation at f_i :

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Hom}_R(M, A)_{f_i} & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Hom}_R(M, B)_{f_i} & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Hom}_R(M, C)_{f_i} \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \cong \downarrow & & \cong \downarrow & & \cong \downarrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Hom}_{R_{f_i}}(M_{f_i}, A_{f_i}) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Hom}_{R_{f_i}}(M_{f_i}, B_{f_i}) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Hom}_{R_{f_i}}(M_{f_i}, C_{f_i}) \longrightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

We know that M_{f_i} is free as an R_{f_i} -module. So $\mathrm{Hom}_{R_{f_i}}(M_{f_i}, -)$ is exact. The above sequence is exact. Now as $\{D_{f_i}\}$ covers X , by the local algebra theorem, we deduce that the sequence before localisation is exact. Hence M is projective. \square

Question 4

i) Let $X = \mathrm{Spec} R$. M is an R -module.

- Show that $\mathcal{L} = \widetilde{M}$ is a line bundle $\iff \forall \mathfrak{p} \in X \exists f \in R \setminus \mathfrak{p} : M_f \cong R_f$.
- Show that $\mathcal{L} = \widetilde{M}$ is a line bundle $\iff M$ is a finitely generated projective R -module with $\dim_{\kappa(\mathfrak{p})} M \otimes \kappa(\mathfrak{p}) = 1$ for all $\mathfrak{p} \in X$.

Deduce that every line bundle on $\mathbb{A}_k^1 = \mathrm{Spec} k[t]$ is trivial.

[*Hint. Structure theorem for finitely generated modules over PID.*]

ii) Let $F \in \mathbf{Vect}(X)$. Describe the transition function of the **dual** $F^\vee := \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_X}(F, \mathcal{O}_X)$.

Deduce that for a line bundle \mathcal{L} , the transition function of \mathcal{L}^\vee is the inverse of that of \mathcal{L} and

$$\mathcal{L} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{L}^\vee = \mathcal{L} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_X}(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{O}_X) \cong \mathcal{O}_X$$

via the natural evaluation map.

iii) Let M, N be R -modules. Suppose that $\varphi : M \otimes_R N \xrightarrow{\cong} R$. Pick $m_i \in M, n_i \in N$ with $\varphi\left(\sum_{i=1}^d m_i \otimes n_i\right) = 1$. Check that $M \rightarrow M, m \mapsto \sum \varphi(m \otimes n_i) m_i$ is an isomorphism which factorises as $M \rightarrow R^d \rightarrow M$ and deduce that M is a summand of R^d .

iv) Show that $\mathcal{L} \in \mathcal{O}_X\text{-Mod}$ is a line bundle \iff there exists $F \in \mathbf{QCoh}(X)$ such that $F \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{L} \cong \mathcal{O}_X$ (definition of \mathcal{L} being **invertible sheaf**). (In fact, enough to require $F \in \mathcal{O}_X\text{-Mod}$, but tricky)

[*Hint. combine (iii) with 3.(3).*]

Proof. i) • By definition (and using Section 7.6),

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{M} \text{ is a line bundle} &\iff \forall \mathfrak{p} \in X \exists U \in \mathbf{Top}(X) (\mathfrak{p} \in U \wedge \widetilde{M}|_U \cong \mathcal{O}_U) \\ &\iff \forall \mathfrak{p} \in X \exists f \in R \setminus \mathfrak{p} (\widetilde{M}|_{D_f} \cong \mathcal{O}_{D_f}) \\ &\iff \forall \mathfrak{p} \in X \exists f \in R \setminus \mathfrak{p} (M_f \cong R_f) \end{aligned}$$

- Note that for $\mathfrak{p} \in X$,

$$M \otimes_R \kappa(\mathfrak{p}) = M \otimes_R (R_{\mathfrak{p}}/\mathfrak{p}R_{\mathfrak{p}}) \cong M \otimes_R R_{\mathfrak{p}} \otimes_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}} (R_{\mathfrak{p}}/\mathfrak{p}R_{\mathfrak{p}}) \cong M_{\mathfrak{p}} \otimes_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}} (R_{\mathfrak{p}}/\mathfrak{p}R_{\mathfrak{p}}) \cong M_{\mathfrak{p}}/\mathfrak{p}M_{\mathfrak{p}}$$

Therefore,

$$\dim_{\kappa(\mathfrak{p})}(M \otimes_R \kappa(\mathfrak{p})) = 1 \iff M_{\mathfrak{p}}/\mathfrak{p}M_{\mathfrak{p}} \cong \kappa(\mathfrak{p}) = R_{\mathfrak{p}}/\mathfrak{p}R_{\mathfrak{p}} \text{ as } \kappa(\mathfrak{p})\text{-modules}$$

If \widetilde{M} is a line bundle, then using $M_f \cong R_f$ and taking direct limits, we have $M_{\mathfrak{p}} \cong R_{\mathfrak{p}}$. Hence $M_{\mathfrak{p}}/\mathfrak{p}M_{\mathfrak{p}} \cong \kappa(\mathfrak{p})$. Conversely, suppose that M is finitely generated and projective, then by Question 3, \widetilde{M} is a vector bundle over X . And we have $M_{\mathfrak{p}} \cong (R_{\mathfrak{p}})^{\oplus n}$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $M_{\mathfrak{p}}/\mathfrak{p}M_{\mathfrak{p}} \cong \kappa(\mathfrak{p})^{\oplus n}$. Then $\dim_{\kappa(\mathfrak{p})}(M \otimes_R \kappa(\mathfrak{p})) = 1$ implies that $n = 1$. Hence $M_{\mathfrak{p}} \cong R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ for all $\mathfrak{p} \in X$. This shows that \widetilde{M} is a line bundle.

Suppose that \mathcal{L} is a line bundle on \mathbb{A}_k^1 . Then $\mathcal{L} \in \text{QCoh}(\mathbb{A}_k^1)$ and by Section 7.6 of the notes, we have $\mathcal{L} = \widetilde{M}$ for some $k[t]$ -module M . By the above result, M is finitely generated and projective. Since $k[t]$ is a PID, and M is torsion-free (since it is projective), by the structure theorem $M \cong (k[t])^{\oplus n}$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $\mathfrak{p} = \langle t \rangle$. Then $\kappa(\langle t \rangle) = k$. We have $\dim_k(M \otimes_{k[t]} k) = \dim_k k^n = n$. Since \mathcal{L} is a line bundle, we deduce that $n = 1$. So $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{A}_k^1}$ is a trivial line bundle.

- ii) Without loss of generality we assume that X is connected. Let $\{U_1, \dots, U_n\}$ be an open cover of X . For each U_i we can pick a local trivialisation of \mathcal{L} as $\sigma_i : \mathcal{L}|_{U_i} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{U_i}^{\oplus n}$. If $U_{ij} := U_i \cap U_j \neq \emptyset$, the transition function $\alpha_{ij} : \mathcal{O}_{U_{ij}}^{\oplus n} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{U_{ij}}^{\oplus n}$ is the morphism such that the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \mathcal{L}|_{U_{ij}} & \\ \sigma_i \swarrow & & \searrow \sigma_j \\ \mathcal{O}_{U_{ij}}^{\oplus n} & \xrightarrow{\alpha_{ij}} & \mathcal{O}_{U_{ij}}^{\oplus n} \end{array}$$

Let β_{ij} be the corresponding transition function of \mathcal{L}^{\vee} . We claim that $\beta_{ij} = (\alpha_{ij}^{-1})^{\top} = \alpha_{ji}^{\top}$ as matrices over $\mathcal{O}_X(U_{ij})$. (To be proven...)

Suppose that \mathcal{L} is a line bundle. Let $\text{ev} : \mathcal{L} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{L}^{\vee} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X$ be the natural evaluation map. Let $\{U_1, \dots, U_n\}$ be an open cover of X such that $\mathcal{L}|_{U_i} \cong \mathcal{O}_{U_i}$. It is trivial that the restriction $\text{ev}|_{U_i}$ is an isomorphism. Then ev is given by gluing $\text{ev}|_{U_i}$.

- iii) Following the question, $\varphi : M \otimes_R N \rightarrow R$ is an isomorphism and $\varphi(\sum_i m_i \otimes n_i) = 1$. Let $\alpha : M \rightarrow M$ be the R -module homomorphism given by $m \mapsto \sum_i \varphi(m \otimes n_i) m_i$. In fact, α is the composition of the isomorphisms:

$$\begin{aligned} M &\rightarrow M \otimes_R R \xrightarrow{\text{id} \otimes \varphi^{-1}} M \otimes_R (M \otimes_R N) \rightarrow (M \otimes_R N) \otimes_R M \xrightarrow{\varphi \otimes \text{id}} R \otimes_R M \longrightarrow M \\ m &\longmapsto m \otimes 1 \longmapsto \sum_i m \otimes (m_i \otimes n_i) \longmapsto \sum_i (m \otimes n_i) \otimes m_i \longmapsto \sum_i \varphi(m \otimes n_i) \otimes m_i \longmapsto \sum_i \varphi(m \otimes n_i) m_i \end{aligned}$$

Note that $\alpha : M \rightarrow M$ factorises as

$$\begin{aligned} M &\longrightarrow R^d \longrightarrow M \\ m &\longmapsto (\varphi(m \otimes n_1), \dots, \varphi(m \otimes n_d)) \longmapsto \sum_{i=1}^d \varphi(m \otimes n_i) m_i \\ &\quad (r_1, \dots, r_n) \longmapsto \sum_{i=1}^d r_i m_i \end{aligned}$$

The map $R^d \rightarrow M$ extends to a short exact sequence $0 \rightarrow K \rightarrow R^d \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$. Since $R^d \rightarrow M$ admits a section, the sequence splits. Hence M is a direct summand of R^d .

- iv) Suppose that \mathcal{L} is a line bundle. By (ii) we have that $\mathcal{L} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{L}^\vee \cong \mathcal{O}_X$, where $\mathcal{L}^\vee \in \mathbf{QCoh}(X)$ because it is also a line bundle.

Conversely, suppose that F is a \mathcal{O}_X -module such that $F \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{L} \cong \mathcal{O}_X$. We have $F = \widetilde{M}$ for some R -module M . For $\mathfrak{p} \in X$, we have a $\mathcal{O}_{X,\mathfrak{p}}$ -module isomorphism $\varphi_{\mathfrak{p}} : \mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{p}} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{X,\mathfrak{p}}} F_{\mathfrak{p}} \cong \mathcal{O}_{X,\mathfrak{p}}$. Let $m_i \in \mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ and $n_i \in F_{\mathfrak{p}}$ such that $\varphi_{\mathfrak{p}}(\sum_{i=1}^d m_i \otimes n_i) = 1 \in \mathcal{O}_{X,\mathfrak{p}}$. We choose representatives $(m_i, U_i), (n_i, V_i)$ for m_i, n_i . Let $U = \bigcap_{i=1}^d (U_i \cap V_i)$. Then $\varphi_{\mathfrak{p}}$ lifts to a \mathcal{O}_U -module isomorphism $\varphi_U : \mathcal{L}|_U \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_U} F|_U \cong \mathcal{O}_U$. By the same method in (iii), we can show that $\mathcal{L}|_U$ is a direct summand of $\mathcal{O}_U^{\oplus d}$. Hence \mathcal{L} is a vector bundle. In particular, \mathcal{L} is quasi-coherent and $\mathcal{L} = \widetilde{M}$ for some R -module M . Similarly, F is also quasi-coherent and $F = \widetilde{N}$ for some R -module N . In particular we have $M \otimes_R N \cong R$ as R -modules.

Finally, to show that \mathcal{L} is a line bundle, by (i) it suffices to show that $\dim_{\kappa(\mathfrak{p})}(M \otimes_R \kappa(\mathfrak{p})) = 1$. Note that

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &= \dim_{\kappa(\mathfrak{p})} \kappa(\mathfrak{p}) = \dim_{\kappa(\mathfrak{p})}(R \otimes_R \kappa(\mathfrak{p})) = \dim_{\kappa(\mathfrak{p})}(M \otimes_R N \otimes_R \kappa(\mathfrak{p})) \\ &= \dim_{\kappa(\mathfrak{p})}((M \otimes_R \kappa(\mathfrak{p})) \otimes_{\kappa(\mathfrak{p})} (N \otimes_R \kappa(\mathfrak{p}))) \\ &= \dim_{\kappa(\mathfrak{p})}(M \otimes_R \kappa(\mathfrak{p})) \cdot \dim_{\kappa(\mathfrak{p})}(N \otimes_R \kappa(\mathfrak{p})) \end{aligned}$$

Hence $\dim_{\kappa(\mathfrak{p})}(M \otimes_R \kappa(\mathfrak{p})) = 1$. This finishes the proof. \square

Question 5

Fact. every line bundle on \mathbb{A}_k^n is trivial.

- i) Calculate $\text{Pic}(\mathbb{P}^n) = \{\text{isomorphism classes of line bundles on } \mathbb{P}^n\}$ with group operation $- \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}} -$. Indeed show it is $\cong \mathbb{Z}$, generated by $\mathcal{O}(1)$.
- ii) Compute $\Gamma(\mathbb{P}^n, \mathcal{O}(d))$ for $d \in \mathbb{Z}$. ($\mathcal{O}(d) = \mathcal{O}(1)^{\otimes d}$)
- iii) Let p be the point $(x) \in \text{Spec } k[x] = A_0$ in $\mathbb{P}_k^1 = A_0 \cup A_1$. Show that $\mathcal{O}(-1) \cong$ ideal sheaf of $\{p\}$.
Let Z be the closed subscheme $\text{Spec}(k[x]/x^d) \subseteq A_0 \subseteq \mathbb{P}_k^1$. Show that $\mathcal{O}(-d) \cong$ ideal sheaf of Z .
What is the ideal sheaf of d closed points $\{p_1, \dots, p_d\} \subseteq \mathbb{P}^1$?
- iv) Show that if two graded R -mods M, N over graded ring R satisfy $M_n \cong N_n$ (in graded sense) for $n \geq d$, then $\widetilde{M} = \widetilde{N}$. (See Sec 10 notes)

Proof. i) Let U_0, \dots, U_n be the affine open sets of \mathbb{P}_k^n . From the fact we know that $\varphi_i : \mathcal{L}|_{U_i} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{U_i}$ is an isomorphism. The transition function $\alpha_{ij} : \mathcal{O}_{U_{ij}} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{U_{ij}}$ is a unit in the ring R_{ij} .

We know that $\text{Pic } \mathbb{P}_k^n \cong \check{H}^1(\mathbb{P}_k^1, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_k^1}^\times)$. (α_{ij}) are identified if they differ by a factor from k .

Line bundle $\mathcal{O}(m)$ defined by $(\alpha_{ij}) = \left(\frac{x_i}{x_j}\right)^m$. $\mathcal{O}(m) = \mathcal{O}(1)^{\otimes m}$, where tensoring comes from multiplying the transition functions.

$\text{Pic } \mathbb{P}_k^n \cong \mathbb{Z}$.

- ii) For $d < 0$, $\Gamma(\mathbb{P}_k^n, \mathcal{O}(d)) = 0$; for $d > 0$, $\Gamma(\mathbb{P}_k^n, \mathcal{O}(d)) \cong k[x_0, \dots, x_n]_d$ is the d -th grading.

iii)

\square

Question 6

- i) Let \mathcal{C} be an Abelian category. Show that if every object $M \in \mathcal{C}$ has a injective morphism $M \rightarrow I$ into an injective object, then every object M admits an injective resolution. (We say \mathcal{C} has “enough injectives”.)

Fact. \mathbf{Ab} has enough injectives.

- ii) Let $F \in \mathbf{Ab}(X)$. Pick $I_x \in \mathbf{Ab}$ such that $F_x \rightarrow I_x$ is an injective morphism and I_x is an injective object in \mathbf{Ab} . Show that $I := \prod_{x \in X} (\varphi_x)_* I_x \in \mathbf{Ab}(X)$ (inclusion map $\varphi_x : \{x\} \hookrightarrow X$ of a point) is an injective object admitting an injective morphism $F \rightarrow I$. (Hence $\mathbf{Ab}(X)$ has enough injectives.)

Proof. i) We construct an injective resolution for M inductively. Firstly, since \mathcal{C} has enough injectives, we have a monomorphism $\epsilon : M \rightarrow I^0$ where I^0 is some injective object. Now suppose that we have constructed

$$M \xhookrightarrow{\epsilon} I^0 \xrightarrow{d^0} \dots \longrightarrow I^{n-1} \xrightarrow{d^{n-1}} I^n$$

Let $\text{coker } d^{n-1} : I^n \rightarrow C^n$ be the cokernel of d^{n-1} . Since \mathcal{C} has enough injectives, we have a monomorphism $\phi^n : C^n \rightarrow I^{n+1}$. Let $d^n := \phi^n \circ \text{coker } d^{n-1} : I^n \rightarrow I^{n+1}$. Then $\ker d^n = \ker \text{coker } d^{n-1} = \text{im } d^{n-1}$. Hence the sequence is exact at I^n . By induction, we have constructed a sequence which is exact at each injective object I^n :

$$M \xhookrightarrow{\epsilon} I^0 \xrightarrow{d^0} I^1 \xrightarrow{d^1} I^2 \xrightarrow{d^2} I^3 \longrightarrow \dots$$

This is an injective resolution for M .

- ii) We use the following criterion for an object being injective:

I is an injective object if and only if $\text{Hom}(-, I)$ is an exact functor.

We define a morphism $\varphi : F \rightarrow I$ by the following. For an open set $U \subseteq X$, let $\varphi_U : F(U) \rightarrow I(U) \cong \prod_{x \in U} (\varphi_x)_* I_x$, $s \mapsto \prod_{x \in U} \iota_x(s_x)$, where $\iota_x : F_x \rightarrow I_x$ is the given monomorphism. Note that φ is an monomorphism if and only if φ_U is injective for all open $U \subseteq X$. Let $s, s' \in F(U)$ such that $\varphi_U(s) = \varphi_U(s')$. By definition we have $\prod_{x \in U} \iota_x(s_x) = \prod_{x \in U} \iota_x(s'_x)$. Then $\iota_x(s_x - s'_x) = 0$ for all $x \in U$. Since ι_x is injective, $s_x = s'_x$ for all $x \in U$. Hence (Question 2 of Sheet 1) $s = s' \in F(U)$. So φ_U is injective. We deduce that $\varphi : F \rightarrow I$ is an monomorphism.

Then we shall show that $\text{Hom}(-, I)$ is exact. Let $0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C \rightarrow 0$ be a short exact sequence in $\mathbf{Ab}(X)$. Take $x \in X$. Then $0 \rightarrow A_x \rightarrow B_x \rightarrow C_x \rightarrow 0$ is exact by Question 1. Since I_x is injective, $\text{Hom}_{\mathbf{Ab}}(-, I_x)$ is exact. So we have a short exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{Ab}}(C_x, I_x) \longrightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{Ab}}(B_x, I_x) \longrightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{Ab}}(A_x, I_x) \longrightarrow 0$$

The functor $\prod_{x \in X}$ is exact by Question 4 of Sheet 3 of *C2.2 Homological Algebra*. So we have a short exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \prod_{x \in X} \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{Ab}}(C_x, I_x) \longrightarrow \prod_{x \in X} \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{Ab}}(B_x, I_x) \longrightarrow \prod_{x \in X} \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{Ab}}(A_x, I_x) \longrightarrow 0$$

Finally, note that

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathbf{Ab}(X)}(A, I) = \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{Ab}(X)}\left(A, \prod_{x \in X} (\varphi_x)_* I_x\right)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\cong \prod_{x \in X} \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{Ab}(X)}(A, (\varphi_x)_* I_x) \\
&\cong \prod_{x \in X} \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{Ab}}(\varphi_x^{-1} A, I_x) \\
&\cong \prod_{x \in X} \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{Ab}}(A_x, I_x)
\end{aligned}$$

So we have an short exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{Ab}(X)}(C, I) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{Ab}(X)}(B, I) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{Ab}(X)}(A, I) \longrightarrow 0$$

We deduce that $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{Ab}(X)}(-, I)$ is exact. So I is an injective object. In conclusion, $\mathbf{Ab}(X)$ has enough injectives. \square