

Peize Liu
St. Peter's College
University of Oxford

Problem Sheet 3
C2.6: Introduction to Schemes

28 February, 2022

Question 1. Mostly topology, but useful

i) (Warm-up lemma) Let X be a topological space. Check that \forall topological subspace $Y \subseteq X$:

- Y is irreducible $\implies Y$ is connected
- Y is irreducible $\implies \bar{Y}$ is irreducible
- Y is an irreducible component $\implies Y$ is closed and connected

[Recall that **irreducible component** means irreducible and maximal with respect to inclusion.]

ii) Suppose X has finitely many irreducible components X_i .

We say that " X_k can be reached from X_l " if $X_k \cap X_{i_1} \neq \emptyset, X_{i_1} \cap X_{i_2} \neq \emptyset, \dots, X_{i_n} \cap X_l \neq \emptyset$ for some X_{i_r} .

Prove that X is connected \iff any irreducible component can be reached from any other.

iii) A topological space is **Noetherian** if it satisfies the descending chain condition for closed sets: $C_1 \supseteq C_2 \supseteq C_3 \supseteq \dots \implies C_N = C_{N+1} = \dots$ for some N .

Prove that a Noetherian topological space has finitely many irreducible components, each containing an open dense set $\neq \emptyset$.

iv) Prove that R is a Noetherian ring $\implies \text{Spec } R$ is a Noetherian topological space. (so for a Noetherian scheme every affine open is Noetherian topological space)

Check that the converse fails for $k[x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots] / (x_1, x_2^2, x_3^3, \dots)$.

v) Prove that X is a Noetherian topological space \iff every topological subspace of X is quasi-compact (so for a Noetherian scheme X all subspaces are quasi-compact, not just X .)

vi) Prove that X is a Noetherian scheme $\implies X$ is a Noetherian topological space.

Proof. i) • Suppose that Y is connected. Then $Y = Y_1 \sqcup Y_2$ for non-empty Y_1 and Y_2 clopen in Y . Then by definition Y is reducible. ✓

• Suppose that \bar{Y} is reducible. Then $\bar{Y} = Y_1 \cup Y_2$ for non-empty Y_1, Y_2 closed in \bar{Y} . Then $Y = (Y \cap Y_1) \cup (Y \cap Y_2)$. By the definition of subspace topology, both $Y \cap Y_1$ and $Y \cap Y_2$ are closed in Y . Moreover they are non-empty. Suppose that $Y \cap Y_1 = \emptyset$. Then $Y \subseteq Y_2$ and hence $\bar{Y} \subseteq Y_2 \subsetneq \bar{Y}$. This is a contradiction. We deduce that Y is reducible. ✓

• If Y is irreducible, by the previous result \bar{Y} is irreducible. In particular if Y is an irreducible component then it is closed. We have shown that it is connected. ✓

ii) If X is disconnected, then it is clear that an irreducible component cannot reach another irreducible component in another connected component. ✓

Conversely, suppose that X_1 and X_2 are two irreducible components of X that cannot be reached from each other. Let

$$U_i := \bigcup \{X : X \text{ irreducible component that can be reached from } X_i\}$$


We claim that $U_1 \cup U_2$ is not connected. ✓ This is clear, as U_i is non-empty and closed in X , and $U_1 \cap U_2 = \emptyset$. Hence X is disconnected.


iii) Suppose that X is a Noetherian topological space. If $\{X_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ is an infinitely set of irreducible components of X , then

$$Y_1 \supsetneq Y_2 \supsetneq Y_3 \supsetneq \dots$$

// ||

$X_0 \cup X_1 \quad X_0 \cup X_1 \cup X_2 \quad \text{human}$

is a strictly descending chain of closed subspaces of X , where $Y_k = \bigcup_{i=0}^k X_i$. It follows that X has finitely many irreducible components. 


Let X_1, \dots, X_N be the irreducible components of X . Let $Z_1 := X \setminus (\bigcup_{n=2}^N X_n) \subseteq X_1$. Then Z_1 is open and non-empty. If Z_1 is not dense in X_1 , then $X_1 = \overline{Z_1} \cup (X_1 \setminus \overline{Z_1})$ is reducible, which is contradictory. Hence X_1 contains a non-empty open dense subset. It is similar for X_2, \dots, X_N . 

- iv) Suppose that $\text{Spec } R$ is not a Noetherian topological space. Then there is a strictly descending chain of closed subsets:

$$\mathbb{V}(\mathfrak{p}_1) \supsetneq \mathbb{V}(\mathfrak{p}_2) \supsetneq \mathbb{V}(\mathfrak{p}_3) \supsetneq \dots$$


Then we have an ascending chain of ideals of R :

$$\mathfrak{p}_1 \subsetneq \mathfrak{p}_2 \subsetneq \mathfrak{p}_3 \subsetneq \dots$$

Hence R is not Noetherian. 

Let $R := k[x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots] / \langle x_1, x_2^2, x_3^3, \dots \rangle$. We note that R is not Noetherian, because it has a strictly ascending chain of ideals

$$\langle x_2 \rangle \subsetneq \langle x_2, x_3 \rangle \subsetneq \langle x_2, x_3, x_4 \rangle \subsetneq \dots$$

However, we shall prove that $\text{Spec } R$ is a Noetherian topological space. Suppose that $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec } R$. Note that x_n is nilpotent for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $x_n \in \text{Nil}(R) \subseteq \mathfrak{p}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Hence $\langle x_2, x_3, \dots \rangle \subseteq \mathfrak{p}$. But $\langle x_2, x_3, \dots \rangle$ is maximal. We deduce that $\mathfrak{p} = \langle x_2, x_3, \dots \rangle$ and $\text{Spec } R = \{ \langle x_2, x_3, \dots \rangle \}$. Since $\text{Spec } R$ is a finite set, trivially it is a Noetherian topological space. 

- v) Suppose that X is not a Noetherian topological space. There is a strictly descending chain of closed subsets:

$$Y_1 \supsetneq Y_2 \supsetneq Y_3 \supsetneq \dots$$

which corresponds to a strictly ascending chain of open subsets:

$$Y_1^c \subsetneq Y_2^c \subsetneq Y_3^c \subsetneq \dots$$

Let $Y := \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} Y_n^c$. Then $\{Y_n^c\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is an open cover of Y with no finite subcover. Hence Y is not compact. 


Conversely, suppose that X has a subspace Y which is not compact. Let $\{Y_i\}_{i \in I}$ be an open cover of Y with no finite subcover. We construct a sequence $\{Y_{i_n}\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ inductively as follows. First pick arbitrary $i_0 \in I$. Given $\{Y_{i_0}, \dots, Y_{i_k}\}$, since this does not cover Y , we can find $i_{k+1} \in I$ such that $Y_{i_{k+1}} \not\subseteq \bigcup_{j=1}^k Y_{i_j}$. So we have a strictly ascending chain

$$Y_{i_1} \subsetneq Y_{i_2} \subsetneq Y_{i_3} \subsetneq \dots$$


Each Y_{i_n} is open in Y , so $Y_{i_n} = Y \cap X_n$ for some X_n open in X . Then

$$X_1 \subsetneq X_2 \subsetneq X_3 \subsetneq \dots$$

and hence

$$X_1^c \supsetneq X_2^c \supsetneq X_3^c \supsetneq \dots$$


This is a strictly descending chain of closed subsets. We deduce that X is not a Noetherian topological space.

- vi) Suppose that X is a Noetherian scheme. Let $\{U_1, \dots, U_m\}$ be an affine open cover of X . $U_i \cong \text{Spec } R_i$ for some Noetherian ring R_i . By (iv), U_i is a Noetherian topological space. Let $Y \subseteq X$ be a subscheme. Then $Y \cap U_i \subseteq U_i$. By (v), $Y \cap U_i$ is compact. Then $Y = \bigcup_{i=1}^m (Y \cap U_i)$ is compact. It follows that every subspace of X is compact. By (v), X is a Noetherian topological space. 

Question 2

i) Check that $\mathbb{A}_k^2 = \text{Spec } k[x, y]$ is a variety (k is an algebraically closed field)

[Recall that a **variety** is a scheme which is integral, separated, finite type over $\text{Spec } k$.]

ii) Show that the open subscheme $\mathbb{A}_k^2 \setminus \{0\}$ is a variety which is not affine.

[Hint. You may assume as known that being “finitely generated as a k -algebra” is affine-local: see notes Sec 3.2.]

iii) Show that a variety which is affine (being the spectrum of a ring) is an **affine variety**, i.e. isomorphic to an integral closed subscheme of \mathbb{A}_k^n for some n .

iv) Prove that (X, \mathcal{O}_X) is a variety $\implies X$ is a Noetherian scheme.

v) Glue two copies of $\mathbb{A}_k^1 = \text{Spec } k[x]$ along the basic open set $\mathbb{A}_k^1 \setminus \{0\} = D_x = \text{Spec } k[x, x^{-1}]$ by the isomorphism $\text{Spec } k[s, s^{-1}] \cong \text{Spec } k[t, t^{-1}]$ given by $s \mapsto t$. Show that the glued scheme is not separated. (compare notes Sec 5.3.)

[Hint: “equiliser”]

vi) Let (X, \mathcal{O}_X) be a variety, and $Z \subseteq X$ is an irreducible subspace.

[Remark. Irreducibility is not vital if we allow varieties to be reducible.]

In notes Sec 5.5 you find the definition of what it means for Z to be **locally closed** subscheme of X and how we construct a canonical induced reduced scheme structure \mathcal{O}_Z .

- Prove that Z is locally closed $\implies (Z, \mathcal{O}_Z)$ variety. [Hint. 2(iv), 1(vi), 1(v) may help.]
- If you define \mathcal{O}_Z as suggested in Sec 5.5 for $Z \subseteq X$ irreducible subspace, prove that (Z, \mathcal{O}_Z) variety $\implies Z \subseteq X$ is locally closed

Suggestion. First reduce to affine case $Z = \text{Spec } S, X = \text{Spec } R$ by picking $\text{Spec } R \subseteq X$ of type open \cap closed. Now we want to find an open set in Z such that the generating global sections over k come from sections on open $\subseteq X$. At the end, you may need to check $\text{Spec } S \cap \text{Spec } R_f = \text{Spec } S_f$ ($S_f = S \otimes_R R_f$ via $\varphi^\# : R \rightarrow S$)

Proof. i) Let us unwrap the definitions.

- (X, \mathcal{O}_X) is an integral scheme if $\mathcal{O}_X(U)$ is an integral domain for all open $U \subseteq X$. In Question 3 of Sheet 2, we have proven that $\text{Spec } R$ is an integral scheme if and only if R is an integral domain. Since $k[x, y]$ is an integral domain, \mathbb{A}_k^2 is an integral scheme. ✓
- X is separated over k , if the canonical morphism $f : X \rightarrow \text{Spec } k$ is separated, which means that the diagonal map $\Delta : X \rightarrow X \times_{\text{Spec } k} X$ is a closed immersion. A closed immersion $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is a morphism which is an isomorphism onto a closed subscheme $Z \subseteq Y$. A closed subscheme $Z \subseteq Y$ is a closed subset such that $j_* \mathcal{O}_Z \cong \mathcal{O}_Y / J$ for some quasi-coherent sheaf of ideals J on Y . A sheaf of ideals J is quasi-coherent if J is exhibited as the kernel of $\mathcal{O}_Y \rightarrow j_* \mathcal{O}_Z$, where $j : Z \rightarrow Y$ is the inclusion. (for some closed?)

In this case $X = \mathbb{A}_k^2$ is affine. So $\Delta : X \rightarrow X \times_{\text{Spec } k} X$ is induced by the k -algebra homomorphism $\varphi : k[x, y] \otimes_k k[x, y] \rightarrow k$ given by $f \otimes g \mapsto fg$. φ is surjective with

$$\ker \varphi = \langle f \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes f : f \in k[x, y] \rangle$$

Then $\Delta_{X/k} = \text{im Spec } \varphi = \mathbb{V}(\ker \varphi) \subseteq X \times_{\text{Spec } k} X$. As $\mathbb{V}(\ker \varphi)$ is a closed affine subset of the affine scheme $X \times_{\text{Spec } k} X$, it is canonically a closed subscheme, because the ideal sheaf $\mathcal{O}_{\ker \varphi}$ is quasi-coherent. Moreover, Δ is an isomorphism onto $\mathbb{V}(\ker \varphi)$. Hence $X = \mathbb{A}_k^2$ is separated

Just prove “affines are separated”

over k . The same method shows that the morphism $\text{Spec } \alpha : \text{Spec } R \rightarrow \text{Spec } S$ induced by the monomorphism $\alpha : S \rightarrow R$ is always separated. (previously (it's in the notes))

- X is of finite type over k , if the canonical morphism $f : X \rightarrow \text{Spec } k$ is of finite type, which means that the morphism is both quasi-compact and locally of finite type. $f : X \rightarrow \text{Spec } k$ is quasi-compact if the pre-images of all affine open sets are quasi-compact. $f : X \rightarrow \text{Spec } k$ is locally of finite type if for all affine open $U \subseteq X$ and $V \subseteq \text{Spec } k$ with $f(U) \subseteq V$, the ring homomorphism $f^\# : \mathcal{O}_{\text{Spec } k}(V) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(U)$ is of finite type. In the lectures we have seen that for f being locally of finite type, it suffices to take any affine open cover.

In the case $X = \mathbb{A}_k^2$, $f : \text{Spec } X \rightarrow \text{Spec } k$ is induced by the inclusion $\iota : k \hookrightarrow k[x, y]$. Note that $\text{Spec } k$ is a singleton as a set, and $k[x, y]$ is quasi-compact, so f is trivially quasi-compact. Both X and $\text{Spec } k$ are affine, and the map $\iota^\#$ on $\text{Spec } k$ is exactly ι . We know that $k[x, y]$ is a finitely generated k -algebra. So X is finite type over k .

In summary, \mathbb{A}_k^2 is an integral, separated, finite type scheme over k . This proves that \mathbb{A}_k^2 is a variety.

ii) We claim that an irreducible open subscheme Y of a variety X is also a variety.

- We have seen in the lectures that being a reduced ring is a stalk-local property. So an open subscheme of a reduced scheme is also reduced. Then an irreducible open subscheme of X is integral by Sheet 2.
- By a remark in the notes, an open subscheme of a separated scheme over k is also separated over k .
- Since X is of finite type over k , X is quasi-compact. Let $\{X_1, \dots, X_n\}$ be an affine open cover of X . Let $Y_i := Y \cap X_i$. So Y_i is an open subscheme of the affine scheme $X_i \cong \text{Spec } R_i$, where R_i is of finite type over k . Then Y_i has an open cover $\{D_{f_1}, \dots, D_{f_m}\}$ for some $f_1, \dots, f_m \in R_i$. Each $D_{f_j} \cong \text{Spec}(R_i)_{f_j}$, where $(R_i)_{f_j}$ is of finite type over k . We have seen in the lectures that being a finitely generated k -algebra is an affine-local property. Therefore Y_i is locally of finite type. But also $Y = \bigcup_{i=1}^n Y_i$, so Y is also locally of finite type.

Finally, Y is a finite union of some affine open subsets, which are quasi-compact. Therefore Y is also quasi-compact. Hence Y is of finite type over k .

This concludes the proof of the claim.

Since $\mathbb{A}_k^2 \setminus \{0\}$ is an open subscheme of \mathbb{A}_k^2 , it is a variety. We shall prove that $Y := \mathbb{A}_k^2 \setminus \{0\}$ is not affine by proving that $\mathcal{O}_Y(Y) = k[x, y]$ (which is in fact proven in C3.4 Algebraic Geometry).

We note that $\mathbb{A}_k^2 \setminus \{0\} = D_x \cup D_y$ for $x, y \in k[x, y]$. To see this, we simply have

$$\mathfrak{p} \in D_x \cup D_y \iff x \notin \mathfrak{p} \vee y \notin \mathfrak{p} \iff \mathfrak{p} \neq \langle x, y \rangle \iff \mathfrak{p} \in \mathbb{A}_k^2 \setminus \{0\}.$$

We have $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{A}_k^2}(D_x) = k[x, y]_x = k[x, y, x^{-1}]$ and $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{A}_k^2}(D_y) = k[x, y]_y = k[x, y, y^{-1}]$. By uniqueness of the sheaf, we must have

$$\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{A}_k^2 \setminus \{0\}}(\mathbb{A}_k^2 \setminus \{0\}) = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{A}_k^2}(\mathbb{A}_k^2 \setminus \{0\}) = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{A}_k^2}(D_x) \cap \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{A}_k^2}(D_y) = k[x, y]$$

If $\mathbb{A}_k^2 \setminus \{0\}$ is affine, then $\mathbb{A}_k^2 \setminus \{0\} \cong \text{Spec } k[x, y] = \mathbb{A}_k^2$, which is impossible. Hence $\mathbb{A}_k^2 \setminus \{0\}$ is not an affine variety.

- iii) Suppose that $X = \text{Spec } R$ is a variety. By definition, we know that R is of finite type over k . There exists a surjection $\varphi : k[x_1, \dots, x_n] \rightarrow R$. Then $\text{Spec } \varphi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_k^n$ is a closed immersion by definition. Hence X is isomorphic to a closed subscheme of \mathbb{A}_k^n . Since X is a variety, X is integral. We deduce that X is an affine variety.

- iv) Since X is a variety, X is quasi-compact. So we only need to show that X is locally Noetherian, which is an affine-local property. For affine open set $U \subseteq X$ such that $U \cong \text{Spec } R$, we know that R is of finite type over k . We have a short exact sequence of k -algebras

$$0 \longrightarrow \ker \varphi \longrightarrow k[x_1, \dots, x_n] \xrightarrow{\varphi} R \longrightarrow 0$$

Since $k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ is Noetherian by Hilbert basis theorem, so is R . We conclude that X is a Noetherian scheme.

- v) Let $X := \mathbb{A}^1 \cup_{\mathbb{A}^1 \setminus \{0\}} \mathbb{A}^1$ be the glued scheme. Suppose that X is separated. We look at the two affine open sets U_1, U_2 in X isomorphic to $\mathbb{A}^1 = \text{Spec } k[x]$, their intersection is $U_1 \cap U_2 \cong \mathbb{A}^1 \setminus \{0\} = \text{Spec } k[x, x^{-1}]$. By Question 3.(iv) (or a claim in the notes), the multiplication map

$$m : \mathcal{O}_X(U_1) \otimes_k \mathcal{O}_X(U_2) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(U_1 \cap U_2)$$

is surjective. In fact m is the k -algebra homomorphism $m : k[x] \otimes_k k[x] \rightarrow k[x, x^{-1}]$, which is clearly not surjective because $x^{-1} \notin \text{im } m$. Hence X is not separated.

- vi) • Suppose that Z is locally closed. We know that Z is open in \overline{Z} . We claim that the unique induced reduce subscheme structure on $\overline{Z} \subseteq X$ makes \overline{Z} a subvariety of X . Then it follows from (ii) that Z is a variety.

—

□

Question 3

Let $f : X \rightarrow B$ be a morphism of schemes.

- i) f is called an **immersion** (or locally closed immersion) if f is the composition $X \rightarrow U \rightarrow B$, where $X \rightarrow U$ is a closed immersion and $U \rightarrow B$ is an open immersion.

Show that an immersion is a closed immersion $\iff f(X) \subseteq B$ closed set.

[Hint. For \Leftarrow : glue the ideal sheaf of $X \xrightarrow{\varphi} U$ with $\mathcal{O}_X|_{B \setminus \varphi(X)}$, and check the quasi-coherence.]

- ii) Show that $\Delta_{X/B} \subseteq X \times_B X$ is closed if B and X affine (notation of notes Sec 5.3)
- iii) Show that $\Delta_{X/B}$ is an immersion.

[Hence f is separated $\iff \Delta_{X/B}$ is a closed immersion $\iff \Delta_{X/B}$ is a closed set.]

- iv) We say that $U, V \subseteq X$ are “nice” if $U, V, U \cap V$ are affine open sets and $\mathcal{O}_X(U) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{O}_X(V) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(U \cap V)$ is surjective.

- Suppose f is separated. Prove that for all affine open $U, V \subseteq X$ such that $f(U), f(V)$ are contained in an affine open subset of B , U, V are nice.
- Suppose that there exists an open cover $X = \bigcup U_i$ such that for all $x, y \in X$ with $f(x) = f(y)$, there are nice U_i, U_j with $x \in U_i, y \in U_j$ and $f(U_i), f(U_j)$ are subsets of an affine open set of B . Prove that f is separated.

[For $B = \text{Spec } k$: $(\exists \text{ open cover } X = \bigcup U_i, \text{ all } U_i, U_j \text{ nice}) \implies (f \text{ separated}) \implies (\text{all affine opens } U, V \text{ are nice})$]

- v) Show that \mathbb{P}_k^n is separated using (iv) (k any field). Deduce that \mathbb{P}_k^n is a variety.

Show that **projective varieties** (integral closed subschemes of \mathbb{P}_k^n) and **quasi-projective varieties** (irreducible open subschemes of a projective variety) are varieties.

Proof. i) We propose the follow lemma:

A morphism $f : (X, \mathcal{O}_X) \rightarrow (Y, \mathcal{O}_Y)$ is a closed immersion if and only if f is a homeomorphism onto the closed subset $f(X) \subseteq Y$ and $f_x^\# : \mathcal{O}_{Y, f(x)} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X, x}$ is surjective for all $x \in X$.

Given this lemma, the proof of (i) is straightforward. “ \implies ” is just the definition of a closed immersion. For “ \impliedby ”, since f is an immersion we have $f_x^\# = \psi_{\varphi(x)}^\# \circ \varphi_x^\#$, where $\psi_{\varphi(x)}^\# : \mathcal{O}_{B, f(x)} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{U, \varphi(x)}$ is an isomorphism, and $\varphi_x^\# : \mathcal{O}_{U, \varphi(x)} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X, x}$ is a surjection. Hence $f_x^\#$ is surjective for all $x \in X$. By the lemma we deduce that f is a closed immersion.

- ii) Suppose that $X \cong \text{Spec } R$ and $B \cong \text{Spec } A$ for some rings R and A . Then the map $\Delta : X \rightarrow X \times_B X$ is induced from the A -algebra homomorphism $\varphi : R \otimes_A R \rightarrow R$ given by $r \otimes s \mapsto rs$. So $\Delta = \text{Spec } \varphi : \text{Spec } R \rightarrow \text{Spec}(R \otimes_A R)$. We claim that $\Delta_{X/B} = \mathbb{V}(\ker \varphi) = \mathbb{V}(\langle r \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes r : r \in R \rangle)$. This is immediate from that $R \cong (R \otimes_A R) / \ker \varphi$. So $\Delta_{X/B}$ is closed in $\text{Spec}(R \otimes_A R) \cong X \times_B X$. Moreover, the morphism Δ is a closed immersion.
- iii) Let $\{U_i\}_{i \in I}$ be an affine cover of X . (With possible refinement of this cover) for each U_i , let V_i be an affine open of B such that $f(U_i) \subseteq V_i$. Then we know that each $U_i \times_{V_i} U_i$ is affine open in $X \times_B X$. Let $Y := \bigcup_{i \in I} U_i \times_{V_i} U_i$. Then there is a canonical open immersion $Y \rightarrow X \times_B X$. It is clear that Δ maps X into Y . We need to show that this is a closed immersion. But by (ii) we already know that $\Delta_{U_i/V_i} : U_i \rightarrow U_i \times_{V_i} U_i$ is a closed immersion, and that $\{U_i \times_{V_i} U_i\}_{i \in I}$ is an affine open cover for Y . By the notes we deduce that $\Delta : X \rightarrow Y$ is a closed immersion. Hence $\Delta : X \rightarrow X \times_B X$ is an immersion.
- iv) • Let $f : X \rightarrow B$ be separated. Suppose that $U \cong \text{Spec } R$ and $V \cong \text{Spec } S$. Suppose that $f(U), f(V) \subseteq C$, where $C \cong \text{Spec } A$ is affine open in B . Then $U \times_B V \cong U \times_C V$ is affine in $X \times_B X$. In particular, we have

$$\mathcal{O}_{X \times_B X}(U \times_B V) \cong \mathcal{O}_X(U) \otimes_A \mathcal{O}_X(V) \cong R \otimes_A S$$

On the other hand, we note that $U \cap V = \Delta_{X/B}^{-1}(U \times_B V)$. Since $\Delta_{X/B}$ is a closed immersion, we have

$$U \cap V \cong \Delta_{X/B}(U \cap V) = \Delta_{X/B}(\Delta_{X/B}^{-1}(U \times_B V)) = \Delta_{X/B}(X) \cap (U \times_B V)$$

Since $\Delta_{X/B}(X)$ is closed in $X \times_B X$, then $U \cap V$ is isomorphic to a closed subset of $U \times_B V$. Since $U \times_B V \cong \text{Spec}(R \otimes_A S)$ is affine, $U \cap V$ is also affine, and we have $U \cap V \cong \text{Spec}((R \otimes_A S)/I)$ for some ideal I of $R \otimes_A S$. In particular we have a surjective A -algebra homomorphism

$$\mathcal{O}_{X \times_B X}(U \times_B V) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(U \cap V)$$

Finally, since A is naturally a \mathbb{Z} -algebra (i.e. a ring), we have the canonical surjective ring homomorphism

$$\mathcal{O}_X(U) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{O}_X(V) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(U) \otimes_A \mathcal{O}_X(V)$$

Composing the maps above we obtain a surjective ring homomorphism

$$\mathcal{O}_X(U) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{O}_X(V) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(U \cap V)$$

Therefore U, V are nice.

- Since for each U_i and U_j there exists an affine open C of B such that $f(U_i), f(U_j) \subseteq C$, then $U_i \times_B U_j \cong U_i \times_C U_j$ is affine open in $X \times_B X$. $X \times_B X$ has an affine cover $\{U_i \times_B U_j\}_{i, j \in I}$ by the given assumption. Note that $\Delta_{X/B}^{-1}(U_i \times_B U_j) = U_i \cap U_j$. Since U_i, U_j are nice, we have a surjection

$$\mathcal{O}_X(U_i) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{O}_X(U_j) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(U_i \cap U_j)$$

If $C \cong \text{Spec } A$, then the above map is A -bilinear, and hence factors through $\mathcal{O}_X(U_i) \otimes_A \mathcal{O}_X(U_j) \cong$

$\mathcal{O}_{X \times_B X}(U_i \times_B U_j)$. Hence we have a surjection $\mathcal{O}_{X \times_B X}(U_i \times_B U_j) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(U_i \cap U_j)$. Hence $\Delta_{X/B} : U_i \cap U_j \rightarrow U_i \times_B U_j$ is a closed immersion. By the notes we conclude that $\Delta_{X/B} : X \rightarrow X \times_B X$ is a closed immersion. ✓

- v) Recall from Question 1 of Sheet 2 that $\mathbb{P}_k^n = \bigcup_{i=0}^n U_i$ where each $U_i \cong \mathbb{A}_k^n = \text{Spec } k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$. The pairwise intersection $U_i \cap U_j \cong \text{Spec } R_{ij}$, where R_{ij} is the 0th grading of the ring of fractions $S^{-1}k[x_0, \dots, x_n]$, S is the multiplicative set generated by x_i, x_j . Next we look at the multiplication homomorphism between the global sections of affine sets:

$$\varphi : \mathcal{O}_X(U_i) \otimes_k \mathcal{O}_X(U_j) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(U_i \cap U_j)$$

Recall that $\mathcal{O}_X(U_i) = R_i = k\left[\frac{x_0}{x_i}, \dots, \frac{x_n}{x_i}\right]$ and $\mathcal{O}_X(U_i \cap U_j) = R_{ij} = R_i\left[\frac{x_j}{x_i}\right]$. Note that $x_i/x_j \in R_j$. Every element in R_{ij} takes the form $\sum_{m=0}^{\ell} a_m (x_i/x_j)^m$ for $a_m \in R_i$. Then

$$\sum_{m=0}^{\ell} a_m (x_i/x_j)^m = \varphi\left(\sum_{m=0}^{\ell} a_m \otimes (x_i/x_j)^m\right)$$

So φ is surjective. ✓ We deduce that $\{U_0, \dots, U_n\}$ is an open cover of \mathbb{P}_k^n which is pairwise “nice”. ✓ Using the notation from (iv), $B = \text{Spec } k$ is a singleton. ✓ The conditions on the nice affine open cover are satisfied trivially. Hence \mathbb{P}_k^n is separated over k . ✓

Checking the remaining conditions is easy. We define \mathbb{P}_k^n by gluing finitely many copies of \mathbb{A}_k^n . Since \mathbb{A}_k^n is quasi-compact, reduced, and locally of finite type, so is \mathbb{P}_k^n . It remains to check that \mathbb{P}_k^n is irreducible. In fact we have the following topological fact:

Suppose that X has an open cover $\{U_i\}_{i \in I}$ of irreducible spaces such that $U_i \cap U_j \neq \emptyset$ for all $i, j \in I$. Then X is irreducible. ✓

Suppose that X is reducible. There are non-empty open sets V, W such that $V \cap W = \emptyset$. We may assume that $U_i \cap V \neq \emptyset$ and $U_j \cap W \neq \emptyset$. Note that

$$U_i \cap U_j \cap V \cap W = (U_i \cap U_j \cap V) \cap (U_j \cap W) = (U_i \cap V) \cap (U_i \cap U_j) \cap (U_j \cap W)$$

Since U_i is irreducible, we have $(U_i \cap V) \cap (U_i \cap U_j) \neq \emptyset$; since U_j is irreducible, we have $(U_i \cap U_j \cap V) \cap (U_j \cap W) \neq \emptyset$. This contradicts that $V \cap W = \emptyset$. Hence X is irreducible.

Now since each $U_i \cong \mathbb{A}_k^n$ in \mathbb{P}_k^n is irreducible, and $U_i \cap U_j$ is non-empty, we deduce that \mathbb{P}_k^n is irreducible. This finishes the proof that \mathbb{P}_k^n is a variety. Also $\mathbb{P}^n = \overline{U_i}$ so irred.

- vi) Let $X \subseteq \mathbb{P}_k^n$ be a projective variety. By definition it is an integral closed subscheme of \mathbb{P}_k^n . So it is quasi-compact and locally of finite type. Hence \mathbb{P}_k^n is of finite type over k . We need to prove that X is separated. More generally, we would like to prove that

A closed subscheme X of a separated scheme Y (over any base scheme B) is separated. (quote notes)

By (iii) it suffices to show that $\Delta_{X/B}(X)$ is closed in $X \times_B X$. This follows from that

$$\Delta_{X/B}(X) = \Delta_{Y/B}(Y) \cap (X \times_B X)$$

and that $\Delta_{Y/B}(Y)$ is closed in $Y \times_B Y$.

We conclude that a projective variety is a variety.

For a quasi-projective variety, since it is an irreducible open scheme of a projective variety, by Question 2.(ii), it is also a variety. ✓ □

Question 4

Fact. \mathbb{P}_k^n is **complete** (i.e. proper over k). In this exercise we work over an algebraically closed field k .

i) In notes, we showed that \mathbb{A}^1 is not complete because $\mathbb{A}^1 \times \mathbb{A}^1 \supseteq \mathbb{V}(xy - 1) \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^1$ fails the **universally closed** condition. Why is this not a problem for \mathbb{P}^1 if consider $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{A}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^1$?

ii) Let $C \subseteq X$ be a closed subscheme. Prove that X is complete $\implies C$ is complete.

[Compare in topology: a closed subset of a compact space is compact]

[So the fact at the beginning implies also that all projective varieties are complete.]

iii) Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a morphism of schemes, where X is universally closed and Y is separated (Hint. graph). Show that $\text{im } f \subseteq Y$ (use $f_*\mathcal{O}_X$ on $\text{im } f$ to get scheme) is closed and universally closed

[Compare topology: the image of a continuous map from a compact space to a Hausdorff space is closed and compact.]

iv) Let X be a complete variety. Show that $s \in \Gamma(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$ constant.

[Hint. $\Gamma(X, \mathcal{O}_X) = \text{Mor}(X, \mathbb{A}^1)$ see Sec 2.3 notes.]

v) Deduce that affine varieties (\neq point, \emptyset) are never complete, and that the only global sections of a projective variety X are constant morphisms $X \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^1$.

Proof. Throughout the question, $X \times_k Y$ is short for $X \times_{\text{Spec } k} Y$.

i) The universally closed condition does not fail because $\mathbb{V}(xy - 1) \subseteq \mathbb{A}_k^1 \times_k \mathbb{A}_k^1$ is closed in $\mathbb{A}_k^1 \times_k \mathbb{A}_k^1$ but not in $\mathbb{P}_k^1 \times_k \mathbb{A}_k^1$. *yes, and it's dense $\mathbb{V}(xy - 1)$ projects onto \mathbb{A}^1 not $\mathbb{A}^1 \setminus 0$*

ii) Suppose that X is a complete variety. We need to prove that $C \rightarrow \text{Spec } k$ is universally closed. Let $g : Y \rightarrow \text{Spec } k$ be any morphism. Since X is universally closed, we know that $f : X \rightarrow \text{Spec } k$ is closed, and we have the commutative diagram as below, where $\tilde{f} : X \times_k Y \rightarrow Y$ is closed.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X \times_k Y & \xrightarrow{\pi} & X \\ \tilde{f} \downarrow & & \downarrow f \\ Y & \xrightarrow{g} & \text{Spec } k \end{array}$$

Let $i : C \rightarrow X$ be the closed immersion. The projection $C \times_k Y \rightarrow C$ factors through $X \times_k Y$ via the closed immersion $j : C \times_k Y \rightarrow X \times_k Y$ and the projection $\pi : X \times_k Y \rightarrow X$. We have the commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} C \times_k Y & \xrightarrow{j} & X \times_k Y & \xrightarrow{\pi} & X \\ & \searrow \tilde{f} \circ j & \tilde{f} \downarrow & & \downarrow f \\ & & Y & \xrightarrow{g} & \text{Spec } k \end{array}$$

Since $f : X \rightarrow \text{Spec } k$ is closed, the induced map $C \rightarrow \text{Spec } k$ is also closed. The composite map $\tilde{f} \circ j$ is closed because both \tilde{f} and j are closed. The diagram implies that C is universally closed. Hence C is a complete subvariety of X . *good*

iii) First we prove that $\text{im } f$ is closed. Let $\Gamma_f : X \rightarrow X \times_k Y$ be the graph of $f : X \rightarrow Y$. $f : X \rightarrow Y$ factors as $X \xrightarrow{\Gamma_f} X \times_k Y \xrightarrow{\pi} Y$. Since Y is separated, a claim from the notes shows that Γ_f is a closed immersion. In particular $\Gamma_f(X) \subseteq X \times_k Y$ is closed. Since X is universally closed, $\pi : X \times_k Y \rightarrow Y$ is closed. Then $\text{im } f = \pi \circ \Gamma_f(X)$ is closed. *✓*

Next we prove that $\text{im } f$ is universally closed over k (for this part I think the separatedness of Y is

unnecessary). Let $\alpha : X \rightarrow \text{Spec } k$ and $\beta : \text{im } f \rightarrow \text{Spec } k$ be the morphisms. Let $g : Z \rightarrow \text{Spec } k$ be any morphism. We look at the commutative diagram of base changes:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & & \tilde{f} & & \\
 & \nearrow & & \searrow & \\
 X \times_k Z & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\alpha}} & Z & \xleftarrow{\tilde{\beta}} & \text{im } f \times_k Z \\
 \pi_X \downarrow & & \downarrow g & & \downarrow \pi_Y \\
 X & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & \text{Spec } k & \xleftarrow{\beta} & \text{im } f \\
 & \searrow & f & \nearrow &
 \end{array}$$

$f : X \rightarrow \text{im } f$ is surjective, then so is $\tilde{f} : X \times_k Z \rightarrow \text{im } f \times_k Z$. Let $C \subseteq \text{im } f \times_k Z$ be a closed subset. Then $\tilde{\beta}(C) = \tilde{\alpha}(\tilde{f}^{-1}(C))$ is closed because \tilde{f} is surjective and continuous, and α is closed. Hence $\tilde{\beta}$ is a closed map. We deduce that $\text{im } f$ is universally closed over k . ✓ good

iv) We know that $\mathbb{A}_k^1 = \text{Spec } k[x]$. From Example 1 of Section 2.3 of the notes, we have a bijection

$$\text{Mor}(X, \mathbb{A}_k^1) \longleftrightarrow \text{Hom}_k(k[x], \mathcal{O}_X(X)) \cong \mathcal{O}_X(X)$$

Spec \downarrow as k -algs

Since X is complete, it is universally closed. We know that \mathbb{A}_k^1 is separated. Then for any morphism $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_k^1$, by (iii) $\text{im } f$ is closed and universally closed in \mathbb{A}_k^1 . Since X is irreducible, so is $\text{im } f$. Then we find that $\text{im } f = \mathbb{V}(x - a)$ for some $a \in k$ (this is a singleton on the affine line). Hence $\Gamma(X, \mathcal{O}_X) = \mathcal{O}_X(X) \cong k$. The global sections are constant morphisms on X . ✓

v) Suppose that $Y \subseteq \mathbb{A}_k^n$ is an affine variety with $\text{card}(Y) > 1$. We take two distinct closed points $\mathbf{a} = \mathbb{V}(\langle x_1 - a_1, \dots, x_n - a_n \rangle)$ and $\mathbf{b} = \mathbb{V}(\langle x_1 - b_1, \dots, x_n - b_n \rangle)$ in Y . We may assume that $a_i \neq b_i$ for some i . Then $x_i \in \mathcal{O}_Y(Y)$ is a non-constant global section. By (iv), Y is not complete. ✓

Suppose that X is a projective variety. We claim that X is complete. Since X is an integral closed subscheme of some \mathbb{P}_k^n , by (ii) it suffices to prove that \mathbb{P}_k^n is complete. (I don't know if this proof is examinable. It is not shown in the notes. In Hartshorne this follows from Theorem II.4.9, which is a corollary of the **valuation criterion of properness**. So I choose not to go into details here...) Now by (iv), we know that the global sections of X are constant morphisms. ✓ □

just quote it
it's given
as a fact.

Question 5

Note that any “commutative diagram” in a category \mathcal{C} can be thought of as a functor $F : \mathbf{I} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ where the objects of \mathbf{I} are the positions i in the diagram (where you place some object $F(i) = C_i \in \mathcal{C}$), the morphisms of \mathbf{I} are the arrows of the diagram (together with all identity morphs $i \rightarrow i$ and composites)

- i) What is the functor of points interpretation of \varprojlim , \varinjlim ? (Hint. for \varinjlim consider \mathbf{I}^{op} and h^* not h_*)
- ii) Explain briefly why the product, fibre product, gluing of sheaves are limits, and the coproduct, pushout, gluing of schemes are colimits (e.g. every scheme = \varinjlim of its affine opens)
- iii) Suppose f, g are adjoint functors. Show that left adjoints commute with colimits, right adjoints commute with limits.

fair.